The stress field in Europe: optimal orientations with confidence limits

M. M. C. Carafa\textsuperscript{1} and S Barba\textsuperscript{2}

Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia.

\textsuperscript{1} L’Aquila, Italy. E-mail: michele.carafa@ingv.it
\textsuperscript{2} Rome, Italy. E-mail: salvatore.barba@ingv.it


First published online: February 19, 2013.

Summary

In this study, we modify and extend a data analysis technique to determine the stress orientations between data clusters by adding an additional constraint governing the probability algorithm. We apply this technique to produce a map of the maximum horizontal compressive stress ($S_{H\text{max}}$) orientations in the greater European region (including Europe, Turkey and Mediterranean Africa). Using the World Stress Map dataset release 2008, we obtain analytical probability distributions of the directional differences as a function of the angular distance, $\theta$. We then multiply the probability distributions that are based on pre-averaged data within $\theta < 3^\circ$ of the interpolation point and determine the maximum likelihood estimate of the $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientation. At a given distance, the probability of obtaining a particular discrepancy decreases exponentially with discrepancy. By exploiting this feature observed in the World Stress Map release 2008 dataset, we increase the robustness of our $S_{H\text{max}}$ determinations. For a reliable determination of the most likely $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientation, we require that 90\% confidence limits be less than $\pm 60^\circ$ and a minimum of three clusters, which is achieved for 57\% of the study area, with small uncertainties of less than $\pm 10^\circ$ for 7\% of the area. When the data density exceeds $0.8 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$, our method provides a means of reproducing significant local patterns in the stress field. Several mountain ranges in the Mediterranean display $90^\circ$ changes in the $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientation from their crests (which often experience normal faulting) and their foothills (which often experience thrust faulting). This pattern constrains the tectonic stresses to a magnitude similar to that of the topographic stresses.
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1. Introduction

This study aims to exploit the high data density of the World Stress Map release 2008 (hereinafter, WSM08) (Heidbach et al., 2008) in the greater European region (including Europe, Turkey and Mediterranean Africa) to gain additional information on short-wavelength stress sources. Knowledge of the local stress field helps to identify potential slip on pre-existing favourably oriented fault planes (e.g., Sibson et al., 2011; Syracuse et al., 2012) and has an impact on seismic hazard assessment, geodynamics, hydrocarbon exploitation, and global-to-regional–scale tectonics (Carafa & Barba, 2011; Herget & Heidbach, 2011; Ghisetti & Sibson, 2012). However, interpolating or smoothing the stress orientations of clustered and scattered data requires several assumptions and approximations.

Rebai et al. (1992) studied the irregular spatial distribution of stress data by determining the maximum horizontal compressive stress ($S_{H\text{max}}$) orientations on an irregular triangular element grid with the locations of grid nodes dependent upon the spatial distribution of the data. For clusters, the nodes were collocated at the centre, whereas for sparse data, the nodes were placed at data locations. At each node, Rebai et al. (1992) computed an average $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientation by smoothing the $S_{H\text{max}}$ data within a specified distance from the node. This distance was assumed to be proportional to the size of the smallest triangle connected to the node. The $S_{H\text{max}}$ average was weighted by the quality factor assigned to the stress datum and by the scale factor associated with the type of $S_{H\text{max}}$ indicator (decimetres to metres for borehole breakouts, kilometres for focal mechanisms). Within the triangular elements, the nodal values were linearly interpolated.

Lee & Angelier (1994) reconstructed the 2D regional principal palaeostress axis orientations using two different approaches. In the first approach, the stress orientations were represented by a polynomial function of flat-Earth coordinates. The coefficients of the polynomial were determined using weighted least-squares to fit the measured $S_{H\text{max}}$ orientations, with the weight of each $S_{H\text{max}}$ datum dependent upon its uncertainty. In the second approach, the $S_{H\text{max}}$ data were also weighted by a power-law function of the distance. The second approach provided better results, indicating that proper distance weighting is essential in stress interpolation.

The authors binned the $S_{Hmax}$ data into 582 $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$ cells. Of the 382 bins containing at least two $S_{Hmax}$ orientations, Coblentz & Richardson (1995) estimated which bins had non-random $S_{Hmax}$ orientations with standard deviation <25$^\circ$ by employing a Rayleigh test at the 90%, 95%, and 97.5% confidence levels. The test assumes that random $S_{Hmax}$ orientations follow a von Mises normal distribution (Mardia, 1972). The hypothesis that stress orientations are random in WSM92 was rejected at the 97.5% confidence level for 197 out of 382 bins, suggesting that large portions of intra-plate regions have coherent $S_{Hmax}$ orientations and are primarily in a state of compression.

Assuming two-point conditional probabilities, Bird & Li (1996) empirically determined the probability distributions of the angular differences (also called discrepancies) in the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations based on WSM92 data. They used two approaches: one that accounted for the quality factor and one in which the clustered data were pre-averaged. Although both concepts are important, they have not yet been implemented simultaneously. Neglecting the quality factor results in the underweighting of the most accurate data, whereas when clustered data are used, the uneven data sampling causes a loss of precision that is not easily included in the error estimates.

Müller et al. (2003) determined the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations using the nearest-neighbour regression method. The authors compared two approaches using either a fixed search radius or a fixed number of nearest neighbours. The smoothing was performed at grid points, with the data weighted by both quality and distance. In particular, the quality weight was 1 for rank A, 0.75 for rank B, 0.5 for rank C, and 0 for ranks D and E (see Zoback (1992) for a description of the quality factors). The distance weight was expressed as a tricube weight function:

$$w(x, x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left( \frac{\|x - x_i\|}{R} \right)^3 & \text{for } \|x - x_i\| < R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $x_i$ is the position of the $i$th data point and R is either the fixed search radius or the distance to the (N+1)-th nearest neighbour. Müller et al. (2003) performed checkerboard-like synthetic tests and verified that using a fixed search radius is preferable for recovering the stress domains. To avoid interpolating stress orientations in areas of poor data coverage, minimum threshold values for the sum of the quality and distance weights were suggested. The approach of Müller et al. (2003) proved to be flexible and capable of providing an empirical model of the stress processes. However, this approach is sensitive to outliers and suffers when the data density is low.

Rather than using discrete weights, several groups (e.g., Hardebeck & Michael, 2006; Arnold & Townend, 2007) have developed methods to propagate observational errors as formal uncertainties in $S_{Hmax}$, which comprise mainly focal mechanisms. Hardebeck & Michael (2006) used a damped inversion method to determine stress on a grid, which minimises the difference between adjacent...
points. The damped inversion removes the stress rotation artefacts exhibited by undamped inversions and resolves stress rotations better than moving-window approaches. Arnold & Townend (2007) developed a Bayesian formulation for estimating tectonic stress orientations, which combines a geologically based prior stress model and focal mechanism observations, including their precisions. Their technique produces the posterior density function of the principal components of the stress tensor and of the stress-magnitude ratio, which have been used to determine $S_{Hmax}$ following the approach of Lund & Townend (2007).

Heidbach et al. (2010) calculated the global $S_{Hmax}$ orientations by smoothing stress data that were weighted by both quality and distance. The distance weighting function was $\min(1/D, 1/20 \text{ km})$, where $D$ is the distance between the data location and grid point and $\min$ represents the minimum of the two arguments. The quality weights were 1/15, 1/20, and 1/25 for A-, B-, and C-quality data, respectively. The $S_{Hmax}$ orientations were defined if there were at least five WSM08 data within the variable search radius and if the weighted standard deviation was $\leq 25^\circ$. Pierdominici & Heidbach (2012) introduced an additional condition that the data be located in two diagonal quadrants, with at least 10 WSM08 data within the search radius. No constraints were placed on the standard deviation in their study.

In this paper, we aim to address three major issues that have not previously been resolved simultaneously: the scatter in $S_{Hmax}$ orientations, the uneven sampling of stress data, and the correlation of stress orientations with distance. We modified and extended the clustered data analysis technique used by Bird & Li (1996) by adding a constraint governing the probability algorithm for estimating $S_{Hmax}$ orientations. Given the intrinsically clustered nature of earthquakes and the uneven sampling of boreholes, we pre-averaged clustered data and updated the empirical probability distributions using the WSM08. In agreement with the data, we modelled the probabilities as exponentially decreasing with discrepancy, thereby compensating for the low data density. An $S_{Hmax}$ orientation was assigned to each interpolation point if three conditions were satisfied: a) a minimum of three clusters; b) 90% confidence limits less than ±60°; and c) a maximum search radius of 327 km.

The resulting stress maps have 90% confidence limits of less than ±45° for 38% of the study area and uncertainties of less than ±30° for the 19%. The results presented in this work cover 57% of the entire study area. Our $S_{Hmax}$ orientations overlap with 28% of those in Heidbach et al. (2010); the spatial distributions of the two stress fields are therefore complementary. Where the two maps overlap, the results differ by more than 30° for only 2% of our study area. The stress orientations determined using our method are generally consistent with the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations obtained using geological indicators, earthquakes, and numerical models. Our method is able to detect several
small-scale (20-50 km) stress perturbations, thereby better constraining and complementing the stress field determinations available elsewhere in the literature.

2. Data and method

Based on previous studies of stress interpolation, the angular differences (discrepancies) between two $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations tend to increase with distance or, alternatively, the closest $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ datum to an interpolation point is weighted most heavily. Of the various data weighting algorithms suggested in the literature, we adopted the approach of Bird & Li (1996). In this approach, $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ is determined at each integration point using two-point conditional probabilities and assuming all of the WMS08 data to be independent. The conditional probability of an event is the probability of that event occurring given that another event has already occurred. In terms of conditional probabilities, the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientation represents the azimuth with the highest probability of occurring given the neighbouring WSM08 data. We defined the empirical two-point conditional probability based on the stress data (see subsection 2.1) and the analytical probability using least-squares fitting (subsection 2.2). We then used the declustering method of Bird & Li (1996) and the analytical probabilities to determine the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations. We applied our method to study short-wavelength patterns over a wide area (total extent of $2.7 \times 10^9$ km$^2$) from 30W to 40E longitude and 25N to 76N latitude, roughly corresponding to the geographic location of the greater European region.

2.1. Data

We used the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ data records from the WSM08 dataset (http://www.world-stress-map.org). Each stress datum was assigned a quality factor between A and E, with A being the highest quality and E the lowest. The standard deviations of A-, B-, C-, and D-quality data records are within $\pm 15^\circ$, $\pm 20^\circ$, $\pm 25^\circ$, and $\pm 40^\circ$, respectively. E-quality data records have standard deviations greater than 40°. The WSM08 dataset consists of 21,750 data records, of which 16,961 have qualities of A-C; these data form approximately $1.4 \times 10^8$ unique pairs, representing a significant improvement over the $1.8 \times 10^7$ data pairs obtained by Bird & Li (1996) using WSM92. The data density increased from $0.012 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$ in WSM92 to $0.041 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$ (0.033$\times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$ for A-, B-, and C-quality data) in WSM08. North America, Europe, and China are the most densely sampled zones. Within Europe, Italy and Switzerland have the highest data densities, with an average of $3 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$ (Fig. 1). However, many locations in Anatolia, the Hellenic Arc, the Pyrenees, Northwestern Europe, and the North Sea have densities exceeding $0.7 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$. 
2.2. Empirical probabilities

The angle between any pair \((r,s)\) of \(S_{H\text{max}}\) data points on Earth is the discrepancy \(\beta\) defined by Bird & Li (1996) as follows:

\[
\beta = \min_{m=-3}^{3} |\alpha_r - \gamma_r + \gamma_s - \alpha_s + m \times 180^\circ|,
\]

(1)

where \(m\) is an integer between -3 and 3, \(\gamma_r\) and \(\gamma_s\) are the local azimuths of the great circle connecting the two data points, and \(\alpha_r\) and \(\alpha_s\) are the \(S_{H\text{max}}\) azimuths relative to North (Fig. 2).

Our first step was to determine the number of WSM08 pairs as a function of the discrepancy \(\beta\) and range (or angular distance) \(\theta\). Following Bird & Li (1996), we used 30 3°-wide sectors for \(\beta\) and 150 concentric annuli for \(\theta\). The annulus \(n\) is defined such that \(\theta_{n-1} \leq \theta < \theta_n\), with

\[
\theta_n \equiv \cos^{-1}\{1 - 2(n/150)^{1/(1-\varepsilon)}\}.
\]

(2)

The WSM08 dataset is 3.5 times larger than the WSM92 dataset available to Bird and Li (1996) (6,000 data points), for which they used \(\varepsilon = 0.4\). The larger dataset can be exploited by increasing either the number of discrepancy bins (e.g., using a finer binning for \(\beta\)) or the number of annuli at short range (i.e., \(\varepsilon > 0.4\)). Based on a series of tests, we chose the latter option. We selected \(\varepsilon = 0.6\), which enabled the investigation of short ranges while avoiding high uncertainties for some \(S_{H\text{max}}\) orientations.

We used 150 annuli for the range \(\theta\) and 30 3°-wide sectors for the discrepancy angle \(\beta\), resulting in a total of 4,500 bins. Each bin was identified by two indices, \(n(\theta)\) and \(i(\beta)\), such that the range angle \(\theta\) lies within the bounds \(\theta_{n-1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_n\) and the index \(i\) is given by \(i = \frac{\beta}{3^\circ} + 1\), rounded down to the nearest integer. The number of data pairs within each bin can be represented by a matrix \(C_{i(\beta),n(\theta)}\) of integers.

The probability that a discrepancy \(\beta\) at range \(\theta\) falls into angular bin \(i\) is given by

\[
D_{i(\beta),n(\theta)} = C_{i(\beta),n(\theta)}/\sum_{j=1}^{30} C_{j,n(\theta)}.
\]

(3)

Given a datum \(r\) with azimuth \(\alpha_r\), we can define the two-point conditional probability \(P(k(\alpha_r)|\alpha_s)\) for any azimuth \(\alpha_s\) at any range angle \(\theta\) determined by the appropriate angular bin \(k\) (0 ≤ \(\alpha < 180\); \(k = 1 ... 60\)):

\[
P(k(\alpha_r)|\alpha_s) = \frac{1}{2} D_{i(\beta),n(\theta)},
\]

(4)

where the factor of 1/2 avoids the double counting of pairs (there are two possible azimuths for the same \(\beta\) value for each data pair).
Fig. 3a supports our choice of $\varepsilon$ for the investigation of short ranges. The probabilities decrease sharply for the first three annuli with $\varepsilon = 0.6$, whereas smaller values of $\varepsilon$ fail to capture this probability variation, resulting in a uniform probability distribution. For example, with $\varepsilon = 0.4$, Annulus 1 contains the upper limit set to $1.76^\circ$, whereas for $\varepsilon = 0.6$, this upper limit falls in Annulus 6. Due to the increased number of data points in WSM08 compared to the WSM92 dataset, the finite sample effect for each bin was on the order of 2%, similar to the value found by Bird & Li (1996) in their analysis of the WSM92 dataset.

We divided the WSM08 dataset into five classes according to the quality factor that was assigned to each data record. The probability distributions were of comparable width for the A-, B-, and C-classes (Fig. 3b) and substantially narrower than for the D- and E-classes for all ranges. The D- and E-quality data exhibited a large scatter in the probability distribution at short ranges, indicating a lack of correlation. We therefore discarded the 3,946 data records with D- or E-quality factors and considered only WSM08 data with A-, B-, or C-quality factors in our analysis.

The high probability of finding small discrepancies at short ranges ($\theta \approx 0^\circ$) does not exclude local scatter, as illustrated in Fig. 3c by the non-zero probabilities of high discrepancies in $\beta$. These discrepancies are related to the repeatability of the measurements, depth variability, or heterogeneities in the material properties. Following the approach of Barba et al. (2010), we estimated the repeatability error at zero distance $\sigma_0 = \sigma(0)$ by interpolating the standard deviation $\sigma$ versus the range $\theta$ using the following equation:

$$\sigma(\theta) = a + b\theta + c\theta^{0.5}. \quad (5)$$

The error was $\sigma_0 = 4^\circ \pm 1^\circ$ for A-quality data (Fig. 4a), $\sigma_0 = 10.9^\circ \pm 0.6^\circ$ for B-quality data, and $\sigma_0 = 13.2^\circ \pm 0.7^\circ$ for C-quality data. Combining the A-, B-, and C-quality data yields $\sigma_0 = 11.8^\circ \pm 0.5^\circ$ (Fig. 4a).

The inclusion of D-quality data would worsen the results, given the large scatter in the probability distributions for all discrepancies (Figure 3b). The results are affected by both the larger uncertainties for small discrepancies in D-quality data and the larger biases for larger discrepancies. An alternative is weighting the A-, B-, and C-quality data proportionally to the error $\sigma_0$ (Eq. 5), which essentially gives a higher weight to A-quality data. Although formally correct (Arnold & Townend, 2007), given the relatively low number of A-quality data compared to B- and C-quality data, the different weighting would have a negligible effect on the results while making the procedure more complex. However, this point may be reconsidered in the future when a greater number of A-quality data are available.
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For $\theta < 3^\circ$, the correlation between data pairs is high and the probabilities of large discrepancies are small, whereas for $\theta > 6^\circ$, the discrepancy probabilities do not show any significant trends (Fig. 3c). Following Bird and Li (1996), we define a scalar measure of the correlation as follows:

$$I_{\text{cor}}(\text{WSM08}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} D_i}{\sum_{j=12}^{30} D_j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

i.e., the ratio of $D$ (Eq. 3) for “small” discrepancies ($0 < \beta \leq 30^\circ$) to $D$ with “large” discrepancies ($60^\circ < \beta \leq 90^\circ$). Bird & Li (1996) reported a quasi-exponential falloff of $I_{\text{cor}}(\text{WSM92})$ out to a range of $22^\circ$, whereas $I_{\text{cor}}(\text{WSM08})$ exhibited a quasi-exponential decrease for all ranges (Fig. 4b).

To improve the detail at short range, we used the empirical probabilities for $\theta < 3^\circ$ (Annuli 1 to 8) instead of $\theta < 22^\circ$, as adopted by Bird & Li (1996). The choice of the upper value of $\theta$ depends on the complexity of the study region. For example, compared to the Pacific Basin in the Tertiary period, the Mediterranean/Tethyan Basin is of similar complexity and has a similar number of subduction zones, yet much shorter along-strike distances. Therefore, the stress fields associated with subduction, mountain ranges and perhaps hanging slabs in the Mediterranean have relatively short length scales compared to those of the Pacific stress fields. Thus, using lower cutoff values than those used in global modelling allows the resolution of these smaller features.

The exponential trend of the probabilities confirms the hypothesis of Bird & Li (1996) that the “correlation horizon” at a range of $\theta = 22^\circ$ is due to uneven sampling of Earth in WSM92. The decreased ringing in $I_{\text{cor}}(\text{WSM08})$ with respect to $I_{\text{cor}}(\text{WSM92})$ is due to the eightfold increase in the number of unique pairs in WSM08.

### 2.3. Analytic probabilities and interpolation method

The probability distributions (Fig. 3a) follow an exponential law for all annuli. If few data are considered, the probabilities do not behave exponentially, which explains why this behaviour has not been observed previously. Based on highly successful regressions ($R^2 \geq 0.99$), we parameterised the empirical probabilities of Eq. (4) as follows:

$$P^*(k(\alpha_r)|\alpha_s) \equiv P^*(k_r|s) = P^*_0 + P^*_1 \exp(-\theta/\theta_0),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $P^*$ denotes the analytic probability and $\theta_0$, $P^*_0$ and $P^*_1$ are constants determined from a nonlinear least-squares fit to the empirical probabilities $P$ (Eq. 4) within the annulus. In this equation, we simplified the notation by using the integer indices $r$ and $s$ in place of the azimuths $\alpha_r$ and $\alpha_s$. The values of $\theta_0$, $P^*_0$, and $P^*_1$ for Annuli 1-8 are listed in Table 1.

Let $x$ be a point at which we wish to estimate the stress orientation, and let us select the WSM08 data points within a range of $\theta_n$ (Eq. 2). The probability of the azimuth at $x$ falling into bin $k$ given
one datum \( r \) is \( P^*(k_x|r) \) (Eq. 7). Although the presence of independent data is easily formalised through probability multiplication, clustered data require further analysis. A pair of stress data points \( r \) and \( s \) form a cluster if
\[
P^*(s|r) > \max_{i=r,s} P^*(i|x),
\]
i.e., the two-point conditional probability of \( r \) and \( s \) is larger than the highest possible conditional probability with respect to the interpolation point \( x \). The opposite guarantees that the data are independent. Note that this definition of independence depends on distance; a pair of data points can both be independent for interpolation points located between the data and form a cluster when they are far away from the interpolation point. After the clusters have been defined, a two-pass procedure is applied. In the first pass, the clustered data are pre-averaged, resulting in a set of fully independent \( S_{hmax} \) orientations. This set consists of pre-averaged data and data points determined to be independent according to Eq. 8. In the second pass, the \( S_{hmax} \) orientation is interpolated to \( x \).

The pre-averaged values of the clustered data are obtained in the first pass using the two-point conditional probability distributions, assuming no azimuthal dependence between the data. For simplicity, we omit the cluster index. The \( S_{hmax} \) orientation of the cluster is assigned to its geographical centre, hereinafter denoted by \( z \), and is determined using the maximum likelihood method. For each trial azimuth, defined by an integer value of \( k_x \) \( (k_x = 1, ..., 60 \text{ with } 3^\circ \text{ bins}) \), we compute the probability as
\[
P_N^*(k_x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N P^*(k_x|i)}{\sum_{j=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^N P^*(j_x|i)},
\]
where \( N \) is the number of stress data forming the cluster. The maximum-likelihood estimate of the \( S_{hmax} \) orientation at the cluster centre \( z \) is \( \alpha_z = (k_x - \frac{1}{2})3^\circ \), where \( k_x \) is the integer that maximises \( P_N^*(k_x) \). This operation is performed for each cluster.

In the second pass, the \( S_{hmax} \) orientations are interpolated to \( x \). To identify the now fully independent orientations, we call them “clusters” and label them as \( c \) regardless of whether they arose from pre-averaging. For each trial azimuth, defined by an integer value of \( k_x \) \( (k_x = 1, ..., 60 \text{ with } 3^\circ \text{ bins}) \), we calculate the probability as
\[
P_N^*(k_x) = \frac{\prod_{c=1}^{N_c} P^*(k_x|c)}{\sum_{c=1}^{N_c} \prod_{c=1}^{N_c} P^*(j_x|c)},
\]
where \( N_c \) is the number of clusters within range \( \theta_n \) (Eq. 2). However, the index \( n \) is omitted in Eq. (10) for simplicity. The maximum likelihood estimate of the \( S_{hmax} \) orientation at interpolation point \( x \) is
\[ \alpha_x = \left( k_x - \frac{1}{2} \right) 3^\circ, \quad (11) \]

where \( k_x \) is the integer that maximises \( P_{N_c}^*(k_x) \) in Eq. (10). The 90% confidence interval \( \Delta \alpha \) is determined using the following equation:

\[
\int_{\alpha_x - \Delta \alpha}^{\alpha_x + \Delta \alpha} p_N(\alpha'_{mod180^\circ}) \, d\alpha'_{x} = 0.90, \quad (12)
\]

where \( p_N(\alpha'_{mod180^\circ}) \) is the functional form corresponding to the discrete \( P_N^*(k_x) \) and “mod” indicates the remainder of the integer division, used to account for the periodicity of \( \alpha'_{x} \). This procedure allows the uncertainties due to data scattering (shown in Fig. 3 and included in Eq. 10) to propagate into the posterior uncertainties \( \Delta \alpha \) (Eq. 12).

We adopt two conditions to ensure a well-defined \( S_{h_{\text{max}}} \) orientation: a) \( N_c \geq 3 \) within a specified range \( \theta_n \) and b) \( \Delta \alpha \leq 60^\circ \) for the \( N_c \) clusters. The upper limit of the 90% confidence interval \( (\Delta \alpha \leq 60^\circ, \text{corresponding to } \sim 2\sigma \text{ in a normal distribution}) \) accounts for the error associated with C qualities \((1\sigma = 30^\circ, \text{the most common quality factor in WSM08})\). The search began with Annulus 1 \( (\theta_1 < 0.22^\circ) \) and increased stepwise up to Annulus 8 \( (\theta_8 < 3^\circ) \). We selected the first annulus \( n \) (and thus the smallest range) that satisfied both conditions. However, if the conditions were not met by Annulus 8 (the upper limit), then we did not assign an \( S_{h_{\text{max}}} \) orientation to the interpolation point \( x \).

3. Stress interpolation in Europe

We interpolated the WSM08 \( S_{h_{\text{max}}} \) orientations using data-driven analytical weight distributions after pre-averaging the clustered data in the area from 30W to 40E longitude and 25N to 76N latitude. We weighted by distance and discrepancy and adopted thresholds for the number of clusters \( N_c \) and the 90% confidence limits \( \pm \Delta \alpha \). The threshold values determine the interpolated stress orientations \( \alpha_x \) (Eq. 11) and limit the area in which the stress orientations can be recovered (Table 2). We illustrate our results with examples in Europe.

Stress orientations were determined for 57% of the study area. The zones with the smallest \( \Delta \alpha \) values are the Apennines, the Dinarides, the Alps, Western Turkey, and the Aegean Sea. We found \( \Delta \alpha < 15^\circ \) for 3% of the study area, \( \Delta \alpha < 30^\circ \) for 19% of the area, and \( \Delta \alpha < 45^\circ \) for 38% of the area (Fig. 5). This fraction of recovered stress orientations is satisfactory and comparable to those obtained in other studies (e.g., Heidbach et al., 2010). The search radius was smaller than the upper limit of Annulus 3 \( (\theta_3 < 0.86^\circ, 96 \text{ km}) \) for a large part of continental Europe and the Norwegian Sea (Fig. 6). Conversely, \( S_{h_{\text{max}}} \) was not recovered in the Atlantic Ocean, Northern Africa, or Russia due to the scarcity of data in those locations. The \( S_{h_{\text{max}}} \) orientations were interpolated using
3 ≤ $N_c \leq 4$ (average number of data $N = 4.87$) for 28% of the study area, $5 \leq N_c \leq 8$ (average $N = 8.89$) for 22% of the study area, $9 \leq N_c \leq 12$ (average $N = 16.05$) for 5% of the study area, and $N_c > 12$ (average $N = 31.42$) for 2% of the study area (Fig. 7). Due to the high density of uniform data, the stress orientations were calculated using large $N_c$ values and short ranges in the Pyrenees, Central Italy, and Romania. Large $N_c$ values and long ranges were used in the Western North Sea, Tyrrenian Sea, Western Alps, and Ionian Sea due to locally scattered WSM08 $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations. Our results are provided in both numeric and map form at a resolution of $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ as an electronic supplement to this article (Table S1 and Fig. S1).

The $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ map (Fig. A1, available in the auxiliary material) displays the same main features already described in the literature in relation to the European stress field (Müller et al., 1992; Golke & Coblentz, 1996). The stress pattern in Northwestern Europe (Figure 8a) is dominated by long-wavelength features and follows the NW-SE pattern expected for a stress field produced by plate-scale forces (Müller et al., 1992; Golke & Coblentz, 1996; Müller et al., 1997). Therefore, plate boundary forces are likely the main factor controlling the stress orientation in Northwestern Europe (Goes et al., 2000). Scandinavia exhibits scattered stress orientations (Fig. 8b), likely due to a combination of plate boundary forces, glacial isostatic adjustment, and local heterogeneities (Bungum et al., 2010). However, no clear radial pattern can be observed, indicating that rebound stresses are either spatially limited or of relatively low magnitude. The $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations in Iberia display substantial variability (Fig. 8c). The stress field has a complex pattern; first-order compressive stresses, such as the Atlantic ridge push and Eurasia-Africa convergence, interact with and are perturbed by local stress fields, such as the rifiting of the Valencia Trough and topographic loading (De Vicente et al., 1996; Andeweg et al., 1999; Liesa and Simon, 2009).

In Italy (Fig. 8d), the NNW- SSE $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations mark the extension in the Apennines (Bonacci & Lavecchia, 2000; Carminati et al., 2001; Barba et al., 2010), whereas the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ in the Adriatic microplate corresponds with the active Adria-Eurasia compression (Kastelic & Carafa, 2012; Barba et al., 2013). The $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations in the Eastern Mediterranean rotate from NNW-SSE to NW-SE in the Marmara Sea and to E-W in the Northern Aegean Sea (Fig. 8e). This pattern follows the lateral extrusion of Anatolia and the N-S directed backarc spreading caused by the rollback of the Hellenic subduction zone (Taymaz et al., 1991; Herget & Heidbach, 2011; Petricca et al., 2013).

Short-wavelength features are scattered throughout the map of the interpolated $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations. Short-wavelength patterns typically arise from crustal heterogeneities, topographic effects, and sharp variations in the kinematics; we describe a few examples associated with these different causes.
Crustal-scale local stress sources, such as lateral density contrasts, rifting processes, and diapirism, interfere with regional stresses and can result in $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ reorientation depending on the stress magnitudes and angular differences. Such a phenomenon occurs in Vrancea and the Southeastern Carpathians (Fig. 8e) and has been extensively studied by Müller et al. (2010), who inferred that the high variability in the stress orientations indicates that the stresses generated by local sources are of similar magnitude to the regional stress. In the Central Adriatic (Fig. 8d), the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations also arise from an interplay between the regional stresses, caused by the Adria-Eurasia collision (Kastelic & Carafa, 2012), wrench tectonics, and salt diapirism (Grandic & Markulin, 2000; Geletti et al., 2008; Korbar, 2009). A more extreme case is the easternmost part of the Po Plain, where $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ takes on an E-W orientation (Fig. 8d), which is in contrast to the regional N-S compression, as determined from earthquakes and geodesy (Carminati & Vadacca, 2010; Caporali et al., 2011). The smoothed orientations are instead determined using the WSM08 data closest to the interpolation point, which reflect the sedimentary infilling of the Po Plain. The rotation of these stress data with respect to the regional trend can be ascribed to differential sediment compaction, favoured by the presence of anticlines and large variations in the sediment thickness. The shallow E-W orientations of $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ due to the tensional, strike-slip stress field at a depth of approximately 1,200 m are perpendicular to the deeper N-S orientations of $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ due to the compressive stress field near the main detachment (Carminati et al., 2010). In this specific case, the three closest data points are iso-oriented and represent the sediments, and our algorithm captures the local and shallow tensional stress field induced by the differential sediment compaction. However, applying our algorithm to a different dataset (e.g., the regional moment tensors calculated using the method of Herrmann et al., 2011) yields N-S $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations.

In the case of topographically induced stress, $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ can align with a mountain chain at the highest elevations and rotate perpendicularly to the chain at the foot of the belt (Assameur & Mareschal, 1995; Carminati et al., 2004). This short-wavelength pattern is evident in the interpolated stress map for the Pyrenean domain (Fig. 8c) and the Eastern and Western Alps (Fig. 8d). However, the pattern is less evident in the Southern Scandes (Fig. 8b) due to the relative scarcity of data there. Parallel trends in $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ and the isohypses are typically interpreted as the spreading of topographical crests at high elevation in mountains and as gravitational collapse at mountain bases (Decker et al., 1993; Herraiz et al., 2000; Sue et al., 2007; Pascal & Cloething, 2009; Bungum et al., 2010). In Southern Cyprus, $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ follows a N-S orientation (Fig. 8d), supporting the presence of a relatively small collision zone between Cyprus and the Eratosthenes Seamount, which is a faulted block that has been uplifted by 1,500 m. Cyprus and its surroundings are characterised by
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NE-SW $S_{Hmax}$ orientations (Fig. 8e) that correspond to the collision between Sinai and Anatolia (Wdowinski et al., 2005).

Another observed short-wavelength feature is the sudden change in tectonic style. Moving from extension to compression implies a rotation of the stress tensor and a consequent rapid reorientation of $S_{Hmax}$. This phenomenon is observed in the Northern Apennines and Hellenic Arc. As we move from the extension of the Apennines to the compression of the eastern coastline, the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations rotate by 90° within a few tens of kilometres and turn perpendicular to the External Apennines thrust faults (Scrocca, 2006; Basili & Barba, 2007; Carafa & Barba, 2011). Along the Hellenic Arc, similar transitions from extension to compression and subsequent abrupt reorientation of stresses occur in the Central Epirus (Northern Hellenides) and the shallow marine zone between the Ionian Islands and mainland Greece (in the Southern Hellenides) (Papanikolau and Royden, 2007; Jolivet et al., 2009; Papanikolau et al., 2011).

4. Validation

Independent validation is required to understand the degree to which the modelled stress field represents genuine features in Earth’s crust. We performed two comparisons: one with synthetic data and one with similar studies available in the literature.

We ran a synthetic checkerboard test to evaluate the significance of short-wavelength stress patterns. The a priori stress flow lines were arranged in 110-km squares, with the stress patterns perpendicular to each other in adjacent squares. $S_{Hmax}$ orientations were assigned to points at 0.2° intervals (Fig. 9). This synthetic data distribution corresponds to a data density of $2.05 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$. To produce three additional synthetic datasets, $S_{Hmax}$ orientations were randomly chosen with assumed data densities of $1.21 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$, $0.81 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$, and $0.37 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$. These values are typical of the WSM08 data densities for much of Europe (Fig. 1), whereas the dimension of the squares and perpendicular $S_{Hmax}$ orientations represent possible stress targets. We applied the interpolation procedure described in the previous section to each of the four datasets (one equispaced and three generated randomly) using the same threshold values ($N_c \geq 3$, $\Delta \theta < 60°$, and $\theta < 2.94°$) and a spacing of 0.2°. To quantify the agreement between the a priori and recovered checkerboards, we defined a correlation index as the fraction of the recovered $S_{Hmax}$ orientations differing by less than 5° from the initial orientation. As expected, the checkerboard was fully recovered (correlation index equal to one) for the equispaced synthetic dataset ($2.05 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$). For the three random datasets, the correlation indices were 0.93 (for $1.21 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$), 0.82 (for $0.81 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$), and 0.70 (for $0.37 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$). The stress patterns were
satisfactorily recovered, both visually (Fig. 10) and according to the correlation index. This test demonstrates that our interpolation procedure can resolve the short-wavelength stress pattern in the parts of Europe with data densities similar to those considered here. In areas with very low data densities (such as Scandinavia and Northern Africa), the $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientations represent the regional trend and can only be determined using longer ranges ($\text{Annulus } n = 6 - 8, 2.05^\circ < \theta < 2.94^\circ$).

To compare our results with those obtained in similar studies in the literature, we selected the works of Heidbach et al. (2010) and Pierdominici & Heidbach (2012). We also applied the Bird & Li (1996) procedure (with pre-averaging and using the Bird & Li (1996) empirical two-point conditional probabilities calculated in WSM92) to WSM08 data. The $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientations obtained with our method are significantly closer to those of Heidbach et al. (2010) than to those obtained by applying the method of Bird & Li (1996) to WSM08 (Fig. 11). This difference arises partly from the algorithm – the range cutoff was $\theta = 22^\circ$ for the global modelling of Bird & Li (1996) versus $\theta = 2.94^\circ$ in our case – and partly from the new set of conditional probabilities based on WSM08.

Given the number of data points, the number of unique pairs in WSM08 is eight times larger than that in WSM92. This increase in the number of data pairs permits the investigation of shorter ranges and the resolution of greater spatial detail than in Bird & Li (1996). The $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientations presented in this work are in good agreement with those from Heidbach et al. (2010) for Europe (Fig. 12). The criteria used in the smoothing procedure of Heidbach et al. (2010) requires more than five data points to be within a specified search radius and for the standard deviation of these data to be less than $25^\circ$. The search radius is initialised at 1,000 km and decreased in 50-km steps until the standard deviation of the $N \geq 5$ $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientations is less than $25^\circ$. Our approach and that presented in Heidbach et al. (2010) are both capable of determining the $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientations for a large part of Europe; our results and theirs overlap by 28%. Within this overlapping region, approximately 10% of the $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientations (corresponding to 3% of the study area) differ by more than $25^\circ$, i.e., more than the standard deviation of Heidbach et al. (2010). Approximately 3% of the orientations (corresponding to 1% of the study area) differ by more than $45^\circ$, indicating a lack of correlation (Fig. 12), which requires further investigation. Fig. 12 shows the area where the discrepancy in the $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientations exceeds $25^\circ$. Most of these zones (Northeastern Iberia, the Western Alps, the Po Plain, the Northern Apennines, the Southern Tyrrenian, and Cyprus) are characterised by high data densities (greater than $1.2 \times 10^3$ data/km$^2$) and have short-wavelength stress sources and sharply rotating $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ orientations, as described in the previous section. Based on these findings, we conclude that our method highlights the presence of short-wavelength stress patterns and sudden $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ reorientations ($\theta < 100$ km) that cannot be identified with the minimum search radius of 100 km used in Heidbach et al. (2010).
To further validate our method and underline the importance of both pre-averaging the data and investigating short-range stress sources, we compared our results with those of Pierdominici & Heidbach (2012), in which the search radius was decreased from 1,000 km to 100 km in 50-km steps. Their smoothing algorithm requires that more than 10 data points are located in at least two diagonal quadrants of the search circle around the grid point. The two methods display a good overall agreement, with the largest discrepancies in the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations occurring in the Western Alps, Po Plain, Northern Apennines, Central Adriatic, and Southern Tyrrhenian. These zones, characterised by high WSM08 data densities, are the same as those with large discrepancies between our work and that of Heidbach et al. (2010) (Fig. 13). We argue that our method performs better in terms of reproducing local patterns and sharp $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ rotations, both of which are typical of these high-discrepancy zones.

5. Discussion and conclusions

The method proposed here is appropriate for detecting short-wavelength patterns in $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations for large parts of Europe. Our use of analytical probabilities strengthens the contribution of the data close to the interpolation point, where fewer data are available. The data with superior quality factors (A, B, and C) were included, while those with inferior quality factors (D and E) were omitted, which overcomes the difficulties of introducing a weighting scheme into the declustering algorithm.

Müller et al. (2003) suggested a search radius between 60 and 200 km for local stress field investigations. We have shown that for data densities greater than $0.8 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$, reliable results can be obtained by pre-averaging the clustered data and choosing a search radius below 60 km (upper limit of Annulus $n = 2; \theta_2 < 0.52^\circ$, 58 km). In fact, a few independent data located at short distances tend to highlight local stress sources, whereas a large number of stress data (with a low standard deviation) at greater distances can dominate over the local stress. Increasing the distance increases the likelihood of mixing data affected by different sources of stress. In a few cases, the large number of distant and clustered data could counterbalance the distance weighting. Thus, the orientations of $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ could be influenced more by the stress source sampled by the most data than by the local source of stress closest to the interpolation point.

We discuss two examples in which changes in the tectonic regime and rotations in stress orientations occur over short distances and the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations presented here differ from those reported in other studies (Heidbach et al., 2010; Pierdominici & Heidbach, 2012). The first example (Fig. 14) is the transition from the NW-SE-oriented $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ field caused by the extension in the
Central Apennines (Bennett et al., 2012) to the NE-SW offshore compression of the Apenninic outer thrust (Boncio & Bracone, 2009; Maesano et al., 2013). When a large search radius is considered (e.g., 100 km), the clustered stress data in the Central Apennines (80% of the data) dominate the stress interpolation along the Adriatic coastline, causing $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ to be parallel to the Marche coastline. In our interpolation scheme, 17 WSM08 data are declustered into 12 independent data, or clusters. The search radius for the interpolation point $P_m$ (Fig. 14) is 58 km (corresponding to Annuli 1 and 2). Similar considerations also apply to the second example, concerning the Southern Tyrrhenian (Fig. 15). Moving from west to east, the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ field rotates from NW-SE, related to the Southern Tyrrhenian compression (Giunta et al., 2004), to NE-SW in the Peloritani area, which is undergoing extension. Between these two zones lies the Madonie-Nebrodi area, which is characterised by a NW-SE $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ (Sgroi et al., 2011; Palano et al., 2012) that can only be detected with a search radius of less than 60 km. This area is also characterised by a high data density (greater than $0.8 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$), and the search radius for the interpolation point $P_s$ (Fig. 15) is 24 km for the three WSM08 data (which are independent data). In this case, a search radius of 100 km (as in Pierdominci & Heidbach, 2012) captures data primarily related to the Peloritani extension, which is spread over the eastern part of the search area, and results in a different orientation than obtained when using a smaller search radius.

These examples demonstrate that the quantity of data available in WSM08 can be exploited with analytical probabilities by applying a declustering algorithm before interpolating and smoothing the data over a distance less than 60 km from the interpolation point. With future increases in the number of stress data, this approach will help to identify short-wavelength stress patterns and locate shifts in the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations with higher spatial accuracy.

The distance weighting is a key component in the interpolation or smoothing of the data. The various algorithms differ in the weighting function used (see Introduction and Fig. 16 for a comparison). In this work, we used exponentials, which provide high-quality fits to the empirical discrepancy-range relations for the WSM08 data. For the data located near an interpolation point, Heidbach et al. (2010) adopted an inverse distance weighting that produces the maximum weight among the methods reviewed in this paper. Bird & Li (1996) employed a more uniform weight at small distances due to the scarcity of data in WSM92. The development of WSM08 (Zang et al., 2012), other available data, and new empirical probabilities will permit further assessment of the quality of the various distance-weighting schemes.

The approach presented here can be used to estimate fault activity through kinematic or dynamic models and to predict the stress orientations of future earthquakes, e.g., for seismic hazard assessment, even where instrumental earthquake recordings are unavailable. Interestingly, several
mountain ranges exhibit 90° rotations of the $S_{Hmax}$ orientation from their crests (which are often in horizontal tension and experience normal faulting) to their foothills (which often undergo thrust faulting). This pattern, seen in the Pyrenees, Alps, Southern Scandes, Hellenic Arc and Apennines, places a strong constraint on the magnitude of the tectonic stresses with respect to the topographic load, a matter that has often debated (e.g., Bird, 1998; Bird et al., 2008). Varying topographical loads are known to produce stress magnitudes of up to 20-30 MPa, which significantly influences the stress orientations. This influence occurs not only in continental Europe (Golke & Coblentz, 1996), where tectonic stresses are very small, but also in the Alpine-Dinaric belt and Pannonian Basin, where 2-km changes in elevation generate tensile stresses ranging from 6 to 22 MPa in the mountain belt and compressional stresses ranging from 3 to 12 MPa in the basin (Bada et al., 2001). Tectonic stresses are often estimated to be high at plate boundaries due to ridge push or sinking slabs (80-120 MPa; Whittaker et al., 1992), but these stresses are expected to produce observable shear heating (e.g., Molnar and England, 1990). However, the influence of these stresses within continents remains controversial. In fact, stresses on major faults have been found to be smaller than 30 MPa (e.g., Mount and Suppe, 1987; Zoback et al., 1987), and basal tractions acting across continents have been estimated to produce changes of up to 20 MPa in compressive stresses (e.g., Bird, 1998; Gosh et al., 2008). The 90° rotation of the $S_{Hmax}$ suggests that, at least in the mountain ranges where these rotations are observed, the magnitude of tectonic and topographic stress must be comparable, thus supporting the hypothesis that tectonic stresses can be characterized by relatively low magnitudes of 20-30 MPa. As an indirect consequence, this finding supports the idea that topographic stress can cause an inversion, at a certain depth, of the expected pattern of differential stress, allowing for the initiation of ruptures on low-angle thrust faults at shallow depths to propagate downward (Carminati et al., 2004).

The derived $S_{Hmax}$ orientations describe the present-day stress field in Europe. The proposed approach produced acceptable uncertainties for nearly half of Europe. The modified Bird & Li (1996) algorithm facilitates the identification of third-order features, such as topography effects and crustal-scale density heterogeneities. The stress orientations modelled in this work are generally compatible with those of previous studies. However, for areas with data densities greater than $0.8 \times 10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$, our algorithm outperforms the existing methods in identifying short-wavelength stress sources.
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Table Captions

Table 1. Regression parameters and related standard error of Eq. (7) for Annuli 1 to 8. $P_0^*$, $P_1^*$, and $\theta_0$: coefficients of Eq. (7) considering the associated standard errors. RMS: root mean square between empirical and analytical probabilities. $R^2$: coefficient of determination, which measures the goodness of the regression fit.

Table 2. Percentage of the area in which the stress orientations can be recovered for various threshold values of $\Delta\alpha$ and $N_C$, with the maximum search radius set to the upper limit of Annulus 8 ($\theta_b < 2.94^\circ$; 327 km). The total extent of the study area is $2.71 \times 10^9 \text{ km}^2$.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. WSM08 data density in Europe. Densities were computed for $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ cells, from 25N to 76N latitude and 30W to 40E longitude. Cells with no data entries are not coloured.

Figure 2. Cartographic description of the discrepancy angle $\beta$. For each pair of stress data points, $r$ and $s$, we define the great circle (green line) between $r$ and $s$ for an oblique Mercator projection. The discrepancy is the angle between the two $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations as expressed in Eq. (1). The $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations of $r$ and $s$ are shown in black, and the $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientation of $s$, translated onto $r$, is shown in grey.

Figure 3. Probability distributions as a function of discrepancy and range. (a) Analytical (lines) and empirical (symbols) probabilities for Annuli (An) 1-3 (A-C quality factors); (b) empirical probabilities for Annulus 1 for A-E quality factors; (c) empirical probabilities for various discrepancy intervals. The lines connect the empirical values in (b) and (c).

Figure 4. (a) Standard deviation versus range (symbols) and analytical representation of Eq. (5) (SV: lines, with confidence limits); (b) the ratio of $D$ (Eq. 3) for “small” discrepancies ($0 < \beta \leq 30^\circ$) to “large” discrepancies ($60^\circ < \beta \leq 90^\circ$) versus range.

Figure 5. Colour-coded map of the 90% confidence limits ($\pm \Delta\alpha$).

Figure 6. Minimum search radius for which $\Delta\alpha < 60^\circ$ and $N_C \geq 3$. The upper bound is set to $\theta = 2.94^\circ$.

Figure 7. Number of clusters $N_C$ used to interpolate $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$.

Figure 8. $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ orientations in Europe. (a) Northwestern Europe; (b) Scandinavia; (c) Iberia; (d) Italy; (e) Southeastern Europe. Thick bars: WSM08 data; thin black bars: interpolated $S_{H_{\text{max}}}$ (this work). Inset: colour-coded map of the 90% confidence limits ($\pm \Delta\alpha$).

Figure 9. Input synthetic checkerboard stress orientations.
Figure 10. Results of the checkerboard test. From left to right: (1’s) $S_{Hmax}$ orientations randomly selected from the checkerboard shown in Figure 9; (2’s) interpolated stress field with the same threshold values used to calculate the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations in Europe ($N_c \geq 3, \Delta \alpha < 60^\circ, \theta < 2.94^\circ$); (3’s) minimum search radius. From top to down: (a’s) Data density of 1.21×$10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$; (b’s) data density of 0.81×$10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$; (c’s) data density of 0.37×$10^{-3}$ data/km$^2$.

Figure 11. (a) Discrepancies between the $S_{Hmax}$ stress orientations presented in this work and those obtained using the WSM08 and the probability distributions of Bird and Li (1996). The values are calculated on the Heidbach et al. (2010) grid. (b) Frequency distributions of the discrepancies (grey histogram: relative frequency; black line: cumulative frequency).

Figure 12. (a) Discrepancies between the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations presented in this work and those of Heidbach et al. (2010). The values are calculated on the Heidbach et al. (2010) grid. (b) Frequency distributions of the discrepancies (grey histogram: relative frequency; black line: cumulative frequency).

Figure 13. Interpolated $S_{Hmax}$ orientations in Italy, calculated on the Pierdominici & Heidbach (2012) grid. The colours indicate the discrepancies between the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations obtained using the algorithm presented here and the orientations of Pierdominici and Heidbach (2012). The rectangles indicate the areas shown in Figures 14 and 15.

Figure 14. Comparison of the $S_{Hmax}$ orientation obtained using our algorithm with that obtained by Pierdominici & Heidbach (2012) for the external Apennines. See Fig. 13 for the location of the area. Thick black bar (PH2012): smoothed $S_{Hmax}$ orientation calculated by Pierdominici & Heidbach (2012) with a search radius of 100 km; thick grey bar: interpolated $S_{Hmax}$ orientation obtained in the present work ($N_c = 12$; search radius $\theta = 0.52^\circ$, 58 km); $P_i$: interpolation point. The background colours indicate the discrepancies between the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations obtained using the algorithm presented here and those of Pierdominici and Heidbach (2012). The multicolour lines denote the $S_{Hmax}$ orientations for different kinematic regimes (WSM08; Heidbach et al., 2008). Tectonic regime identifiers: NF, normal fault; NS, normal-oblique; SS, strike-slip; TS, thrust-oblique; TF, thrust; U, unknown tectonic regime.

Figure 15. Comparison of the $S_{Hmax}$ orientation obtained using our algorithm ($N_c = 13$; search radius $\theta = 0.52^\circ$, 58 km; $P_i$: interpolation point) with that obtained by Pierdominici & Heidbach (2012) for Northern Sicily. See Fig. 13 for the location of the area and the caption of Fig.14 for further explanations.

Figure 16. Normalised distance weight of different algorithms for points at distances between 20 and 340 km with 20-km steps.
Figure A1. $S_{Hmax}$ orientations in Europe with confidence limits. Red bars: interpolated orientations (this work); black bars: confidence limits (this work). Relief model from ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins, 2009).

Supplementary files

The following files and figure are for the online download.

Table_S1.csv: contains the interpolated stress orientations in Europe (330W-40E;25N-76N)

Column "ANN" contains the searching radius (expressed as Annulus number). To convert in degrees, use eq. (2).

Column "Lat" contains the latitude of the interpolation point (degrees).

Column "Lon" contains the longitude of the interpolation point (degrees).

Column "AZI" contains the azimuth of the maximum horizontal compressive stress orientations (degrees).

Column "C90" contains the 90% confidence interval for the maximum horizontal compressive stress orientations (degrees).

Column "N" contains the number data (from World Stress Map release 2008) falling into the search circle.

Column "Nc" contains the number of clusters.

Table_S1.csv.gz: same as Table_A1.csv, in compressed (gzip) format.

Figure_S1.pdf: SHmax orientations in Europe with confidence limits.

Red bars: interpolated orientations (this work). Black bars: confidence limits (this work). Relief model from ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins, 2009).

Table_S1_Carafa_Barba.kmz contains Table S1 in Google Earth format. In order for the kmz to work properly, the file icon.png must be located in the same directory of the kmz.

Important notes. The size of the kmz can slow down the computer and let Google Earth lock.

We recommend proceeding as follows:

1) verify that the kmz and the icon.png are located in the same directory
2) launch Google Earth (do not click open the kmz at this stage)
3) zoom in the area of interest
4) open the kmz (File...Open, or click open the kmz)

First published online: February 19, 2013

http://gji.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2013/02/19/gji.ggt024.abstract

Note that the online version currently has two versions of the supplementary material, one (the wrong version) has the DC1 in the directory name, whereas the correct version has DC2 in the directory name. The full link to the accompanying material is http://gji.oxfordjournals.org/content/suppl/2013/02/21/ggt024.DC2/ggt024-supplementary_files.zip

Comments to michele.carafa@ingv.it and salvatore.barba@ingv.it

Thanks to Gabriele Tarabusi for helping with the kml conversion.
Table 1

Table 1. Regression parameters and related standard error of Eq. (7) for Annuli 1 to 8. $P_0^*$, $P_1^*$, and $\theta_0$: coefficients of Eq. (7) considering the associated standard errors. RMS: root mean square between empirical and analytical probabilities. $R^2$: coefficient of determination, which measures the goodness of the regression fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annulus</th>
<th>$P_0^* \cdot 10^{-3}$</th>
<th>St. error $P_0^* \cdot 10^{-3}$</th>
<th>$P_1^* \cdot 10^{-3}$</th>
<th>St. error $P_1^* \cdot 10^{-3}$</th>
<th>$\theta_0$ (degrees)</th>
<th>St. error $\theta_0$ (deg)</th>
<th>RMS $(10^{-3})$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>140.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Table 2. Percentage of the area in which the stress orientations can be recovered for various threshold values of $\Delta\alpha$ and $N_C$, with the maximum search radius set to the upper limit of Annulus 8 ($\theta_0 < 2.94^\circ$; 327 km). The total extent of the study area is $2.71 \times 10^9$ km$^2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum number of clusters ($N_C$)</th>
<th>Maximum value of 90% confidence interval $\Delta\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 8a

Actual $\text{SH}_{\text{max}}$ orientation, 90%-confidence sectors, and stress regime:
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Figure 8c

Figure 8c illustrates the actual SH$_{max}$ orientation, 90%-confidence sectors, and stress regime in the Pyrenees region. The map shows the distribution of stress orientations, with color coding indicating the direction of maximum stress (SH$_{max}$) and the confidence levels for each orientation. The stress regimes are marked as follows:

- NF: Normal Faulting
- NS: Normal-Slip
- SS: Strike-Slip
- TS: Tensile-Slip
- TF: Tectonic Faulting
- U: Unknown

The map also includes a color bar representing the range of SH$_{max}$ orientations, with values from 0 to 60°. The Pyrenees region is highlighted, with a detailed map of geological features and stress distribution.
Figure 8d: Actual $SH_{\text{max}}$ orientation, 90% confidence sectors, and stress regime: NF, NS, SS, TS, TF, U.
Figure 8e
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Figure 12

(a) Discrepancy (DEG) map of Europe.

(b) Histogram and cumulative frequency distribution of discrepancy values.
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Figure A1