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Abstract

El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is an important air-sea coupled phenomenon that plays a dominant role in the variability of the tropical regions. Observations, atmospheric and oceanic reanalysis datasets are used to classify ENSO and non-ENSO years to investigate typical features of its periodicity and atmospheric circulation patterns. Among non-ENSO years we have analyzed a group, called type-II years, with very small SST anomalies in summer that tend to weaken the correlation between ENSO and precipitation in the equatorial regions. A unique character of ENSO is studied in terms of the quasi-biennial periodicity of SST and heat content (HC) fields over the Pacific-Indian Oceans. While the SST tends to have higher biennial frequency along the Equator, the HC maximizes it in two centers in the western Pacific sector. The north-western centre, located east of Mindanao, is highly correlated with SST in the NINO3 region. The classification of El Niño and La Niña years, based on NINO3 SST and north-western Pacific HC respectively, has been used to identify and describe temperature and wind patterns over an extended-ENSO region that includes the tropical Pacific and Indian Oceans.

The description of the spatial patterns of the atmospheric ENSO circulation has been extended to tropospheric moisture fields and to low-level moisture divergence during November-December-January, differentiating the role of El Niño when large amounts of condensational heat are concentrated in the central Pacific, from La Niña that tends to mainly redistribute heat to Maritime Continents and higher latitudes. The influence of the described mechanisms on the equatorial convection in the context of the variability of ENSO on longer timescales for the end of the 20th century is questioned. However, the inaccuracy of the atmospheric reanalysis products in terms of precipitation and the shorter time length of more reliable datasets hamper a final conclusion on this issue.
1. Introduction

El Niño is the most powerful large-scale phenomenon in the Northern Hemisphere. In 1980’s, the process of El Niño and Southern Oscillation (ENSO) was discussed intensely (for example, Barnett, 1985; Yasunari, 1985; Gutzler and Harrison, 1987), concluding that the anomaly fields of wind and sea surface temperature (SST) evolve in the Indian Ocean and propagate eastward over the Pacific Ocean, culminating in an El Nino event. Besides, it was soon realized that there is a reverse process (La Nina) with cold SST anomalies, tending to propagate westward in the Pacific (McPhaden and Zhang, 2009), and opposite wind anomalies. Recently, Izumo et al., 2010 proposed a mechanism for this propagation from the Indian Ocean to the Pacific, propagation also observed for La Nina events.

The domain of original ENSO region was only the tropical Pacific Ocean (20°N-20°S). See, for example, the summary of Aceituno (1992). However, as seen for example in Barnett (1985), the Pacific and Indian sectors are considered as the connected ocean in terms of the eastward propagation of the atmospheric disturbances. In this respect, it is possible to identify an extended ENSO region that includes the Indian Ocean, and that is larger than the ENSO region defined by Aceituno (1992). The positive and negative ENSO phases are mutually related. And yet the El Nino/La Nina processes do not occur in sequence, but pause periods (non-ENSO) or “benign” periods (Type-II cases) may occur between them, as will be discussed later.

Hunt (1999) stresses that the frequency of El Niño phenomena is essential to consider the variation of climate on the decadal scale. Hansen et al. (1999) have examined the historical record of the temperature at the Earth’s surface. The temperature averaged between 23.6°N and 23.6°S in their figure (their Fig.7) shows a clear tendency to shift from negative to positive anomalies after 1976. Similarly, the temperature index over the whole globe exhibits an increasing tendency with years.
In this paper, discussion starts with the issue of ENSO in general. Wright (1985), Ju and Slingo (1995) and Wang et al. (2000), for example, pointed out that the timing of ENSO peak is centered on the northern hemisphere winter (NDJ, or DJF), and that anomalous surface winds and SST emerge only in specific years. SST in the eastern Pacific may be influenced by the monsoon convection through the tropical biennial oscillation (TBO; see Meehl, 1987, 1997; Shen and Lau, 1995; Tomita and Yasunari, 1996; Chang and Li, 2000). In particular, the TBO has been identified as the biennial oscillation of the Indian and Australian monsoon rainfall and it is associated with variations in the tropical atmospheric circulation and tropical ocean SSTs (e.g. Meehl, 1987; Lau and Yang, 1996; Chang and Li, 2000; Pillai and Mohankumar, 2008). In general, ENSO emerges over the tropical Pacific Ocean. ENSO variability may have been influenced by the climate shift occurred in 1976. After that, for example, the relationship between ENSO and the Asian Monsoon changed considerably (Kumar et al., 1999; Miyakoda et al., 2000, for example). However, this change on decadal timescale may not be statistically significant (Gershunov et al., 2001).

Webster (1972) and Newell et al. (1972) tried to explain the heating process of the atmosphere-ocean system in terms of condensation. However, their papers were presented too early. Retrospectively it starts to be clear after 1976 that the heat related to water vapor may be linked to increase in SST. One of the issues of this study is to investigate the role of the equatorial convection in the warming trend of the recent decades, and its connection with El Niño events.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the main datasets and indices used in the analysis. Section 3 includes the description of the time evolution of El Niño/La Niña events, and the 3-year correlation diagram. Section 4 presents a frequency analysis of the quasi-biennial periodicity. Section 5 describes the atmospheric component of the ENSO oscillation with particular emphasis on the spatial patterns. Section 6 presents the energetics in ENSO events, particularly the precipitation in the equatorial zone. Finally, Section 7
contains the main conclusions.

2. Datasets and indices

2.1 Data

The overall approach is to use observations, when available, and re-analysis data. Atmospheric and moisture fields are taken mostly from the ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) reanalysis (ERA-40), covering 44 years from 1958 to 2001 (Simmons and Gibson, 2000), though it has recently been expanded to include the data from 1957 to 2002 (Andersson et al., 2004; Uppala et al., 2005). SST data come from the HadISST dataset (Rayner et al., 2003). Ocean heat content (HC) data from 1958 to 1999 are taken from an ocean re-analysis product (Masina et al., 2004). The HC is defined as the mean integrated temperature over the first 316 m ocean depth. In this data assimilation, the atmospheric data used for the fluxes at the ocean surface are taken from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996).

Another crucial quantity in this paper is the rate of precipitation. According to Janowiak and Arkin (1991) and Adler et al. (2003), the information of precipitation amount can be derived from satellite observation, i.e., GPCP (Global Precipitation Climatology Project). Therefore, “GPCP climatology is considered a new standard” for the precipitation, though it is only available after 1979. Other datasets of precipitation are used as well. In particular, atmospheric data assimilation outputs coming from the ERA-40 (ECMWF dataset, Uppala et al., 2005), the NCEP-DOE (R-2) (Kanamitsu et al., 2002), and the JRA-25 (Japan Meteorological Agency, 2007) are taken. Actually, ERA-40 seems to include some suspicious components (Kallberg et al., 2005) but it is one of the few datasets covering the period before 1979. Another long dataset is the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996) and it has been included in the analysis for completion.

2.2 Indices
One of the most crucial issues is the selection of El Niño and La Niña events. Following the approaches of Rasmusson and Carpenter (1982), Horel and Wallace (1981) and Trenberth (1997), for example, El Niño years are selected by the values of NINO3 or NINO3.4 SST. The NINO3 index corresponds to the SST averaged in the region 5ºN-5ºS, 150ºW-90ºW, while NINO3.4 region is located at 5ºN-5ºS, 170ºW-120ºW. In this connection, Shukla (1995) and Wang et al. (2000) have found interesting and probably important evidence. The peaks of these phenomena tend to be locked to a particular season, i.e., the boreal winter (NDJ). The seasonal phase-locking has been discussed by numerous studies addressing different theories for the ENSO demise (e.g. Harrison and Larkin, 1998; Wang, 2001; Vecchi and Harrison, 2003; Lengaigne et al., 2006), even though Neelin et al., 2000 showed that some El Nino events may have a peak or local maximum in fall or even in the spring season.

In this study to identify El Nino years we used NDJ NINO3 SST from the CDC/NOAA website. In this way, El Niño years treated in this paper are: 1963/64, 65/66, 68/69, 72/73, 76/77, 82/83, 86/87, 87/88, 91/92, and 97/98 (see Table A1) with the season peak at NDJ. For example, NDJ 1963/64 implies that ND(63) and J(64).

Trenberth (1997) states that NINO3.4 SST is most appropriate for determining ENSO. However, Yang et al. (2006) found, based on the sensitivity studies, using the “Breeding” method, that the most sensitive area for perturbation of SST is the region around NINO3, as opposed to NINO3.4. In other words, the selection of NINO3 may be justified by the perturbation theory for a coupled atmosphere-ocean model, even if Yang et al. (2006) results may be strongly model dependent. From an observational point of view, the eastern edge of the warm pool in the central Pacific seems to be the place where the atmospheric response is the strongest (e.g. Palmer and Mansfield, 1984; Kessler et al., 1995; Picaut et al., 1996). However for the selection of El Niño years, NINO3 or NINO3.4 SST, give the same result.

The La Niña years are selected by the values of the NDJ HC (heat content) anomalies in the northwestern lobe (130ºE-170ºE, 2ºN-10ºN) of the Tropical Pacific Ocean. The above
criterion may be partially justified in the framework of the recharge-discharge oscillator theory (Jin, 1997a,b) for ENSO, following the pioneer hypothesis by Wyrtki (1975) that heat content growth in the western Pacific is precursor to ENSO. Actually, the northwestern lobe area defined here is smaller than the western Pacific region considered by Wyrtki (1975) and Jin (1997a,b), however as the correlation coefficient between northwestern lobe NDJ HC and NINO3 NDJ SST is high (-0.78), its usage to identify La Nina years is proper. In fact, as shown in Table 1, La Nina years chosen according to it agree with previous studies classifications. Further, the western lobe here defined is close to NINO6 region (140°E-160°E, 8°-16°N), as defined by Wang (2001) looking for a unified oscillator model for ENSO. The La Niña years we selected are: 1964/65, 67/68, 70/71, 73/74, 75/76, 88/89, 95/96, and 99/00 (see Table A1), with the peak season being NDJ as for El Nino.

Our selections, for both El Nino and La Nina years, mostly agree with previous classifications based on other parameters. For example, Ropelewski and Halpert (1996) classified El Nino and La Nina based on precipitation, and compared to the present study they excluded two cases for El Nino (i.e. 1963/1964 and 1987/1988). Prior to the above, Schneider and Fleer (1989) investigated El Niño based on SST, particularly over the area of 130°W-80°W, 0°-5°S, close to NINO3. Their selection between 1957 and 1985 agrees exactly with the present paper. Harrison and Larkin (1998) and Larkin and Harrison (2002) provided a classification based on SST and wind fields, and their selections exclude one case for La Nina and two cases for El Nino. A summary of the differences between the classification of La Nina years used in the present study and some previous works is shown in Table 1. Overall, the selections mentioned differ by few cases (at least one or two) and according to that the analysis is supposed to be almost invariant.

For the study of the atmospheric issue in the equatorial belt, the amount of rainfall is a good or perhaps best indicator for the dynamics in the tropics. Navarra et al. (1999) extended the concept to use the distribution of rainfall along the tropical belt. TOI (Tropical-wide
Oscillation Index) is defined as the first principal component of the precipitation averaged between 30°N to 30°S around the globe, where the “first principal component” is the time series of the first proper-values in the empirical orthogonal function (EOF).

3. ENSO oscillation

3.1 Three types of equatorial SST distribution

Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c show three states of SST distribution over the Pacific-Indian Oceans sector related to La Nina, El Niño and type-II cases, respectively. It evidences that, differently from El Niño and La Nina events, type-II years tend to have smaller anomalies in the region considered. The identification of type-II cases, mostly related to events having small SST amplitudes, is described in Navarra et al. (1999). Further details are given below and summarized in Appendix A.

Fig. 2 shows monthly mean SST anomalies averaged in NINO3 region from January to July organized into two groups: some El Niño and La Nina cases and a number of non-ENSO events (panel a), and the type-II cases classified in table A1 (panel b). Barnett (1991) has shown a similar diagram using surface zonal winds. Fig. 2a indicates that, after the peaks in NDJ, the SST in the east (NINO3 region) decreases rapidly in the boreal spring, in agreement with the climatology. Navarra et al. (1999) identified JAS as the correct season to highlight type-II years in terms of SST anomalies. In fact, the zero-line crossing in spring is common to ENSO and non-ENSO years (fig. 2a), but small values of SST in JAS are typical of the Type-II cases alone (fig. 2b) (see Appendix A for more details on the classification of type-II years).

Many studies have shown the relationship between ENSO and the Tropical Biennial Oscillation (TBO) (*i.e.* Rasmusson and Carpenter, 1983; Yasunari, 1990; Webster and Yang, 1992; Kirtman and Shukla, 2000). For example, Yasunari (1990) shows a lag correlation diagram between the Indian monsoon index, AIR, and the SST in the western equatorial
Pacific (130°E-150°W). The correlation is negative in the previous summer and becomes positive in correspondence of monsoon time and in the months that follow, peaking in winter.

In the context of the TBO/ENSO relationship spring is the crucial season for the transition from relatively strong to relatively weak monsoons (e.g. Meehl, 1997).

3.2 The 3-years correlation diagram: All cases

Fig. 3a shows the correlation coefficients between TOI(JAS) and SST monthly anomalies. The x-axis extends from the western Indian Ocean to the eastern Pacific Ocean, thus including the Maritime Continent (MC) sector; the ordinate consists of three years (i.e. Y(-1), Y(0), Y(+1), from top to bottom, where Y(0) corresponds to the year of the JAS average).

Hereafter, this type of diagram will be called “the 3-year correlation diagram”. It has been already used in literature, i.e. by Lau and Yang (1996) and by Shen and Lau (1995), with the correlation computed between SST and an East Asian Summer Monsoon index. The “3-year correlation diagram” differs from the classical Hovmöeller diagram because the ordinate has only three years (lagged correlations) instead of multiple years. A 3-year representation is chosen as it fits better the ENSO evolution, possibly related with the TBO timescale.

Fig. 3a shows a number of useful information. The domain in x-direction is divided into three parts, *i.e.*, the Indian sector (50°E-100°E), the MC-Western Pacific sector (100°E-160°E), and the central eastern Pacific sector (160°E-80°W). The MC-Western Pacific includes the Maritime Continents region (120°-130°E) and the Western Pacific (warm-pool) region (130°-160°E), whose eastern bound has been identified in previous studies (Picaut et al., 1996; Bosc et al., 2009; Maes et al., 2010). According to the sign of the correlation, the temporal axis is divided into two main parts *i.e.* Apr(-1) – Apr(0) and Apr(0) – Oct (+1) in the Indian sector. Similarly the Pacific sector is divided in two parts, *i.e.*, Jan(-1) – Jan (0) and Jan(0) – Jul (+1). The MC-Western Pacific sector is characterized by positive correlations from Apr(0) to Feb (+1) peaking in the late summer. NINO3.4 is located at 170°W-120°W, where the correlation coefficient reaches its negative maximum at Oct(0)-Feb(+1).
Fig. 3a permits to evidence the oscillation in the Pacific Ocean. In fact, Pacific SST is positively correlated with TOI(JAS) from Y(-1) to Y(0), and then negatively from Y(0) to Y(+1), consistently with the ENSO period. Y(0) represents a transition for a change in the sign of the correlation not only for the central eastern Pacific sector, but also for the Indian and the MC-Western Pacific sector (fig. 3a).

3.3 The 3-years correlation diagram, excluding type-II cases

By definition, type-II years have weak SST anomalies in JAS and they may influence the relationship between ENSO and the tropical precipitation in summer. To investigate more on that we excluded the type-II cases, defined in table A1, from the entire SST time series and we repeated the correlation obtaining Fig. 3b. That is, the lagged correlation between JAS TOI and monthly SST anomalies is done for all the years in the record except for the ones classified as Type-II, as reported in Table A1. A comparison between the two panels suggests that excluding type-II years the correlation coefficients between JAS TOI and monthly SST increase for the whole domain considered. This result confirms that a quasi-biennial relationship between SST and JAS TOI is strengthened excluding those years that have a weaker signal in summer. In fact, as described in Navarra et al. (1999), the type-II years inhibit the ENSO-monsoon teleconnection in summer.

The similar correlation pattern to Figs. 3a and 3b can be obtained by other indices as well, such as the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI), or Indian Monsoon Index (AIR) (not shown), but the highest correlations are obtained by TOI. Miyakoda et al., (1999) provided an exhaustive investigation of the sensitivity of this analysis to the choice of the tropical index. According to the index chosen, the intensity of the correlation may change but overall the patterns are confirmed. In the Pacific and Indian Oceans, the transition from positive to negative correlations between SST and TOI with respect to Y(0) intensifies in fig. 3b, as the values increase in Oct(0)-Jul(+1). In the Pacific sector, the positive correlation coefficients are intensified during July-October of Y(-1). Lau and Nath (1994) defined the kind of
teleconnection described in fig. 3 “the bridging effect”. The bridge is related to an
increase/decrease of the Walker circulation and of its ascending branch over the MC.
According to that, events like the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) and ENSO may co-occur
(Murtugudde et al., 2000; Annamalai et al., 2003).

4. The quasi-biennial signature

4.1 The spatial distribution of the quasi-biennial signature

Trenberth (1975) reported the TBO signature in his EOF analysis of the sea-level pressure
and SST fields in the Australian area. Since then, the geographical distributions of the
biennial periodicity have been presented by a number of authors for SST, surface wind, and
precipitation. For example, Ropelewski et al. (1992) showed the connection between the
Indian monsoon and the equatorial Pacific process in terms of the surface wind fields.

The method of “frequency analysis” by Murakami (1979) is capable of handling this type
of problem, as was demonstrated by Tomita and Yasunari (1996). Figs. 4a and 4b display the
distributions of quasi-biennial periodicity in SST and HC, respectively, obtained by this
method. The reason for the success is as follows. The “wavelet analysis” is suitable for the
time series of data that include sharp peak of periodicity, for example, at 24 months. On the
other hand, if the time series include broadband periodicities such as 18-36 months together
with 12 months, the “frequency analysis” is more suited.

Figs. 4a and 4b indicate the percentage (%) at which the anomalies of 18-36 month
periods (quasi-biennial period) occupy relative to the total variability of SST and HC,
respectively. SSTA and HC data are taken from the ocean analysis by Masina et al. (2004).
Fig. 4a is similar to that of Tomita and Yasunari (1996) (their Fig. 7), though crucial
differences exist. First, Fig. 4a shows that the most outstanding area of the quasi-biennial
periodicity for SST is located along the equator (west of NINO3.4) with the secondary center
in the MC. The location of the secondary center is very close to the northern “lobe” in the
MC region (east of the Mindanao).

The regions with the largest quasi-biennial periodicity in terms of SSTA (fig. 4a)
correspond to the location of the key SSTA regions for the identification of the dateline El
Nino (Larkin and Harrison, 2005) or of El Nino Modoki (Ashok et al., 2007). The
identification of this new type of El Nino follows the finding that toward the end of the 20th
century warmer SST anomalies were more common toward the centre of the Pacific Ocean
rather than in the east, eventhough a clear explanation of this difference is still missing (Latif
et al., 1997; Larkin and Harrison, 2005; Ashok et al., 2007; Kao and Yu, 2009; Kug et al.,
2009; Yeh et al., 2009).

Now we go one-step further. The map of Tomita and Yasunari (1996) shows the wide
spread of quasi-biennial periodicity in South China Sea, the MC, New Guinea, east of
Australia (the Arafura Sea), and separately the eastern equatorial Pacific. In our study, the
quasi-biennial periodicity centers for SST are located west of NINO3.4, as opposed to
NINO3. The maximum is more than 50%. There is another center (45%) near Philippine
Island, which is related to the northerly flow at 1000 hPa (see later Fig. 5b). One of the
conspicuous differences from the figure of Tomita and Yasunari (see their Fig. 1) is the
biennial center of SST over the South China Sea. The biennial SST in this area has been
stressed by Shen and Lau (1995), and Kawamura (1998), among others. According to these
authors, the biennial oscillation in the South China Sea may belong to the Asian monsoon,
which is a relatively different phenomenon.

A peculiar center of extremely large percentage is found off the Mexican coast in the
Pacific (at 13°N) for both SST and HC fields (Fig. 4). The map of Tomita and Yasunari
(1996) (their Fig. 1) also shows a similar center, but a complete explanation of the existence
of that maximum of periodicity is missing. In the Indian Ocean, there is a center for SST (max
45%), which is located in the South Indian Ocean (east of Madagascar), and that could
correspond to the subtropical dipole as discussed by Fauchereau et al. (2003). There is no center of this biennial periodicity in the North Indian Ocean.

The quasi-biennial component is clearly found in the HC too (Fig. 4b). The primary center is at western end of the Pacific Ocean for both sides of the equator (max 47.3%). The northern center is located east of Mindanao, i.e. 10°N and 130°E-150°E, and the southern center is east of New Guinea, i.e. 6°S, 160°E-170°E, though the size of the latter is small. They are the so-called “lobes”. There is another center (max, 45%), i.e., eastern end of the Pacific Ocean, 120°-90°W. In the Indian Ocean, there is a strong center for HC (max 50%) in the South Indian Ocean. As for the SST case, there is no center in the North Indian Ocean.

It can be summarized that the centers of quasi-biennial periodicity are narrower in the HC (Fig. 4b) than those in the SST (Fig. 4a), see for example the 35% contour line. Previous studies have analyzed the biennial character for precipitation (Lau and Sheu, 1988), and for SST (Shen and Lau, 1995 and Tomita and Yasunari, 1996).

4.2 The quasi-biennial mode in El Nino oscillation

Tomita and Yasunari (1996) mentioned that to understand the role of the ENSO/monsoon system in the biennial oscillation it is important to identify the years accordingly. Meehl (1994) explained the TBO phases identifying the role of convective heating anomalies in the Western Pacific altering the atmospheric circulation in winter, thus influencing the evolution and behavior of the subsequent summer monsoon over South Asia.

Wright (1985); Ju and Slingo (1995); and Wang et al. (2000) have shown the pattern of atmospheric temperature over the Pacific and Indian Ocean in the cases of non-Type-II cases. The patterns of atmospheric temperature at 1000 mb, for example, are almost symmetrical around the equator, while those at 300 mb are shifted to Northern Hemisphere by 5°. These figures (not shown) illustrate that the patterns over the Pacific are divided into two types. The pattern of temperature at higher levels is of “butterfly type”, while that at lower levels is of "horseshoe type" (see Navarra et al., 1999). The butterfly pattern is found in upper
tropospheric temperature (e.g. 200 and 300 mb), while the horseshoe pattern is found in the lower tropospheric temperature (e.g. 700, 850 and 1000 mb). The pattern at 500 mb is a mixture of the features for the upper and lower troposphere.

In summary, the quasi-biennial periodicity has main centers in correspondence of the La Niña/El Niño oscillation sectors, including the Indian Ocean. Combining results from fig. 3 and fig. 4, these centers may be linked with the TBO as well. In the troposphere of MC region, the TBO might be related to another oscillation, the stratospheric quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO), though the association is not strong (for example, Giorgetta et al. 2002; Yamanaka – personal communication). Wright (1968) speculated differences between TBO and QBO, as he wrote that the former “appears not to be directly related to the stratospheric 26-month cycle”.

5. The atmospheric part of the ENSO oscillation

5.1 The circulation over ENSO region

Despite the complexity of the climatology of wind system in non-ENSO years, the ENSO years show a quite systematic and simple picture, at least in terms of large-scale circulation. ENSO is basically an air-sea coupled system and the Pacific Ocean has a relatively long ocean adjustment timescale. Therefore, a higher degree of predictability can be expected compared to other climate modes. As mentioned in section 4.2, the lower troposphere temperature (T1000) pattern shows simple and systematic structure (i.e., the horseshoe pattern; Wang et al., 2000). Fig. 5b shows the composite of wind anomalies at 1000 mb for El Niño cases based on the ERA40 data. In particular, the anomalies are computed by averaging wind values from 10 El Niño events in the period 1958-2001 (as selected in section 2), in the figure wind anomalies vectors have been masked if not significant at 95% level (fig. 5). The geopotential height patterns are included in fig. 5 as contours (blue (red) colors correspond to negative (positive) values, respectively, and H/L letters evidence positive/negative
geopotential height anomalies). During El Nino events, the maximum of wind speed
anomalies at 1000 mb level is located at 175°W, just south of the Equator (fig. 5b).
Considering Pacific and Indian sectors, highs of geopotential height are located around 130°E
(about over New Guinea in the MC region, slightly south of the Equator). In the lower
atmospheric levels, strong north-easterlies anomalies are positioned in the equatorial eastern
Indian Ocean (fig. 5b), this pattern is reminiscent of wind anomalies correspondent to a
positive IOD (Reverdin and Luyten, 1986; Saji et al., 1999; Webster et al., 1999; Murtugudde
et al., 2000).

Over latitudes, the circulation extends north and south almost symmetrically within 30°N-
30°S around the equator in NDJ, while outside of 30°N-30°S the activity is stronger in
Northern Hemisphere, and the high regularity cannot be guaranteed. At low level, the effect
of geography dominates the circulation pattern such as the winds east of Borneo and South
China Sea as well as the winds around Australia (fig. 5b).

At 200 mb level, the circulation is more symmetrical around the equator and the wind
directions are just opposite compared to 1000 mb patterns (Fig. 5). The coherent and
systematic picture at 200 mb level (Fig. 5a) is characteristic of upper-atmosphere couplet in
both hemispheres. The corresponding temperature field, as previously mentioned, is “the
butterfly pattern” (not shown). This feature of circulation was shown earlier by Horel and
a schematic pattern of upper tropospheric circulation, associated with an ENSO event. Arkin
(1982) also showed a pair of anticyclonic/cyclonic anomalies straddling the equator during
periods of -SOI/+SOI. These pictures are now extended to the Indian Ocean.

5.2 The ENSO oscillation and its time variability

One of the objectives of this section is to show how the effect of ENSO carries the heat to
various regions, and to understand what kind of processes is going on, particularly related to
ENSO and its variability. SSTA from the HadISST dataset (Rayner et al., 2003) are averaged
in the three areas indicated in Fig. 6a, and shown in figs. 6b, 6c, 6d. In these figures SST are used instead of global surface temperature and NDJ means are computed instead of 12-months means as in Hansen et al. (1999). The panel at the bottom (Fig. 6d) shows the time series of SST in NINO3.4. The middle panel (Fig. 6c) shows SST in the extended ENSO region, that corresponds to the tropical (20°S-20°N) Pacific and Indian Oceans. The top panel (Fig. 6b) shows SST in the broadest ocean area between 45°S-45°N, thus including both the extended ENSO and NINO3.4 regions.

Figs. 6b, 6c and 6d suggest the following. First, in Fig. 6d (NINO3.4 region), the positive peaks correspond to the warm phase (El Niño), and the negative peaks correspond to the cold phase (La Niña) of ENSO. Second, in Fig. 6c (extended-ENSO region), the amplitudes of SST are slightly reduced from those of NINO3.4, but they have the same interannual variability because the SST anomalies are stronger in the central-eastern Pacific than in the western Pacific-Indian sector. The anomalies shown in fig. 6c tend to be negative before 1975, but positive after 1976. In the literature it has been shown that the Indian sector experienced a trend in the SST forced by ENSO (Wallace et al., 1998; Saji et al., 1999), moreover in the 1980s and 1990s the ENSO events have been more intense than before. Thirdly, the anomalies shown in Fig. 6b are small, but they have the same interannual variability of the time series shown in figs. 6c and 6d. Actually, they tend to be negative before 1976 and positive just after. Fig. 6b contains the signal of the temperature increase experienced by the Earth in the recent decades and mostly attributed to global warming (Hoerling et al., 2008) and possibly the signal of the climate shift registered in the mid-70s (Miller et al., 1994). If the same analysis is applied to a longer timeserie (e.g. from the end of the 19th century to the present), the trend of the SST averaged in the global ocean between 45°S-45°N (“largest domain” in fig. 6) is still evident, while in the other smaller domains it is harder to identify a shift but a decadal timescale variability is detectable. In any case for the purpose of the present study, the focus is on the weight ENSO has on the climate variability.
To link the results from the SST fields to the atmosphere, we have repeated the computations of fig. 6 but applied to dry and moist static energy at low levels (fig. 7, energy is averaged in all grid points without any masking). Dry static energy is defined as

$$cpT + gz$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \(cp\) is the specific heat at constant pressure, \(T\) is atmospheric temperature, \(g\) is gravity acceleration and \(z\) is geopotential height. The moist static energy is the sum of (1) with the moist component \(Lq\), where \(L\) is the latent heat and \(q\) is specific humidity. For both moist and dry static energy, the peaks in fig. 7c correspond to the peaks in the SST (fig. 6d), and consequently to the warm and cold ENSO phases. The variability of the energy components averaged in the NINO3.4 region agrees with the averages in the other domains. In particular, the correspondence between negative and positive peaks in fig. 7a with the El Niño/La Nina events previously identified, emphasizes the role ENSO plays in the climate variability.

Further, even considering the energy values it is possible to recognize a distinction or a change in the variability at timescales longer than one year around the mid-70s, mostly for the extended ENSO region and the area within 45°S-45°N.

The ENSO atmospheric circulation previously described has a crucial role on the way in which the heat, including its moist component, may be spread over wide areas of the Pacific. In fact, fig. 8 shows El Niño and La Nina events composite of vertically integrated moisture component (i.e \(Lq\)) of the moist static energy (panels a and b) and of low levels moisture divergence and low levels moisture fluxes (panels c and d). Low levels refer to the vertical integral below 700 mb. During El Niño years, maxima of vertically integrated moisture are localized in the central eastern Pacific Ocean (fig. 8a), while during La Nina positive anomalies exist in the subtropics, with maxima at around 30° both North and South of the equator (fig. 8b). These patterns correspond to the low levels tropospheric moisture divergence (fig. 8c,d; shaded values). In particular, during El Niño events moisture fluxes converge toward the central eastern Pacific (fig. 8c), while during La Nina it diverges from
the equator toward the subtropics, northeasterly (southwesterly) in the northern (southern) hemisphere and also to the MC-West Pacific sector (fig. 8d).

The above analysis evidences the role of the atmosphere in transporting heat during ENSO events. Other studies mentioned El Nino events might be very effective in transporting heat poleward even through the ocean (Meinen and McPhaden, 2000; Sloyan et al., 2003; Sun, 2008; among others). The intensity of the heat transported by the ocean seems to depend on the quantity of heat content stored in the western Pacific (e.g. Sun, 2003). Recently, the observations of more intense central Pacific El Nino events have been related to the SST warming trend of the Pacific warm pool (Lee and McPhaden, 2010).

Summarizing this section, the El Niño/La Niña events appear to be a major source of the short-range variation of SST, causing atmospheric convection and transport of heat through the air. In other words, the SST and energy interannual variability is the same in the three regions. The atmospheric circulation system agrees with the transport of heat in correspondence of ENSO events. Besides, the timeseries of SST and energy in the three domains considered evidence a change in the variability before and after 1970s. The feature of varying intensities resemble the time series of the First Principal Component of SST over the equatorial Pacific obtained by Slingo et al. (1999) (their fig. 8), though they use the SST for all months. Concerning the 1976 transition, there must be direct causes (e.g. Santer et al. 2003), which are beyond the scope of the present paper.

6. Energy and convection in ENSO events

Newell et al. (1972) and Webster (1972) represent pioneer studies of the energetics involved during ENSO. In particular, Webster (1972) points out that “latent heat accounts for nearly all total variance” of energy and “sensible heat is appreciably smaller than latent heat”. More recently, the energetics of ENSO has been studied in terms of conversion of energy to
corroborate the delayed oscillator theory (Goddard and Philander, 2000), or in terms of the
energy balance between input and storage in the Tropical Pacific (Brown and Fedorov, 2010).

Webster and Lukas (1992) justified the plan of TOGA (Tropical Ocean-Global
Atmosphere) experiment, which was performed in the Equatorial Pacific east of New Guinea.
They mention that “this area represents contribution from latent, radiation, and sensible
heating” to the global climate. They show the diabatic heating speculated by an analysis of
Hoskins et al. (1989). The amounts of heating were calculated as the residual from the time-
averaged thermodynamic equation using the data of ECMWF between 700 and 50 mb for the
boreal winter from 1983 to 1989. According to their analysis, maximum heating is positioned
just north of the Equator with the NDJ mean dominating the annual value, as for the
precipitation pattern (not shown).

In the previous section we have described the main features of ENSO in terms of moist and
dry static energy, as composite patterns and as time variations. The combination of SST and
energy patterns suggests important mechanisms of the El Nino/La Nina oscillation in
connection with its circulation. The condensational heat, at least in terms of lower troposphere
moisture content and fluxes, released in the case of El Niño is quite different from that of La
Niña. In the case of El Niño, it is in the tropical belt between 20ºS-20ºN, and the warm air is
advected eastward in the Indian Ocean and the Pacific Ocean. In the case of La Niña, on the
other hand, the regions of the largest moisture convergence are displaced to the MC, plus
negative divergence anomalies at higher latitudes (fig. 8). Combining the results from figs. 5,
6, 7 and 8 it is possible to argue that the ENSO atmospheric wind dynamics is able to explain
the distribution and the transport of atmospheric heat from the tropics to the extra-tropics.

Due to the lack of precipitation data, an important step toward a global picture of the
condensational heat had to wait for satellite measurements. For example, the outgoing
longwave radiation (OLR) is indeed a good measure of deep convection, and thus of heating
in the Tropics. In particular, the peaks of precipitation tend to occur at the occasions of El
Niño (see for example Arkin and Ardauny, 1989; Janoviak and Arkin, 1991; Xie and Arkin, 1997). However, OLR data are fully available only from the end of 1970s. To have an idea of the global precipitation patterns before 1979, we need to refer to the atmospheric reanalysis, that is the ERA-40 or the NCEP/NCAR, that cover a period longer than the satellite era and match with the time record of our study (i.e. 1958-2001).

6.1 Precipitation datasets in various reanalysis

In this section we use precipitation data from the re-analysis dataset averaged in NDJ. During these months, large amount rainfall areas extend from the MC region to both northeastward and south-eastward (not shown) in correspondence of ITCZ and SPCZ, respectively. Fig. 9 shows NDJ mean precipitation anomalies averaged over eastern Indian and tropical Pacific Ocean (20°N-20°S and 80°E-80°W) for each year based on a number of reanalysis and datasets. For the ERA-40 reanalysis (see Andersson et al., 2004), the anomalies are mostly negative in the first part of the record, and mostly positive in the last one, with the change around mid 1970s (fig. 9a). A simple relationship between the ENSO events and the amount of precipitation is not easily identifiable.

The precipitation increase from the 1970s may be related to the reports on sudden change in the weather condition and in the unusual warming over the Pacific Ocean (see for example, Douglas et al., 1982, and Nitta and Yamada, 1989). Furthermore, the increase of condensation is more fundamental and closer to the real cause, i.e. the increase of CO$_2$. As the usage of precipitation data from re-analysis product is questionable because of their reliability on the sparse global rainfall data availability, in the next section we compare the ERA-40 outputs with other available datasets.

Fig. 9 shows NDJ mean precipitation averaged in the tropical Indian-Pacific sector (as in fig. 9) also for other reanalysis products, i.e. JRA-25 (b), NCEP-R-2 (c), GPCP (d) and NCEP/NCAR (e). Except for the NCEP/NCAR dataset, the precipitation values are available only after 1979. In order to compare the amounts of precipitation, a certain reference value is
subtracted equally from the original values of the reanalysis. The reference value that is used here is the NDJ average at 1980. This value is subtracted from monthly values of JRA-25, NCEP-R2, and GPCP. On the other hand, the computation for NCEP/NCAR matches with that applied to ERA-40. The precipitation anomalies with respect to 1980 in GPCP, JRA-25 and NCEP-R2 tend to be positive, even if the values are smaller than for ERA-40 (fig. 9). The number of data assimilated in ERA-40 and NCEP/NCAR re-analyses strongly increased from the end of the 1970s, and this may have artificially affected the mean precipitation.

It is known that large differences exist among various versions of precipitation reanalysis (see Bosilovich et al. 2007). Besides, “the humidity analysis in ERA-40 suffered from several problems, the most important being the definition of the control variable for humidity….related to the Pinatubo eruption in 1991” (Kallberg – personal communication).

One of the problems in Q_{lat} of ERA-40 is that the amount of rainfall is overall too large. This is the most serious deficiency of ERA-40, though other aspects of Q_{lat} in ERA-40 are not bad. Avoiding this complication, the ERA-40 dataset is considered as “tentative”. A comparison between NCEP/NCAR and ERA-40 precipitation time series (fig. 9d versus fig. 9a) evidences differences between the two datasets. However, even if the amounts are different the precipitation in the tropical Pacific belt tends to increase toward the end of the century and this is confirmed in both datasets.

Adler et al. (2003) and Bosilovich et al. (2007) consider that GPCP is derived entirely from observation, and therefore, GPCP should be a reference. Fig. 10 shows a focus on the equatorial precipitation anomalies (80°E-280°E, 5°N-5°S) in NDJ for GPCP. Adler et al. (2003) showed a similar plot for NINO3.4 domain. The values of precipitation in each month of NDJ are similar to each other, if they are within the zonal belt of 5°N-5°S. But they deviate wildly from each other in the slightly wider belt of, for example, 10°N-10°S (not shown). In the 5°N-5°S belt maxima correspond to El Niño events.
Further conclusions from fig. 10 are as follows. First, the peaks of precipitation are associated with 4 El Niños. In Table A1, there are 5 El Niños after 1959. They are 82/83, 86/87, 87/88, 91/92 and 97/98, but the 87/88E is ambiguous in Fig. 10. Second, there are negative peaks, which roughly correspond to La Niña (see Pavlakis et al., 2006), and the minima are lower when averaged near the MC region (not shown). Third, there is a peak at 94/95, but its origin is unknown (SST(NDJ) at 94/95 is large). It might be an aborted El Niño. All aspects of the second and third points agree among GPCP, ERA-40, NCEP-R2 and JRA-25. The correlation coefficient between GPCP and ERA-40 is 0.84, that between GPCP and NCEP-R2 is 0.66, and that between GPCP and JRA-25 is 0.54. JRA-25 and NCEP-R2 have a more coherent interannual variability with a correlation coefficient of 0.90.

6.2 Latitudinal distribution of rainfall

Finally, the latitudinal distribution of rainfall is discussed based on the datasets considered. Zonal mean profiles of NDJ mean precipitation are shown in Fig. 11. For ERA-40 and NCEP/NCAR the time mean are separated before and after 1976, while for JRA-25, NCEP-R2 and GPCP the whole available record is used (i.e. 1979-2002).

In the ERA-40 the difference in the mean before and after 1976 is limited to the equatorial tropics (between 9ºN-15ºS), with more intense precipitation in the second half of the record (Fig. 11a). This clear difference between and after 1976 is not evident in NCEP/NCAR (fig. 11b). The zonal profiles have two peaks with a slight minimum at the equator. The JRA-25 assimilation zonal profile (fig. 11c) is closest to that of ERA40, though the peak at 6ºS is weaker. It is a questionable which is more reasonable and possibly correct. According to Kallberg (personal communication), the amount of rainfall that is newly estimated in European Centre would be reduced substantially. Both JRA-25 and ERA40 have values larger than GPCP (fig. 11e). In the extra-tropics, the shapes of curves and the amounts of rainfall are comparable within the datasets.
7. Conclusions

Observations and re-analysis datasets have been used to classify ENSO and non-ENSO years to describe typical features of its periodicity and of its spatial patterns. In particular, El Niño and La Nina events have been classified using NINO3 SST and western Pacific upper ocean heat content, respectively. The choice of the heat content and of its average in a specific region (130°-170°E, 2°-10°N, here defined as “north-western lobe”) of the western Pacific follows the hypothesis that heat content growth in the western Pacific is precursor to ENSO (Wyrtki, 1975) in the framework of the recharge-discharge oscillator (Jin 1997a, b). The north-western lobe here defined includes just a portion of the western Pacific area involved in the recharge-discharge oscillator paradigm, and to strengthen our choice we have verified that the heat content averaged there is significantly correlated with NINO3 SST. The classification we used mostly agrees with previous studies, and for La Nina cases it may probably be related to oceanic Rossby wave first meridional mode structure that maximizes around 4°-5°S and 4°-5°N.

Among non-ENSO years we have identified the so-called type-II years (Navarra et al., 1999) that are characterized by very small anomalies in summer, differently from El Niño and La Nina years and from the equatorial Pacific mean climatology. The existence of these years and their characteristics are important if we consider the relationship between ENSO and tropical precipitation variability. In particular, considering a three-year time window the Indian and Pacific sector have comparable correlation in time and intensities between SST and summer mean TOI, with the MC-Western Pacific sector that represents a sort of transition between them. When the type-II years are excluded from the analysis, the correlation coefficients intensify, thus suggesting a strengthening of that relationship. The longitude extension of the correlation supports the idea of an extended-ENSO region. A frequency analysis of the quasi-biennial periodicity evidence that SST have higher biennial frequency variability along the equator west of NINO3, while the HC has those centers in the western
Pacific. In particular, it is possible to identify two lobes, i.e., the northern and southern lobes. The northern center is located east of Mindanao, i.e., 10°N and 130°E-150°E, while the southern center is east of New Guinea, i.e., 6°S and 160°E-170°E. The northern lobe has been used in this study to identify La Niña years.

Considering the spatial patterns of the ENSO composites, the systematic picture of the mean circulation in terms of the wind patterns in lower and upper troposphere are described considering an extended-ENSO region that includes also the tropical Indian Ocean. Combining wind and energy patterns, we can conclude that ENSO plays an important role in the release of a large amount of condensational heat in the tropics (20°N-20°S) with El Niño, but also on spreading warm air outside the tropics with La Niña. Warming of the tropical troposphere in correspondence with ENSO events is accompanied by an intensified Hadley circulation and associated strengthening of the zonal jet streams in both hemispheres (Yulaeva and Wallace, 1994).

Moist and dry static energy, SST and equatorial mean precipitation tend to peak in correspondence of El Nino years. Considering the SST variability, the ENSO signature is evident even when considering larger domains (e.g. global oceans between 45°S-45°N) and on long timescales it is possible to detect a change in its variability around mid 70s. To relate these changes to the precipitation around the equator a specific analysis has been performed using different re-analysis compilations. The datasets available for the whole period of study (i.e. 1958-2001) are ERA40 and NCEP/NCAR. Both are questioned for accuracy (in the case of ERA40, the total amount of heat is questioned but not the relative shape of the rain distribution with latitude), and there is not complete agreement between the two reanalysis, mainly below 700 mb (Hoskins et al., 1989; Nigam et al., 2000). According to ERA-40, the amount of rainfall is much larger after 1976 than that before 1976, particularly in the equatorial tropics. The comparison between ERA40 and other reanalysis products available
after 1979 (i.e. GPCP, NCEP-R2 and JRA-25) evidence some agreements, even if a clear conclusion cannot be drawn. The phenomena discussed in this paper are confined to the tropical band (20°N-20°S), where the predictability is larger. ENSO is basically an air-sea interaction, relatively slow, steady and systematic. In order to understand the whole structure of ENSO processes, the thermocline of the ocean should also be included. An analysis of the oceanic component of ENSO oscillation will be part of a future work. The cause for the 1976 transition has not been discussed in this paper. It is an interesting question whether this kind of transition may occur again in the future.

Appendix A – Type-II cases

How to select the type-II cases is described in this appendix. As was mentioned in section 2, the El Niño and La Niña years are selected by the values of SST at NINO3 and the values of HC in the western lobe, respectively. The remaining years must belong to the type-II or other unidentified cases.

Table A1 presents the 43 years data series of El Niño/La Niña events and SST anomalies between La Niña and El Niño in NINO3 (instead of NINO3.4), and HC anomalies in the western lobe of the Pacific Ocean. This table includes four rows of information for each year. The first row is the years, starting at 1959 and ending at 2001. The second row represents the SST anomalies in NINO3 region during NDJ(0), the third row indicates El Niño, La Niña and type-II years, the fourth row indicates SST anomalies in NINO3 region during JAS(0) and finally the fifth row shows the heat content anomalies in the region 130°E-170°E, 2°N-10°N for NDJ(0) (see subsection 2.2). SST and HC used for this table come from the global ocean analysis described by (Masina et al. 2004).

Type-II events have been extensively discussed and described in Navarra et al. (1999) and in Miyakoda et al. (1999). In those studies, they have been identified by means of SST and precipitation indices in the Equatorial Pacific. In particular, type-II years are characterized by
small SST anomalies during JAS in the NINO3 region (fig. 2b), and they appear to be crucial for the periodicity of the teleconnection between Asian rainfall and the eastern Pacific (Miyakoda et al., 1999; Navarra et al., 1999). Analyzing JAS NINO3 SSTA in the ocean analysis (Masina et al., 2004) we identified as type-II those years having anomalies less than 0.79°C (corresponding to one standard deviation of the SST variability in that period), thus selecting nine events as shown in Table A1. This selection agrees with results from Navarra et al., 1999 and Miyakoda et al., 1999.
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### Table 1: Comparison of the classification of La Nina events used in the present study with some previous works, i.e. Trenberth (1997), Ropelewski and Halpert (1996) and Harrison and Larkin (1998). For each study, common, extra and missing cases compared to our classification are indicated in second, third and fourth row, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In common</td>
<td>64/65, 73/74, 75/76, 88/89, 95/96</td>
<td>67/68, 70/71, 73/74, 75/76, 88/89</td>
<td>64/65, 70/71, 73/74, 75/76, 88/89, 95/96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra</td>
<td>84/85</td>
<td>71/72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>missing</td>
<td>67/68</td>
<td>64/65, 95/96</td>
<td>67/68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A1. Classification of El Niño, La Niña, and type-II years for the period 1959-2001

(SST and HC data are taken from the ocean re-analysis by Masina et al., 2004). SST anomalies are averaged in the NINO3 region, while HC anomalies are averaged in the region 130°E-170°E, 2°N-10°N. JAS refers to the year indicated in the 1st row, while NDJ includes ND of the year specified, and J of the year after.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SST(NDJ)</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/L, II</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST(JAS)</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-1.71</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-1.20</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (NDJ)</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SST(NDJ)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>-1.71</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>-1.90</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/L, II</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST(JAS)</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC(NDJ)</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SST(NDJ)</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/L, II</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST(JAS)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC(NDJ)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SST(NDJ)</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/L, II</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST(JAS)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC(NDJ)</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure captions

Figure 1: NDJ mean SST anomalies (°C) averaged between 4°N-4°S for some (a) type-I-west years (La Niña, i.e. 1973/74, 1988/89, 1995/96), (b) type-I-east years (El Niño, i.e. 1972/73, 1986/87, 1991/92), and (c) type-II years (i.e. 1978/79, 1985/86, 1989/90) taken from Table A1. Anomalies are computed with respect to 1958-2001 mean climatology using HadISST dataset.

Figure 2: Seasonal SST averaged in the NINO3 region for (a) some years from 1958 to 2001, including El Nino, La Nina and non-ENSO events (as specified in the legend); and for (b) the type-II cases classified from Table B1.

Figure 3: (a) “The 3-year correlation diagram” (longitude-time diagram) of lag correlation between TOI in JAS and monthly SST anomalies averaged in the 10°N-10°S belt along the Indo-Pacific region. The ordinate is the lead/lag time from Jan(-1) (top) to Dec(+1) (bottom). Values lower (larger) than −0.4 (0.4) are shaded. (b) is the same as (a) but type-II years (defined in Table A1) are excluded. Note that orange (blue) is used for negative (positive) correlations.

Figure 4: Ratio (%) of the biennial component (18-36 months band-pass filter) over the total of (a) SST and (b) HC normalized monthly mean anomalies.

Figure 5: El Niño composites of wind (m/s) directions (vector) and magnitude (shaded), and of geopotential height (m, as contours) anomalies at (a) 200 mb and (b) 1000 mb. Positive (negative) geopotential height anomalies are red (blue), and high/low centers (H/L) are evidenced. Wind vectors and magnitude are masked if not significant at 95% level.

Figure 6: (a) Scheme of the three domains used for the average of SST in the left panels: NINO3.4 (orange box), extended-ENSO (blue-contoured box) and largest domain (bounded by solid black lines). (b) NDJ mean SSTA averaged over the largest domain (ocean points bounded by the solid lines at about 45°N and 45°S). (c) NDJ mean SSTA averaged over the extended-ENSO region (ocean points within blue-contoured area), and (d) NDJ mean SSTA
over NINO3.4 region (orange box).

**Figure 7:** NDJ mean anomalies of dry and moist static energy \((10^2 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^2)\) at 1000 mb averaged (a) between 45°S-45°N, (b) in the extended-ENSO region and (c) in the NINO3.4 region. The energy values have been computed from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis fields.

**Figure 8:** Composite of NDJ (a,b) vertically integrated moist static energy minus dry static energy (i.e. \(Lq\)) anomalies \((10^6 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^2)\) and (c,d) low levels moisture divergence \((\text{kg m}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}),\) shaded) and low levels moisture fluxes \((\text{kg m}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}),\) vectors for El Nino (upper panels) and La Nina (lower panels) years, respectively. Low levels field are integrated in the lower troposphere, below 700 mb.

**Figure 9:** NDJ mean precipitation anomalies (mm/day) averaged in the tropical central eastern Pacific of 20°S-20°N and 80°E-280°E based on (a) ERA-40, (b) JRA-25, (c) NCEP-R2, (d) GPCP and (e) NCEP/NCAR reanalysis.

**Figure 10:** NDJ mean precipitation anomalies (mm/day) averaged in the domain 80°-280°E, 5°S-5°N from GPCP.

**Figure 11:** Zonal mean NDJ precipitation (mm/day) for (a) ERA40 (before and after 1976), (b) NCEP/NCAR (before and after 1976), (c) JRA-25, (d) NCEP-R2 and (e) GPCP datasets.
FIGURE 2

(a) and (b) show the monthly variations in temperature from 1970 to 2000. The data points are connected by lines of different styles and colors for each year. The x-axis represents the months from January to July, and the y-axis represents the temperature variation in degrees Celsius.
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