Irpinia earthquake 23 November 1980 – Lesson from Nature reviled by joint data analysis
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Abstract
A devastating earthquake of magnitude 6.9 occurred in Southern Italy on 23rd November 1980 in Irpinia-Basilicata area. Variations of different geochemical, atmospheric and ionospheric parameters and electromagnetic emissions were registered around the time of the Irpinia earthquake. The substantial progress reached in understanding the physics of the electromagnetic and thermal anomalies associated with the earthquake preparation process permitted us to create the Lithosphere-Atmosphere-Ionosphere (LAI) coupling model published recently. It shows that the observed effects are not independent but present the cause-consequence chain of physical processes and plasma-chemical reactions. We try to analyze the seismic data, radon emanation, hydrological anomalies, ground based ionosondes network, NOAA/AVHRR Thermal Infrared Irradiance (TIR) anomaly, Intercosmos-19 satellite topside sounding and VLF emissions data using the concept of the developed model and existing laboratory and largescale active experiments on air ionization. If the observed radon activity is really connected with the earthquake preparation process, all other variations of the atmosphere and ionosphere parameters can be explained as a consequence of the main physical process – air ionization by radon.
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1. Introduction

The Irpinia earthquake took place on 23rd November 1980 (M_s=6.9) at 18 h 34 m UT. The normal slip with average value 61 cm along the fault occurred with strike orientation N18W. It was oriented parallel to the Apennines Mountains axis. The size of the earthquake source estimated by the aftershock activity was of the order 14×40 km (Deschamps and King, 1980). This earthquake was one of the strongest events in 20th century Italy. It caused the death of about 3000 people, total destruction of 15 towns and severe damage within a radius near 50 km. Maybe for this reason it is one of the well studied strong seismic events where the rich dataset of different parameters including their variations before and after the earthquake was collected. The seismic data, focal mechanism and other seismological information were widely discussed in the special issue of Annali di Geofisica (Boschi et al., 1993) and in many...
dedicated publications (Bernard and Zollo, 1989). One can find the rich set of references on the papers devoted to Irpinia earthquake in Valensise (1993). Allegri et al. (1983) analyzed the radon and tiltmeter data before the Irpinia earthquake. Martinelli et al. (1999) analyzed the thermic springs and their relation with tectonic faults position in the Southern Italy. Italiano et al. (2000) from the geochemical data for the tectonic faults in Southern Apennines found possible magma intrusions from deep lithosphere. Esposito et al. (2001) demonstrated examples of hydrological anomalies around the time of Irpinia earthquake. Legen’ka et al. (1995) presented evidence of ionospheric anomaly development before the Irpinia earthquake. Ruzhin and Larkin (1996) registered the VLF noises and stimulated particles precipitation over the area of Irpinia earthquake preparation and in magnetically conjugated zones from onboard Intercosmos-19 satellite. Pulinets and Legen’ka (1997, 2003) suggested a similar magnetically conjugated effect in electron concentration variations and formation of the large scale ionospheric irregularity one day before the Irpinia earthquake using the topside sounding data from Intercosmos-19 satellite. Tramutoli et al. (2001) and Di Bello et al. (2004) analyzing several years of NOAA/AVHRR (Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer on board NOAA – US National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration – satellites) satellite data in the same month of Irpinia earthquake occurrence detected TIR anomalies (never observed in seismically quiescent years) several days before the Irpinia earthquake not only in the epicentral zone but at distances corresponding to the radon measurements of Allegri et al. (1983) and estimations of the earthquake preparation area of Dobrovolsky et al. (1979).

The concept of the earthquake preparation area was developed by Dobrovolsky et al. (1979) using the calculations of elastic deformation of the Earth’s crust. They obtained the logarithmic law connecting the size of the area with the specified level of deformation and the earthquake magnitude. Then they posed on this distribution the maximal distance from the epicenter of different kinds of anomalies registered before earthquake by different researchers.

These anomalies included changes in the ground resistivity, magnetic and gravitational fields, electric field anomalies, variations of $v_p/v_s$, electromagnetic emissions, geochemical anomalies, etc. It is very interesting that all these points occupied the line close to the $10^{-8}$ deformation level, and this dependence can be expressed as $R=10^{0.43M}$ where $R$ is the size in kilometers, and $M$ the earthquake magnitude. The $R$ value was called the earthquake preparation area. Toutain and Baubron (1998) in their comprehensive review of around 170 publications on geochemical parameters registered around the time of strong earthquake put together the epicentral distance of the registered geochemical anomalies versus earthquake magnitude (see fig. 8 of their paper) using the data of the reviewed papers and the obtained distribution fits satisfactorily the curve of Dobrovolsky et al. (1979). The papers on statistical seismology describing the earthquake as a critical state (Bowman et al., 1998), area of activation of earthquake flow (Kossobokov et al., 2000), precursory seismic activation area (Rundle et al., 2000), cite the Dobrovolsky et al. (1979) result and show that their dependencies are in agreement with the Dobrovolsky formula. It means that this relationship has more fundamental meaning than simply elastic deformation on the level of $10^{-8}$.

We are now at a turning point where the skepticism of the end of 20th century is replaced by the realistic approach and reserved optimism connected with the recently developed techniques of middle-term and short-term prediction. And if the statistical seismology gives only the regions, so called «hot spots» of the expected earthquakes in the middle term perspective (Tianpo et al., 2002), the satellite technologies are able to answer on the three main questions of prediction: where? when? and how strong? (Pulinets and Boyarchuk, 2004; Bondur and Zverev, 2005; Pulinets, 2006). At present at least two directions show successes in understanding of underlying processes and produce some hope for short-term prediction – it is the statistical seismology and seismo-electromagnetic phenomena (Haya-kawa and Molchanov, 2002). We will concentrate on the last one because our results clearly show the electromagnetic nature of the
observed phenomena few days before the Irpinia earthquake. The electromagnetic and thermal phenomena observed as on the ground surface so in the near-Earth plasma few days before the seismic shock (Pulinets et al., 1994; Chmyrev et al., 1997; Molchanov and Hayakawa, 1998; Molchanov et al., 1998; Pulinets, 1998; Liu et al., 2000, 2002, 2004; Biagi et al., 2001; Tramutoli et al., 2001; Chu et al., 2002; Di Bello et al., 2004; Filizzola et al., 2004) recently obtained their explanation within the frame of the developed physical model of seismo-ionospheric coupling (Pulinets et al., 2000, 2002; Pulinets and Boyarchuk, 2004). The main advantage of the model is that most of the observed phenomena can be united in the chain of interconnected physical and chemical processes. Within the frame of the model the revealed interconnections produce the new view on the old data.

The purpose of physics is explanation of observed natural phenomena. We hope that the present paper will help us to understand why variations of different atmosphere and ionosphere parameters are observed before earthquakes, and why we can hope to use them in the future for probably short-term earthquake warning.

2. The physical model

Conditionally the model proposed by Pulinets et al. (1998a, 2000, 2002, 2006) and Pulinets and Boyarchuk (2004) can be divided into two branches – atmospheric and ionospheric. The first explains the anomalies observed in the boundary layer of atmosphere, and the second the ionospheric anomalies observed before the earthquakes.

Both of them have a common origin – the Earth’s natural radioactivity, and the main physical process – the air ionization produced by the radon emanating from the Earth’s crust. Radon, the heavy noble gas, is a product of radium decay inside the Earth; it is emanating continuously all over the world and sometimes presents serious problem for human health. The main problem which indoor radon creates is lung cancer (Krewski et al., 2002). The intensity of radon emanation is higher near active tectonic faults (King et al., 1993; King, 1996). It was detected that before earthquakes specific variations of radon flux are observed (Khilyuk et al., 2000). Actually, the radon records are very noisy because of many factors influencing radon emanation intensity including rains, atmospheric pressure etc., but the new techniques were developed recently permitting us to reveal from the records the variations associated only with the seismic activity (Belyaev, 2001; Zmazek et al., 2005). The second and the most important question is: do specific radon variations appear for every earthquake? It is difficult to make the positive answer at present, it is still the subject of dispute but carefully organized measurements in Turkey (Inan, 2005) and Izrael (Zafrir et al., 2005) give very promising results demonstrating the radon concentration increase even for very small shocks (as happens in Israel for earthquakes with magnitudes lower than 3). Indirect evidence of radon variations before earthquakes is the specific character of atmospheric variations before earthquakes (Dunajecka and Pulinets, 2005) which can be explained only by the air ionization which, in turn can be produced only by radon.

High energy (near 6 MeV) alpha particles emitted by radon produce the air ionization. Under action of an ionizing radiation in the atmosphere in an initial stage a large amount of O2+ ions is formed both as a result of direct ionization, and as a result of charge exchange between an initial ion N2+ and electrons, which fast adhere to atoms of oxygen, since the oxygen has a significant energy of an affinity to electrons, forming the negative ions O− and O2−. As a result of fast ion-molecular reactions during the interval of order 10−7 s the main elementary tropospheric ions will be formed: O−, O2−, NO2−, NO3−, CO3− and O2+, NO+, H2O+. The large amount of water vapor molecules contained in the troposphere (∼1017 cm−3), having a noticeable dipole moment p = 1.87 D, leads to hydration of elementary ions and formation of ion complexes of a type NO2−·(H2O)n and NO3−·(H2O)n, NO−·(HNO3)n·(H2O)m and O2−·(H2O)n, NO+·(H2O)n, H+·(H2O)m and H2O+·(H2O)n that happens rather fast. The ions NO3−·(H2O)n, NO−·(HNO3)n·(H2O)m and H2O+·(H2O)n could be regarded as the main ions of troposphere with a high level of probability. The average time of life of these
ions reaches 30-40 min and more in usual conditions (Smirnov, 1992). The process of formation of large ion clusters has been known for a long time and proved in laboratory experiments with thoron injection in chamber with pure air (Bricard et al., 1968). It was recently supported by different publications describing the process of formation of large ion clusters of aerosol size under action of cosmic rays and natural radiation (radon) (Wilding and Harrison, 2005). So we can consider the process of aerosol formation due to ionization well established. Our model calculations show that the concentration of charged ion clusters as a result of radon ionization can reach $10^3\text{--}10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ (Pulinets et al., 2000).

The chemical reaction of ions hydration is effectively water condensation on ions accompanied by the release of the latent heat of evaporation (Timofeev et al., 2003). The growth of particles due to water molecules attachment to ions is calculated by Wilding and Harrison (2005), and more detailed ion kinetics is considered by Boyarchuk et al. (2005). As a final result of the hydration we should observe the changes in air temperature (due to latent heat release) and humidity (due to water vapor condensation on ions). Silva and Claro (2005) found a 95% correlation between the changes of atmosphere parameters and the fluxes of radon and its products. Dunajecka and Pulinets (2005) registered the variations of air humidity and temperature around the time of major earthquakes in Mexico. Pulinets et al. (2006) calculated the changes in air relative humidity under action of radon ionization and found that using the experimental values of radon emission it is possible to change the air humidity from 100% up to 30% during 10 h.

Finalizing the description of the atmospheric branch of our model, we can state that as a final result of the increased ionization level before earthquakes the changes in the air relative humidity (decrease) and near ground air temperature (increase) should be observed. In the present state of our model we are able to calculate the concentration of the forming ion clusters and changes in air humidity. The total thermal balance model is under development.

The appearance of the heavy charged ion clusters in the troposphere leads to the modification of the vertical electric field which is the part of the global electric circuit providing the electrodynamic coupling between the ground and the ionosphere (Roble and Tzur, 1986). Slight modification of the atmospheric electric field in the near ground layer of the troposphere happens everywhere and is named the «electrode effect» (Hoppel, 1967; Boyarchuk et al., 1997). The difference between the seismic and non-seismic areas is that the radon emanation in the vicinity of tectonic fault is higher, producing the higher level of air ionization (King et al., 1993). In addition the radon flux intensity increases before a strong earthquake within the area of earthquake preparation (Khilyuk et al., 2000). The effect of the additional number of aerosols on the atmospheric electric field is calculated in Boyarchuk et al. (1998) and more recently in Boyarchuk et al. (2005). Rulenko (2000), in his review of anomalous electric field variations before earthquakes, shows examples of variations within the range of several kV/m. The most recent results of anomalous electric field registration before earthquakes are found in Smirnov (2005). Such variations are not unique for the earthquakes case, similar electric field jumps were registered, for example in the morning fog (Mühleisen, 1958).

The charged particles of dusty atmospheric plasma (Pulinets et al., 2002) will be accelerated in the strong anomalous electric field and generate electromagnetic emission within the wide frequency band. We strongly believe that ELF-VLF electromagnetic emissions registered before the seismic shocks (Frazer-Smith et al., 1990) are the result not of some underground processes very difficult to explain, but the plasma instabilities in the near ground dusty atmospheric plasma. This will be the subject of a separate paper. The ELF-VLF emission can also be produced by coronal discharges from cutting edges under the action of anomalous electric field as proposed by Bardakov et al. (2004).

The electric field penetration into the ionosphere was calculated in the series of publications of Kim et al. (1994, 1996) and in concise form presented in Pulinets et al. (2000). The calculations are based on the modified formulation of Park and Dejnakanintr (1973) who estimated the penetration of the thunderstorm cloud electric field into the ionosphere. This formulation
was checked by many authors (Tzur and Roble, 1985; Rodger et al., 1998; McCormick et al., 2002) and was found to be correct. Instead of the point source (Park and Dejnarakintra, 1973) Kim and Hegai (1997) used the finite size of the electric field source which increased the electric field penetration effectiveness. The used electric field source geometry was as cylindrically symmetrical (Kim et al., 1994) as elongated (Pulinets et al., 1998b). It was demonstrated how important the size of the electric field source is. For example, in Pulinets et al. (1998b) it was shown that for a large elongated source presented by the Andes Mountains, even an additional 65 V/m is enough to cause the noticeable effect in the ionosphere. The size of the electric field source is directly related to the size of the earthquake preparation zone (Dobrovolsky et al., 1979) and will be discussed below. Here we will only comment that from the model estimations of Pulinets et al. (2000) the minimal size of the electric field source (for the electric field intensity near 1 kV/m on the ground surface) should be of order of 200 km to cause a noticeable effect within the ionosphere. Such source will create in the ionosphere (E-layer) the electric field perpendicular to the geomagnetic field lines in the order of 1 mV/m which is sufficient to create the ionospheric irregularities due to particles $E \times B$ drift. Due to high electric conductivity along the geomagnetic field lines the anomalous electric field will map into the upper layers of the ionosphere and magnetosphere creating the irregularities of electron concentration experimentally registered by satellites (Pulinets and Legen’ka, 2003; Parrot, 2005).

We will summarize the model by a short description indicating what it is able to estimate quantitatively at present. Taking the level of ionization the model gives possibility to estimate the concentration of the charged aerosols in the near ground layer of atmosphere as a result of ionization, to calculate the anomalous electric field value, to calculate the changes in air relative humidity as a result of the ionization process, to calculate the frequency of electromagnetic emission in VHF frequency band emitted by neutral clusters, to calculate the variations of electron concentration, at altitudes of $D$, $E$, $F$ layers of the ionosphere and in the magnetosphere at the levels 2000 and 3000 km, it explains the formation of sporadic $E$-layers before earthquakes due to anomalous electric field effect. Our future plans – to derive the physical mechanism for ELF-VLF emissions observed on the ground level before earthquakes, and to estimated the changes in the air temperature observed by ground based measurements and by satellites.

Let us try to trace how this model works in the case of the Irpinia earthquake using the data of ground based and satellite observation.

3. Earthquake preparation area

The size of the area which can be identified with the process of the earthquake preparation has always been the subject of severe discussions. We will not enter in this discussion again but bring the obvious facts which come directly both from recent seismology studies, and outside of seismology. Tiampo et al. (2002) elaborated statistical technology which permits them to predict the position of future earthquake epicenters using the information on the previous seismic activity. This gave them possibility to indicate correctly the places of 14 from 16 recent earthquakes in California. They use information on seismic activity across the large areas, much larger than the size of the source of the future earthquake. It means that the seismic activity at the large areas has the cause-effect relationship with the future earthquake in the given point. Other indications on the size of the earthquake preparation area come from geochemistry and from satellite measurements of thermal anomalies before the earthquakes. Toutain and Baubron (1998) demonstrated the distribution of pre-earthquake registered geochemical anomalies (mainly the radon) which follows the Dobrovolsky formula described above. As shown by King et al. (1993) and Sengupta et al. (2005) the radon anomalies are concentrated mainly along the active tectonic faults. Using the model presented above one should expect the temperature increase along the active tectonic faults before the strong earthquakes. And this is just a case. The papers (Tramutoli et al., 2001, 2005; Tronin et al., 2004; Dey and Singh, 2003; Ouzounov and Freund, 2004) and many others (their quantity grows in exponential law)
show the increase in the temperature over the tectonic faults a few days before the seismic shock. And what is more important is that the size of the thermal anomalies registered by the satellites is enormous, demonstrating that the area of the earthquake preparation is large. This fact cannot be either neglected, either concealed. If our conception is correct, we can make the reverse pro-

Fig. 1a,b. a) The map of Central Mediterranean. Black ♣ indicate positions of ionosondes at Rome and Athens, white ♣ indicates the Rieti radon monitoring station. Star indicates the position of Irpinia earthquake epicenter. Ovals indicate the position of ionospheric anomalies registered by Intercosmos-19 satellite. b) Configuration of active tectonic faults at Apennines Peninsula.

Fig. 2. Radon anomalies registered at Rieti (upper panel) and Rome (bottom panel) stations during period 1979-1980 (after Alegri et al., 1983).
procedure: from the configuration of the thermal anomalies observed by the satellites to determine the areas of increased radon emanation. And just this conception permits us to interpret all the observed anomalies before the Irpinia earthquake within the frame of the common physical mechanism.

We will analyze the radon variations, satellite registered TIR anomalies, ground based ionosondes data, ionospheric variations registered by the Intercosmos-19 satellite. The measurement sites and anomalies position are shown in fig. 1a,b.

4. Radon anomalies registered before the Irpinia earthquake

Figure 2 demonstrates the temporal development of the radon anomalies registered on two stations (Rieti and Rome) at distances of 270 and 250 km respectively from the epicenter. One can see the sharp increase in the radon flux associated with the seismic swarm started in the middle of May 1980. Both stations demonstrate a similar behavior – long duration maximum, then the essential drop is registered associated with the foreshock at the end of September and the second period of the activity with gradual diminishing of the radon flux up to the background level close to the time of the Irpinia earthquake. This dynamics is in good agreement with the precursory radon variations reported by other researchers (Scholz, 1990; Khiluk et al., 2000; Inan, 2005). According to the physical model discussed above, the radon anomaly registered at a distance 250 km from the epicenter (what gives the area of 500 km in diameter) lets us expect the effects in the ionosphere.

It should be noted that the correlation of the radon activity variations and the electron concentration registered in the ionosphere close to the radon monitoring point was revealed recently (Pulinets et al., 1997, 1999).

5. TIR anomalies before the Irpinia earthquake

Tramutoli et al. (2001) and Di Bello et al. (2004) made very careful analysis of the TIR anomalies recorded before the Irpinia earthquake. They performed a preliminary multi-year analysis of co-located NOAA/AVHRR infrared data to obtain the local background values (in terms of time-average and standard deviation) expected for the area (about 600 km radius) around the Irpinia earthquake epicenter in similar observational conditions (November, late afternoon). TIR signal exceeding the expected value by at least one standard deviation were considered possible thermal anomalies. This robust technique revealed the temporal and spatial behavior of the TIR anomalies before the Irpinia earthquake in the epicentral area and in the areas distant from the epicenter. Figure 3 demonstrates the activation, during November 1980, of the whole tectonic fault along the Apennines Peninsula expressed in the form of monthly average of thermal signal excesses (compare with fig. 1b). Figure 4a,b demonstrates the difference in the temporal dynamics of the near epicenter and far zones (near 250 km

Fig. 3. Irpinia-Basilicata earthquake (Ms=6.9, November, 23, 1980, 7.32 p.m.): analysis in the temporal domain over the extended study area for November 1980. Thermally anomalous area is depicted in red tones. The circle represents the epicentral zone (from Tramutoli et al., 2001).
from the epicenter) within the interval a few days before the seismic shock. The large scale of the thermal anomalies testifies to the atmosphere modification over a large area which is very important for the anomalous electric field generation, and for its penetration into the ionosphere.

6. Revealing the ionospheric anomaly before the Irpinia earthquake

Kouris et al. (2001), analyzing the data of Rome ionospheric station for the period of the Irpinia earthquake (November 1980), did not find anything suspicious and came to the conclusion that there no indications on the ionospheric precursors of Irpinia earthquake in the Rome data. Before analyzing the ground-based data we will look first at the data of topside sounding from Intercosmos-19 satellite which was in orbit in 1979-1980.

6.1. Satellite mapping

The description of the topside sounder installed onboard the Intercosmos-19 satellite can be found in Pulinets (1989), and the procedure of the ionospheric mapping – in Pulinets and Legen’ka (2003). The satellite mapping yields a latitudinal-longitudinal distribution of the ionospheric disturbance over the area of the Irpinia earthquake. For mapping of the critical frequency deviation \( \Delta f_0 F_2(\phi, \lambda) \) the available satellite data were used. Around the time of the Irpinia earthquake the Intercosmos-19 satellite passed over the epicenter at 0304 h LT for \( \Delta t \approx -112, -87, -64, -42, -40, \) and \(+9 \) h in relation to the main shock instant; and at 18-19 h LT for \( \Delta t \approx -120, -96, -72, \) and +1.39 h accordingly. The anomalous decrease and increase of \( f_0 F_2 \) (« - » and « + ») were observed during the early morning hours and evening hours, respectively. The maps of \( \Delta f_0 F_2(\phi, \lambda) \) using the data of November 18-19 were taken as the quiescent background. The maps of \( \Delta f_0 F_2(\phi, \lambda) \) for morning hours were plotted for three different time intervals: \( \Delta t = -64; -40...-42.5, \) and +6...+8 h (fig. 5). One can see that the «cloud» of a decreased density gradually began to be formed already 2.5 days (\( \Delta t = -64 \)) ahead of the main shock, but in the region displaced by \( -10^\circ \) in both latitude and longitude to the south-east of the epicenter. Later, at \( \Delta t = -40...-42 \), this «cloud» was displaced toward the epicenter and «spread» in the northwest direction. Roughly 6-8 h after the main shock, the state of the ionosphere in the epicenter region was stabilized.

**Fig. 4a,b.** Irpinia-Basilicata earthquake (\( M_s = 6.9, \) November, 23, 1980, 7.32 p.m.): a) temporal evolution of the extension of the thermally anomalous area around the epicenter. The extension of thermal anomalies (expressed in AVHRR pixel number) occurring in a circle of 100 km of radius around the epicenter, is plotted few days before and after the earthquake occurrence (black arrow). Modified from Tramutoli et al. (2001). b) Comparative analysis of the temporal evolution of the extension of thermal anomalies within and far away from the epicentral zone. The percentage (over the total in the scene) of thermal anomalies falling in a circle of 100 km of radius around the epicenter, is plotted few days before and after the earthquake occurrence (black arrow). Modified from Tramutoli et al. (2001).
As one can see, the effects within the ionosphere over the area of Irpinia earthquake were quite significant in size (20°-30° in latitude and longitude modified region within the ionosphere) and in amplitude ±30% for evening and morning hours.

6.2. Modification of the altitude distribution of the electron concentration

One of the effective tools to distinguish the variations in the ionosphere caused by anomalous electric field generated around the time of strong earthquakes is the value of the scale height of the topside profile of the electron concentration. It was detected (Pulinets et al., 2003) that the scale height, and consequently semithickness of the layer increases over the area of earthquake preparation. The profile semithickness can be obtained from the developed empirical approximation of the topside vertical profile of the electron concentration (Depuev and Pulinets, 2004). The topside profile is approximated by the Epstein function

$$N(z) = 4.0 \times \frac{N_0 \exp \left( \frac{z}{B_{2u}} \right)}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{z}{B_{2u}} \right)^2} \quad (6.1)$$

where $B_{2u}$ characterizes the topside layer thickness and changes linearly with the height $B_{2u} = B_0 + k \times z$, $z = h - h_{\text{max}}$.

In the case of the Irpinia earthquake the $B_{2u}$ was calculated for the topside profiles corresponding to the satellite passes over the epicentral area, for the ionograms when satellite was in the closest approach to the impending epicenter position. The results are presented in fig. 6. The figure demonstrated the increase of the topside profile semithickness up to ~80 km. As shown in Pulinets et al. (2003) such increase
can be interpreted as the mean ion mass drop. Simultaneously one can observe the rising of the $F_2$ layer (peak height increase $\sim 100$ km).

### 6.3. Conjugated effects

It was shown earlier using the data of Intercosmos-19 satellite around the time of the Irpinia earthquake (Pulinets and Legen’ka, 1997; Ruzhin et al., 1998) that ionospheric effects might appear not only in the epicenter region, but also in the magnetically conjugated point in the opposite hemisphere. Combining the Inter-kosmos-19 satellite and ground based ionosondes, we succeeded in constructing a map $\Delta f_o F_2(\phi, \lambda)$ for the morning sector at $\Delta t = -64$ h in the entire region of latitudes from 60°N to 60°S, i.e. including the magnetically conjugated region (fig. 7). The latitudinal cross-section of the critical frequency deviation for the passes when conjugated effect was observed is presented in fig. 8. As one can see from the figure the positive deviation was observed during evening hours and the negative one before sunrise.

Intercosmos-19 satellite was the complex geophysical observatory and its payload contained the devices of different destination. A multichannel VLF receiver registered the VLF

![Fig. 6. Topside profiles (left), height scale (middle) and semithickness (right) for the period of the Irpinia earthquake in Central Italy, November, 1980.](image)

![Fig. 7. Formation the conjugated modified area in the Southern Hemisphere before the Irpinia earthquake 23rd November 1980, $M=6.9$. Data from Intercosmos-19 satellite topside sounding.](image)
emissions simultaneously with ionosonde, and also detected the conjugated effects in anomalous VLF emissions associated with the Irpinia earthquake (Ruzhin and Larkin, 1996; Ruzhin et al., 1998). The satellite orbits where both the ionospheric and VLF anomalies were registered are presented in fig. 8 (Pulinets and Legen’ka, 1997). The explanation of the VLF effect associated with the earthquakes can be found in Pulinets and Boyarchuk (2004).

The registered VLF emissions for the case of Irpinia earthquake are in good correspondence with the described earlier and statistically confirmed results on the VLF emissions measurements before the strong earthquakes (Larkin et al., 1989). Taking into account that they are measured on the same parts of orbits as the ionospheric anomalies, we obtain confirmation that the observed ionospheric anomalies are also of seismogenic origin. The conjugate effect observed both in VLF emission and ionospheric density showed that the whole magnetospheric tube loaned onto the earthquake preparation area is modified. The effect of modification is observed before the seismic shock and disappears after it.

6.4. **Ground based data analysis**

If we have such significant effects registered by a spaceborne ionosonde, why was nothing observed by the ground based vertical sounding? It seems that such a situation is impossible. Let us return to the ground ionosonde data. Can we really not detect anything as claimed by Kouris?

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 8.** Deviation of the critical frequency $\Delta foF2$ for evening (upper panel) and early morning hours of local time for the passes of Intercosmos-19 satellite over Irpinia earthquake epicentral area and magnetically conjugated area.
Fig. 9. Scattered plot for the critical frequency $f_{oF2}$ for November 1980 (Athens ionospheric station).

Fig. 10. Critical frequency for 06:00 LT and 18:00 LT for November 1980 (Rome ionospheric station). Dashed lines show the seasonal trend of the critical frequency.
et al. (2001)? According to the presented satellite data (fig. 8) and our theoretical model, the effect in the ionosphere is shifted from the epicenter along the field lines, i.e. to the south-east. What does it mean? That maybe some ionospheric station to the south-east from epicenter will «feel» the process of the Irpinia earthquake preparation better. Fortunately, there was Athens ionospheric station working, which is situated just in the necessary direction. Let us compare the data from Rome and Athens. Taking into account that both stations are quite far from the maximum magnitude area shown by the satellite (fig. 1a), we cannot expect very pronounced variations, nevertheless both of them show specific variations one day before the seismic shock, i.e. 22 November. It is expressed in the fact that both stations demonstrate the extreme values for the whole month of November, especially the Athens station. Figure 9 shows the scatter diagram where all the data of the critical frequency for November 1980 are shown for the Athens station. One can see the minimum demonstrated on 22 and 23 of November. It is important to note, that all play is going on the background of the strong seasonal negative gradient (the electron density sharply decreases during November due to season change). Nevertheless, the days 22 and 23 show the absolute minimum for the whole month. Figures 10 and 11

---

**Fig. 11.** From top to bottom: minimum daily values of the critical frequency, daily range of the critical frequency variation, daily mean value of the critical frequency for November 1980 (Athens ionospheric station).
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Fig. 12. Daily variations of the critical frequency (bold line with points) on 22nd November 1980 in Rome (left panel) and Athens (right panel). Continuous line – monthly mean, dashed lines ±σ.

demonstrate this effect for other part of ionospheric data. Figure 10 shows the critical frequency variations from November 1980 for the Rome station for 06:00 and 18:00 LT. Both parameters demonstrate the absolute minimum for the month on 22 of November.

Pulinets et al. (2004) showed the increased ionosphere variability around the time of the seismic shock at the ionospheric stations close to the impending earthquake epicenter position. This is expressed in the form of the extreme values of the critical frequency, the range of the parameter variations, and in the magnitude of the mean daily values. All these parameters are shown in fig. 11 for the Athens ionospheric station.

From fig. 11 one can see that all three parameters presented for the whole month of November demonstrate the extreme values for the days 22 and 23. Such analysis is usually conducted when the variations are not very pronounced as in the considered case. One can expect the most unstable critical frequency behavior on 22 of November. At both stations the critical frequency does not exceed 2σ (as expected, see fig. 12) but well above σ for the nighttime and evening hours as one can see from the figure.

7. Conclusions

Concluding the Irpinia earthquake analysis we can state that the large number of variations of different parameters were observed around the time of the Irpinia earthquake, namely (see table I):

– Radon anomalies starting after the seismic swarm in May 1980 and continuing up to the time of the Irpinia earthquake registered at long distances from the epicenter.

– TIR anomalies starting 3 days before the earthquake and occupying large areas (more than 500 km).

– Ionospheric anomalies registered by ground-based ionosondes at Rome and Athens 3 and 1 days before the seismic shock.

– Ionospheric anomalies registered by Intercosmos-19 satellite starting three days before the shock.

– Vertical topside electron density profile scale height anomalies manifesting the ion mass changes.

– VLF noises anomalies starting 3 days before the shock.

– Anomalies in the conjugated point manifesting the whole magnetospheric tube modifi-
cation through the electric field mapping along the tube.

From the presented analysis we can conclude that the temporal and spatial characteristics of the observed variations of geochemical, atmospheric and ionospheric parameters can be interpreted within the frame of the presented model of Lithosphere-Atmosphere-Ionosphere (LAI) coupling. This model does not make them a set of independent parameters but unites them in the cause-effect chain of physical processes preceding the earthquake. The characteristics of the registered ionospheric variations correspond to the main morphological features of ionospheric precursors of earthquakes described in Pulinets et al. (2003), the ionospheric variability corresponds to the statistical characteristics of the pre-earthquake ionospheric variations described in Pulinets et al. (2004) and Liu et al. (2004). So, accepting that the observed variations are associated with the earthquake preparation process one can try to estimate roughly the main parameters necessary for the short-term prediction: time, place, and magnitude of the impending earthquake. The time of the seismic shock may be estimated from the time of the first appearance of the ionospheric precursors. Statistically it was determined that for the strong earthquakes the ionospheric anomalies appear within the interval from 1 to 5 days before the seismic shock (Liu et al., 2004). In our case we were able to detect anomalies 2.5 days before the shock. So, using the statistical data, and the real experimental data, the time of the shock could be determined with the error 2.5 days. From the map of the ionospheric anomaly (taking into account the magnetic field lines inclination, the epicenter position can be estimated with a precision 200-300 km. Using the data of the thermal anomaly, one can try to put the epicenter position at the middle of the observed thermal anomaly, which will give even better estimation (near 100 km). And, finally, the magnitude may be estimated using the size of the ionospheric anomaly and the Dobrovolsky formula (2). Scaling the size of the area from fig. 9 ∼1800 km, radius ∼900 km which corresponds to $M = [\log(900)]/0.43 = 6.9$. It corresponds to the magnitude of the Irpinia earthquake. Of course, these estimations are very rough but at least they indicate the direction which may probably permit us to make the real short-term prediction in future.

### Table I. Spatial and temporal parameters of anomalies registered around the time of Irpinia earthquake.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event, parameter</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Distance from the epicenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irpinia earthquake</td>
<td>23/11/1980</td>
<td>40.61N, 15.38E</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon anomaly, Rieti</td>
<td>June-September, November 1980</td>
<td>42.42N, 12.85E</td>
<td>270 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon anomaly, Rome</td>
<td>June-November 1980</td>
<td>41.9N, 12.48</td>
<td>250 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIR anomaly close to epicenter</td>
<td>3 days before the seismic shock</td>
<td>Apennines Peninsula</td>
<td>From 0 to 600 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionospheric anomaly (satellite)</td>
<td>2.5 days before the seismic shock</td>
<td>30N, 23E</td>
<td>900 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionospheric anomaly (satellite)</td>
<td>1.8 days before the seismic shock</td>
<td>42.42N, 12.85E</td>
<td>270 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionospheric anomaly (Rome ionosondes)</td>
<td>3 and 1 days before earthquake</td>
<td>41.9N, 12.48</td>
<td>250 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionospheric anomaly (Athens ionosondes)</td>
<td>1 day before earthquake</td>
<td>38N, 13.73E</td>
<td>785 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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