The response of the ionosphere to faint and bright solar flares as deduced from global GPS network data
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Abstract
Results derived from analysing the ionosphere response to faint and bright solar flares are presented. The analysis used technology of a global detection of ionospheric effects from solar flares as developed by the authors, on the basis of phase measurements of the Total Electron Content (TEC) in the ionosphere using an international GPS network. The essence of the method is that use is made of appropriate filtering and a coherent processing of variations in the TEC which is determined from GPS data, simultaneously for the entire set of visible GPS satellites at all stations used in the analysis. This technique is useful for identifying the ionospheric response to faint solar flares (of X-ray class C) when the variation amplitude of the TEC response to separate line-on-sight to GPS satellite is comparable to the level of background fluctuations. The dependence of the TEC variation response amplitude on the bright flares location on the Sun is investigated.
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1. Introduction
The enhancement of X-ray and ultraviolet (UV) emission observed during chromospheric flares on the Sun immediately causes an increase in electron density in the ionosphere. These density variations differ for different altitudes and are called Sudden Ionospheric Disturbances (SID) (Donnelly, 1969; Davies, 1990). SIDs are generally recorded as the Short Wave Fadeout (SWF) (Stonehocker, 1970); Sudden Phase Anomaly (SPA) (Jones, 1971; Ohshio, 1971); Sudden Frequency Deviation (SFD) (Donnelly, 1971; Liu et al., 1996); Sudden Cosmic Noise Absorption (SCNA) (Deshpande and Mitra, 1972); Sudden Enhancement/decrease of atmospherics (SES) (Sao et al., 1970). Much research is devoted to SID studies, among them a number of thorough reviews (Mitra, 1974; Davies, 1990).

SFD are caused by an almost time-coincident increase in electron densities in the E- and F-region on the dayside of the Earth (Davies, 1990; Donnelly, 1969; Liu et al., 1996). A limitation of this method is the uncertainty in the spatial and altitude localization of the UV flux effect, the inadequate number of paths, and the need to use special-purpose equipment.

The effect of solar flares on the ionospheric F-region is also manifested as a Sudden Increase of Total Electron Content (SITEC) which was measured previously using continuously operating VHF radio beacons on geostationary satellites (Mendillo et al., 1974b; Davies, 1980). A serious limitation of methods based on analyzing VHF signals from geostationary...
satellites is their small and ever decreasing (with time) number and the nonuniform distribution in longitude. Hence it is impossible to make measurements in some geophysically interesting regions of the globe, especially in high latitudes.

A further, highly informative, technique is the method of Incoherent Scatter (IS) (Thome and Wagner, 1971; Mendillo et al., 1974a). However, the practical implementation of the IS method requires very sophisticated, expensive equipment. The IS method’s time resolution is inadequate for the study of ionospheric effects from solar flares. The time of electron density enhancement in the $E$ and $F_1$ regions during impulsive flares can be 2-3 min.

Consequently, none of the above-mentioned existing methods can serve as an effective basis for the radio detection system to provide a continuous, global SID monitoring with adequate space-time resolution. Furthermore, the creation of these facilities requires developing special purpose equipment, including powerful radio transmitters contaminating the radio environment. It is also significant that when using the existing methods, the inadequate spatial aperture gives no way of deducing the possible spatial inhomogeneity of the X-ray and UV flux.

The advent and evolution of a Global Positioning System (GPS) and also the creation on its basis of widely branched networks of GPS stations (at least 900 sites at the August of 2001, the data from which are placed on the Internet) opened up a new era in remote ionospheric sensing. High-precision measurements of the TEC along the Line-Of-Sight (LOS) between the receiver on the ground and transmitters on the GPS system satellites covering the reception zone are made using two-frequency multichannel receivers of the GPS system at almost any point of the globe and at any time simultaneously at two coherently coupled frequencies $f_1 = 1575.42$ MHz and $f_2 = 1227.60$ MHz.

The sensitivity of phase measurements in the GPS system is sufficient for detecting irregularities with an amplitude of up to $10^{-3}$-$10^{-4}$ of the diurnal TEC variation. This makes it possible to detect ionospheric disturbances from different sources of artificial and natural origins. The TEC unit (TECU) which is equal to $10^{16}$ m$^{-2}$ and is commonly accepted in the literature, will be used throughout the text.

Afraimovich (2000) and Afraimovich et al. (2000b, 2001a,b) developed a novel technology of a global detection of ionospheric effects from solar flares and presented data from first GPS measurements of global response of the ionosphere to powerful impulsive flares of September 23, 1998, July 29, 1999, and December 28, 1999, were chosen to illustrate the practical implementation of the proposed method. Authors found that fluctuations of TEC, obtained by removing the linear trend of TEC with a time window of about 5 min, are coherent for all stations and LOS on the dayside of the Earth. The time profile of TEC responses is similar to the time behavior of hard X-ray emission variations during flares in the energy range 25-35 keV if the relaxation time of electron density disturbances in the ionosphere of order 50-100 s is introduced. No such effect on the nightside of the Earth has been detected yet.

The objective of this paper is to use this technology for analysing the ionosphere response to faint and bright solar flares.

2. Processing of the data from the GPS network

Following is a brief outline of the global monitoring (detection) technique for solar flares. A physical groundwork for the method is formed by the effect of fast change in electron density in the Earth’s ionosphere at the time of a flare simultaneously on the entire sunlit surface. Essentially, the method implies using appropriate filtering and a coherent processing of TEC variations in the ionosphere simultaneously for the entire set of visible (during a given time interval) GPS satellites (as many as 5-10 satellites) at all global GPS network stations used in the analysis.

In detecting solar flares, the ionospheric response is virtually simultaneous for all stations on the dayside of the globe within the time resolution range of the GPS receivers (from 30 s to 0.1 s). Therefore, a coherent processing of TEC variations implies in this case a simple addition of single TEC variations. The detection
sensitivity is determined by the ability to detect typical signals of the ionospheric response to a solar flare (leading edge duration, period, form, length) at the level of TEC background fluctuations. Ionospheric irregularities are characterized by a power spectrum, so that background fluctuations will always be distinguished in the frequency range of interest.

However, the spatial scale on which the averaging is carried out substantially exceeds the correlation scales of background fluctuations. With a typical length of X-ray bursts and UV emission of solar flares of about 5-10 min, the corresponding ionization irregularity size does normally not exceed 30-50 km; hence the condition of a statistical independence of TEC fluctuations at spaced beams is almost always satisfied. Therefore, coherent summation of responses to a flare on a set of LOS spaced throughout the dayside of the globe permits the solar flare effect to be detected even when the response amplitude on partial LOS is markedly smaller than the noise level (background fluctuations).

The proposed procedure of coherent accumulation is essentially equivalent to the operation of coincidence schemes which are extensively used in X-ray and gamma-ray telescopes. If the SID response and background fluctuations, respectively, are considered to be the signal and noise, then as a consequence of a statistical independence of background fluctuations the signal/noise ratio when detecting the flare effect is increased through a coherent processing by at least a factor of $\sqrt{N}$, where $N$ is the number of LOS.

The GPS technology provides the means of estimating TEC variations on the basis of phase measurements of TEC $I$ in each of the spaced two-frequency GPS receivers using the formula (Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 1992; Calais and Minster, 1996)

$$I = \frac{1}{40.308} \frac{f_1^2 f_2^2}{f_1^2 - f_2^2} \left[ (L_1 \lambda_1 - L_2 \lambda_2) + \right.$$

$$\left. + \text{const} + nL \right]$$

where $L_1 \lambda_1$ and $L_2 \lambda_2$ are the increments of the radio signal phase path caused by the phase delay in the ionosphere (m); $L_1$ and $L_2$ stand for the number of complete phase rotations, and $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the wavelengths (m) for the frequencies $f_1$ and $f_2$, respectively; const is some unknown initial phase path (m); and $nL$ is the error in determining the phase path (m).

Phase measurements in the GPS system are made with a high degree of accuracy where the error in TEC determination for 30-s averaging intervals does not exceed $10^{14} \text{m}^{-2}$, although the initial value of TEC does remain unknown (Hofmann-Wellenhof et al., 1992).

The data analysis was based on using the stations where the local time during the flare varied from 10 to 17 LT.

Primary data include series of slant values of TEC $I(t)$, as well as the corresponding series of elevations $\theta(t)$ and azimuths $\alpha(t)$. These data are calculated using our developed CONVTEC program which converts the GPS system standard RINEX-files on the Internet (Gurtner, 1993).

The determination of SID characteristics involves selecting continuous series of $I(t)$ measurements of at least a one-hour interval in length, which includes the time of the flare. Series of elevations $\theta(t)$ and azimuths $\alpha(t)$ of the LOS are used to determine the coordinates of subionospheric points. In the case under consideration, all results were obtained for elevations $\theta(t)$ larger than 30°.

The method of coherent summation of time derivatives of the series of variations of the «vertical» TEC value was employed in studying the ionospheric response to solar flares. Our choice of the time derivative of TEC was motivated by the fact this derivative permits us to get rid of a constant component in TEC variations; furthermore, it reflects electron density variations that are proportional to the flux of ionizing radiation.

The coherent summation of time derivatives of the series of variations of the «vertical» TEC value was made by the formula

$$Sd = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dI(t) / dt \times K_i$$

where $n$ is the number of LOS. The correction coefficient $K_i$ is required for converting the slant
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TEC to an equivalent «vertical» value (Klobuchar, 1986)

\[ K_r = \cos \left( \arcsin \left( \frac{R}{R_z + h_{\max}} \cos \theta \right) \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

where \( R \) is Earth’s radius; and \( h_{\max} \) is the height of the ionospheric \( F_r \)-layer maximum.

Next the trend determined as a polynomial on a corresponding time interval is removed from the result (normalized to the number of LOS) of the coherent summation of the time derivatives. After that, the calculated time dependence \( (Sd_{\alpha}(t)) \) is integrated in order to obtain the mean integral TEC increment \( \Delta I(t) \) on the time interval specified \([t_1 - t_2]\).

\[ \Delta I(t) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} Sd_{\alpha}(t) dt. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

This technique is useful for identifying the ionospheric response to faint solar flares (of X-ray class C) when the variation amplitude of the TEC response to separate LOS is comparable to the level of background fluctuations.

3. Ionospheric response to faint solar flare

An example of a processing of the data for a faint solar flare July 29, 1999 (C2.5/SF, 10:11 UT, S16W11) is given in fig. 1a-e. One hundred LOS were processed for the analysis of this event. Panels (a) and (b) present the typical time dependencies of TEC variations for separate LOS (a) and their time derivatives (b) for stations BRUS (PRN14, thick line) and BAHR (PRN29, thin line); a normalized result of a coherent summation \( Sd \) of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS (c); the same curve (c), upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 10:07-10:19 UT (d); mean integral increment of TEC (thick line) and soft X-ray emission flux (GOES-10) in the range 1-8 Å (thin line) (e).

**Fig. 1a-e.** Faint solar flare July 29, 1999 (C2.5/SF, 10:11 UT, S16W11). The typical time dependencies of TEC variations for separate LOS (a) and their time derivatives (b) for stations BRUS (PRN14, thick line) and BAHR (PRN29, thin line); a normalized result of a coherent summation \( Sd \) of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS (c); the same curve (c), upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 10:07-10:19 UT (d); mean integral increment of TEC (thick line) and soft X-ray emission flux (GOES-10) in the range 1-8 Å (thin line) (e).
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of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS. Upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 10:48-11:11 UT, mean integral increment of TEC (d). Analogous dependencies for faint solar flare July 29, 1999 (C6.2/SN, 15:14 UT, N25E53) on panels (e-h).

Fig. 2a-h. Faint solar flare July 29, 1999 (C2.2, 11:00 UT) (a-d); soft X-ray emission flux (GOES-10) in the range 1-8 Å (a); normalized result of a coherent summation 

\[ S_d \]

of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS (b); the same curve (b), upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 10:48-11:11 UT; mean integral increment of TEC (d). Analogous dependencies for faint solar flare July 29, 1999 (C6.2/SN, 15:14 UT, N25E53) on panels (e-h).

Fig. 2a-h. Faint solar flare July 29, 1999 (C2.2, 11:00 UT) (a-d); soft X-ray emission flux (GOES-10) in the range 1-8 Å (a); normalized result of a coherent summation 

\[ S_d \]

of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS (b); the same curve (b), upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 10:48-11:11 UT; mean integral increment of TEC (d). Analogous dependencies for faint solar flare July 29, 1999 (C6.2/SN, 15:14 UT, N25E53) on panels (e-h).

Fig. 3a-h. Faint solar flare November 17, 1999 (C7.0/1N, 09:38 UT, S15W53) (a-d); soft X-ray emission flux (GOES-10) in the range 1-8 Å (a); normalized result of a coherent summation 

\[ S_d \]

of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS (b); the same curve (b), upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 09:18-09:54 UT (c); mean integral increment of TEC (d). Analogous dependencies for faint solar flare November 14, 1999 (C5.0, 15:40 UT) on panels (e-h).

Fig. 3a-h. Faint solar flare November 17, 1999 (C7.0/1N, 09:38 UT, S15W53) (a-d); soft X-ray emission flux (GOES-10) in the range 1-8 Å (a); normalized result of a coherent summation 

\[ S_d \]

of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS (b); the same curve (b), upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 09:18-09:54 UT (c); mean integral increment of TEC (d). Analogous dependencies for faint solar flare November 14, 1999 (C5.0, 15:40 UT) on panels (e-h).
flares: July 29, 1999 (C2.2, 11:00 UT) on panels a, b, c, d, and July 29, 1999 (C6.1/SN, 15:14 UT, N25E53) on panels e, f, g, h. Figure 3a-h shows the results of a data processing of TEC variations for solar flares of November 17, 1999 (C7.0/1N, 09:38 UT, S15W53) on panels a, b, c, d, and November 14, 1999 (C5.0, 15:40 UT) on panels e, f, g, h. Based on the above examples, it is possible to follow a correlation between the peak power of the faint flares in the X-ray range and the TEC enhancement in the ionosphere. For class C2 flares (fig. 1a-e, fig. 2a-d), the respective amplitude of TEC response is about twice as small as that for class C5-C7 flares (fig. 3a-h, fig. 2e-h). For C2.2 (fig. 2a-d) and C5 flares (fig. 3e-h), information about their localization on the Sun is unavailable.

4. Ionospheric response to bright solar flares

An example of a processing of the data for the bright solar flare of July 14, 1998 (M4.6/1B, 12:59 UT, S23E20) is given in fig. 4a-e. Fifty LOS were used in the analysis of this event. Figure 4a presents the time dependencies of hard X-ray emission (CGRO/Batse, 25-50 keV, thick line) on panels a) and of the UV line SOHO/SUMMER 171 Å, thin line) in arbitrary units (Aschwanden et al., 1999). It should be noted that the time dependence of the UV 171 Å line is more flattened, both in the rise and in the fall, when compared with the hard X-ray emission characteristic. The increase in the UV 171 Å line starts by about 1.8 min earlier, and the duration of its disturbance exceeds considerably that of the hard X-ray emission disturbance.

Panel (b) presents the typical time dependencies of the TEC variations for separate LOS. The AOML (PRN24, thick line) and ACSI (PRN18, thin line) stations are taken as examples. A response to the bright flare is clearly distinguished for separate LOS. The normalized sum $S_d$ of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS is presented in fig. 4c; panel (d) plots the same curve (c), upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 12:48-13:12 UT (d); the mean integral increment of TEC (e).

![Image](image.png)

**Fig. 4a-e.** Bright solar flare July 14, 1998 (12:59 UT, M4.6/1B, S23E20). Time dependencies of hard X-ray emission (CGRO/Batse, 25-50 keV, thick line) and of the UV line (SOHO/SUMMER 171 Å, thin line) in arbitrary units (a); typical time dependencies of the TEC variations for separate LOS for stations AOML (PRN24, thick line) and ACSI (PRN18, thin line) (b); the normalized sum $S_d$ of the time derivatives of the TEC variations for all LOS (c); same curve (c), upon subtracting the trend determined as a polynomial of degree 3 on the time interval 12:48-13:12 UT (d); the mean integral increment of TEC (e).
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Next the resulting time dependence was integrated in order to obtain the mean integral increment of TEC (fig. 4e). It should be pointed out that the time dependence of the mean integral increment of TEC has a more flattened form in the rise than the emission flux characteristics; however, the onset time of its increase coincides with that of hard X-ray emission, and is delayed by about 1.8 min with respect to the UV 171 Å line.

A total of 23 events was processed (see table I). The class of X-ray flares was from $M_{2.0}$ to $M_{5.7}$. It was found that the mean TEC variation response in the ionosphere depends on the flare location on the Sun (central meridian distance, CMD) (fig. 5a).

5. Discussion

Our results are consistent with the findings reported by Donnelly (1969, 1971, 1976), Donnelly et al. (1986a), where a study of extreme UV (EUV) flashes of solar flares observed via SFD was made. In the cited references it was shown that the relative strength of impulsive EUV emission from flares decreases with increasing CMD and average peak frequency deviation is also significantly

---

### Table I. Bright solar flares.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Data dd.mm.yy</th>
<th>Flare max time UT</th>
<th>X-ray/optic class</th>
<th>$\delta_{TEC}$ TECU</th>
<th>LAT CMD degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.07.98</td>
<td>12:59</td>
<td>M4.6/1B</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>S23 E20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.08.98</td>
<td>12:42</td>
<td>M2.3/SF</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>N35 E78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.03.99</td>
<td>01:27</td>
<td>M2.1/1N</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>N13 E39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04.04.99</td>
<td>15:25</td>
<td>M5.4/1F</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>N18 E72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>08.05.99</td>
<td>14:40</td>
<td>M4.6/1F</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>N23 W75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29.07.99</td>
<td>19:36</td>
<td>M5.1/1N</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>N25 E51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.08.99</td>
<td>16:36</td>
<td>M3.0/2N</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>S31 E04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.08.99</td>
<td>13:07</td>
<td>M5.5/2N</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>S23 W09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.11.99</td>
<td>16:07</td>
<td>M5.6/-</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>N18 E60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.12.99</td>
<td>19:04</td>
<td>M5.3/1B</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>N24 E19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>28.12.99</td>
<td>00:48</td>
<td>M4.5/2B</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>N20 W56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.01.00</td>
<td>13:50</td>
<td>M3.3/2N</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>S13 E69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>23.03.00</td>
<td>12:14</td>
<td>M2.0/SF</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>N15 W69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.03.00</td>
<td>17:34</td>
<td>M2.3/SF</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>S12 W55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>08.04.00</td>
<td>02:40</td>
<td>M2.0/SF</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>S15 E26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.06.00</td>
<td>16:02</td>
<td>M5.2/3B</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>N22 W38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.06.00</td>
<td>04:07</td>
<td>M2.6/2B</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>N19 W30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.07.00</td>
<td>18:49</td>
<td>M5.7/2F</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>N16 W64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>14.07.00</td>
<td>13:52</td>
<td>M3.7/1N</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>N20 W08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.07.00</td>
<td>02:03</td>
<td>M5.5/1N</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>N09 E81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>18.07.00</td>
<td>14:19</td>
<td>M3.0/2N</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>S14 E15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>20.07.00</td>
<td>20:25</td>
<td>M5.0/1B</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>S15 W11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>19.09.00</td>
<td>08:26</td>
<td>M5.1/1N</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>N14 W46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Flares X-Ray M2.0 - M5.7

lower for SFDs associated with Hα flares at large CMD. Donnelly (1971) is of the opinion that percentage of Hα-flares accompanied by SFD’s as a function of the central meridian distance of the Hα flare (b).

Equation (5.1) implies that on the average the

\[ A(CMD) = 1 - 0.0484 \cdot CMD + 0.001426 \cdot CMD^2 - 1.79 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot CMD^3 + 7.43 \cdot 10^{-8} CMD^4. \]  

(5.1)

Equation (5.1) implies that on the average the
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impulsive EUV emission is more than one order of magnitude weaker for flares near the solar limb than for flares at the central meridian. Donnelly (1976) has assumed that it results from the low-lying nature of the 10^7-10^8 K flare source region and from absorption of EUV emission in the surrounding cool nonflaring atmosphere. This conclusion is consistent with the findings reported by Donnelly and Puga (1990). In the cited reference it was found the empirical curves of the average dependence of active region emission on its CMD (fig. 6a) for several wavelengths (Donnelly et al., 1986a,b). Assume a quiet Sun plus one average active region that starts at the center of the backside of the Sun and rotates across the center of the solar disk with a 28-day period. Figure 6b presents the result of a modeling of the SFD occurrence probability at the time of the solar flare as a function of CMD (dashed lines) in arbitrary units, as well as the values amplitude of the TEC response in the ionosphere to solar flares (in the range of X-ray class M 2.0-M 5.7, dots) as a function of CMD. The modeling used eq. (5.1). The figure suggests that the results of our measurements do not contradict the conclusions drawn by Donnelly (1976) Donnelly et al. (1986b) that the relative strength of impulsive EUV emission from flares decreases with increasing CMD. It should be noted that in the case of solar flares whose class is similar in X-ray emission, the dependence under study resembles cos(CMD) rather than a polynomial of degree 4. The fitting cos(CMD) curve for solar X-ray M 2.0-M 5.7 flares is plotted in figs. 6b (solid line) and 5a (solid line).

6. Summary

This paper suggests a new method for investigating the ionospheric response to faint solar flares (of X-ray class C) when the variation amplitude of the TEC response to individual LOS is comparable to the level of background fluctuations. The dependence of the TEC variation response amplitude on the flare location on the Sun is investigated. In the case of solar flares whose class is similar in X-ray emission, the dependence under study resembles cos(CMD). The high sensitivity of our method permits us to propose the problem of detecting, in the flare X-ray and EUV ranges, emissions of non-solar origins which are the result of supernova explosions. For powerful solar flares it is not necessary to invoke a coherent summation, and the ionospheric response can be investigated for each beam. This opens the way to a detailed study of the SID dependence on a great variety of parameters (latitude, longitude, solar zenith angle, spectral characteristics of the emission flux, etc.). With current increasing solar activity, such studies become highly challenging. In addition to solving traditional problems of estimating parameters of ionization processes in the ionosphere and problems of reconstructing emission parameters, the data obtained through the use of our method can be used to estimate the spatial inhomogeneity of emission fluxes at scales of the Earth’s radius.

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to E.A. Kosogorov and O.S. Lesuta for preparing the input data. Thanks are also due to V.G. Mikhailkovsky for his assistance in preparing the English version of the manuscript. This work was done with support under RFBR grant of leading scientific schools of the Russian Federation No. 00-15-98509 and Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grants 99-05-64753, 00-05-72026 and 00-02-16819a), GNTP «Astronomy».

REFERENCES


