Laser remote sensing calibration of ocean color satellite data
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Abstract
One of the main interests of Earth observation is the study of the biogeochemical cycles which take place in the world ocean: in fact, those processes dramatically affect the climatic equilibrium of our planet. For this reason, many advanced active and passive remote sensors have been used to study phytoplankton dynamics, since such phenomena are thought to be responsible for the sequestration of atmospheric carbon dioxide, one of the most important greenhouse gases. In this paper, one laser system and three satellite radiometers routinely used for the study of the phytoplankton dynamics will be briefly reviewed. Satellite sensors have been preferred to airborne sensors because, to our knowledge, ocean color airborne radiometers have not been operated in Antarctica, at least not throughout the whole lapse of time examined in this study. Particular focus was on the laser system (ELF) and on a specific satellite radiometer (SeaWiFS). ELF is based on the laser-induced fluorescence of phytoplankton pigments and was conceived for the Italian expeditions to Antarctica. The goal of SeaWiFS is to provide the Earth science community with quantitative data on the global ocean bio-optical properties. Such satellite radiometer has been calibrated with in situ data mainly acquired in non polar regions. This is why a comparison between ELF and SeaWiFS measurements of chlorophyll-a surface concentrations in the Southern Ocean during the austral summer 1997-1998 was believed to be significant. Our results indicate that SeaWiFS overestimates high concentrations and underestimates low concentrations. In order to correct this behavior, the chlorophyll-a bio-optical algorithm of SeaWiFS has been recalibrated according to the measurements of ELF, thus providing a new estimation of the primary production in the Southern Ocean.

Key words LIDAR fluorosensor – satellite radiometer – SeaWiFS calibration – chlorophyll-a – primary production – Antarctica

1. Introduction

The biogeochemical cycles of the Earth system (Falkowski et al., 2000) play a major role in the climatic equilibrium. Changes in oceanic primary production have profoundly influenced photosynthetic carbon fixation and, hence, the atmospheric carbon dioxide level for over three billion years (Falkowski et al., 1998a).

Ocean color satellite radiometers (Joint and Groom, 2000) are powerful instruments for the observation of the world ocean: thanks to their unrivalled spatial and time coverage, they provide us with a large amount of data on phytoplankton. Moreover, they can operate continuously for many years, regardless of the frequent bad weather conditions that discouraged the operation of ocean color airborne radiometers in Antarctica: for this reason and taking into account that they are based on the same physical principle, satellite sensors have been preferred to airborne sensors. Also laser remote sensors (Measures, 1992) and, in particular, hydros-
pheric lidars (Grant, 1995) are now considered to be reliable systems. Unfortunately, ocean color data are not exempt from uncertainties. On the one hand, atmospheric corrections (Fiorani et al., 1998) are necessary to obtain water-leaving radiances by removing from the radiometer measurements the contributions of air molecules and aerosols, which represent up to the 90% of the total in the visible bands. On the other, the bio-optical algorithms (O’Reilly et al., 1998), i.e. the set of semi-empirical equations used to calculate biogeochemical properties from the water-leaving radiances in the visible bands, are usually calibrated with a limited set of in situ measurements, where polar regions are less represented than temperate regions (Fargion et al., 2003). This could explain why primary production may be misestimated in the Southern Ocean (Arrigo et al., 1998). The important consequences of those studies in making decisions such as setting a fishing quota, justify the efforts to assess ocean color data sensed from space borne radiometers with the «sea truth» provided by ship borne measurements. In this framework, the ENEA LIDAR Fluorosensor (ELF) (Barbini et al., 2001a), operational aboard the Research Vessel (RV) Italica during five oceanographic campaigns gathered a large amount of data useful for the calibration and/or validation of the satellite radiometers.

2. Instruments and methods

ELF (fig. 1) excites water through a laser pulse (at 355 nm) and observes the Raman backscattering of water (at 404 nm) as well as the fluorescence of chlorophyll-a (at 680 nm) (Barbini et al., 2001a). The absolute concentration of that pigment is directly proportional to the fluorescence-to-Raman ratio (also known as concentration released in Raman units) (Bris-
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tow et al., 1981; Hoge and Swift, 1981). The Raman units are then converted into mg m$^{-3}$, i.e. the absolute concentration is retrieved from the fluorescence-to-Raman ratio, namely by calibration against conventional analysis on the same water (Barbini et al., 2001b).

ELF consists mainly of a frequency-tripled Nd:YAG laser (transmitter) and a Cassegrain telescope coupled to detectors (receiver): the laser emits a pulse to the sea surface and the telescope collects the backscattered light at different wavelengths. The radiation is then directed through a fiber bundle to spectral bandpass filters, and is detected with photomultiplier tubes. Other instruments provide ancillary measurements: lamp spectrofluorometer, pulsed amplitude fluorometer, solar radiance detector and global positioning system. The data of lamp spectrofluorometer, pulse amplitude fluorometer and solar radiance detector are not being used in this study. The global positioning system georeferenced the laser measurements. The specifications of ELF are summarized in table I.

The first ocean color radiometer was CZCS (Hovis, 1980), operated on the Nimbus-7 satellite from 1978 to 1986. Four new ocean color radiometers, SeaWiFS (Hooker et al., 1992), two MODIS sensors (Esaias et al., 1998) and MERIS (Huot et al., 2002), were launched on 1 August 1997, 18 December 1999, 4 May 2002 and 1 March 2002, respectively. The first one, aboard

---

Table I. Specifications of ELF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmitter Laser</th>
<th>Nd:YAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>355 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse energy</td>
<td>3 mJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse duration</td>
<td>10 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse repetition</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver Telescope</th>
<th>Cassegrain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear aperture</td>
<td>0.4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal length</td>
<td>1.65 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center wavelengths</td>
<td>404, 450, 585, 680 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidths</td>
<td>5 nm FWHM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electronics Detectors</th>
<th>Photomultipliers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gate width</td>
<td>100 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic range</td>
<td>15 bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>ISA – VME mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central processing unit</td>
<td>VME embedded 486</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Main characteristics of SeaWiFS, MODIS and MERIS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiometer</th>
<th>SeaWiFS</th>
<th>MODIS</th>
<th>MERIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scan width</td>
<td>±58°.3 (a), ±45°.0 (a)</td>
<td>±55°</td>
<td>±34°.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan coverage</td>
<td>2.800 km (a), 1.500 km (b)</td>
<td>2.330 km</td>
<td>1.150 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadir resolution</td>
<td>1.13 km (c), 4.5 km (c)</td>
<td>0.25 km (c), 0.5 km (c), 1 km (c)</td>
<td>0.3 km (c), 1.2 km (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bands</td>
<td>8 (412-865 nm)</td>
<td>36 (405-14.385 nm)</td>
<td>15 (412.5-900 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan period</td>
<td>0.167 s (b)</td>
<td>0.903 s (b)</td>
<td>0.044 s (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilt</td>
<td>20°, 0°, +20°</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitization</td>
<td>10 bits</td>
<td>12 bits</td>
<td>12 bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>4 September 1997</td>
<td>24 February 2000(b)</td>
<td>22 March 2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Local area coverage.
(b) Global area coverage.
(c) Bands 1-2.
(d) Bands 3-7.
(e) Bands 8-36.
(f) Full resolution.
(g) Reduced resolution.
(h) From the period of the continuously rotating scan mirror.
(i) From the period of the CCD frame.
(j) For MODIS aboard Terra; 24 June 2002 for MODIS aboard Aqua.
OrbView-2, is a joint venture of Orbital Sciences Corporation and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). The two MODIS sensors, integrated on Terra and Aqua, respectively, are part of the NASA’s Earth Observing System (EOS), a program including six spacecrafts: Aqua, Aura, ICESat, Landsat 7, SORCE and Terra. MERIS is one of the instruments aboard ENVISAT, the most important Earth observing satellite of the European Space Agency (ESA).

The modern ocean color radiometers have been mainly designed for the observation of the phytoplankton biochemistry: in particular, they are expected to provide surface chlorophyll-a concentration over the range 0.05 to 50 mg m$^{-3}$ with an absolute accuracy of 35%. The main characteristics of SeaWiFS, MODIS and MERIS are summarized in table II.

3. Results and discussions

ELF participated in four Italian expeditions to Antarctica (13th, 15th, 16th and 18th in 1997-1998, 2000, 2001 and 2003, respectively) (Barbini et al., 2001a) as well as in the MIPO (Mediterranean Sea, Indian and Pacific Oceans Transect) oceanographic campaign (2001-2002) (Barbini et al., 2004a). Up to now the comparison between laser and satellite data for the 13th expedition (Barbini et al., 2003a) has been investigated more thoroughly: this is why the calibration of SeaWiFS by ELF during the 13th expedition will be described here as a case study.

ELF data were compared with 8-day L3 SeaWiFS data products. The 8-day time interval permitted us to gather enough simultaneous measurements and the L3 processing level ensured the highest accuracy. Although these choices involved a rather poor granularity (8 days in time, about 9 km × 9 km in space), they have been considered to be the best compromise. The resolution of ELF is very different, since a laser pulse is emitted every 0.1 s and its footprint on the water surface sizes around 0.1 m. In order to compare the data, all the ELF measurements falling in a SeaWiFS pixel were averaged, thus representing a track (length: ~10 km, width: ~0.1 m) acquired in about 1 h. The remaining dissimilarity in granularity can hard-
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Their relation is given by

\[ \text{Lwn}(\lambda) = F_0(\lambda) \text{Rrs}(\lambda) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength and \( F_0 \) is the mean extraterrestrial solar irradiance. The simplest algorithm (OC1) is expressed by (O’Reilly et al., 1998)

\[ C = 10^{a + aR} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

where \( C \) is the chlorophyll-a concentration in mg m\(^{-3}\) and

\[ R = \log_{10} \frac{\text{Rrs}(490 \text{ nm})}{\text{Rrs}(555 \text{ nm})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

While a modified cubic polynomial function (OC2) (O’Reilly et al., 1998)
has been chosen as at-launch SeaWiFS operational algorithm, a maximum band ratio method (OC4) (O’Reilly et al., 1998) gives the best results and is being used at present. In OC4, C is computed as in eq. (3.4), but the ratio of formula (3.3) is whichever ratio, among $\frac{R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$ or $\frac{R_{rs}(490 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$ or $\frac{R_{rs}(510 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$, results to be the highest. In this way, one of the two other ratios replaces $\frac{R_{rs}(490 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$ when the latter is low and noisy. $\frac{R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$ is usually employed for C lower than about 0.3 mg m$^{-3}$, $\frac{R_{rs}(510 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$ for C higher than about 1.5 mg m$^{-3}$. The switching between $\frac{R_{rs}(490 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$, $\frac{R_{rs}(443 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$ and $\frac{R_{rs}(510 \text{ nm})}{R_{rs}(555 \text{ nm})}$ explains the dispersion of SeaWiFS chlorophyll-a concentrations versus SeaWiFS band ratios.

Figure 3 shows a large dispersion of the ELF points. Consequently, the linear fit (OC1) has been chosen. As in previous regional calibrations (Mitchell and Holm-Hansen, 1991; Mitchell, 1992), it does not seem reasonable to use any higher order functions, given the unstable behavior of the corresponding fits. Figure 3 also displays the ELF-calibrated OC1 and standard OC1 bio-optical algorithms, as well as standard SeaWiFS chlorophyll-a concentrations (representing the OC4 bio-optical algorithm) versus SeaWiFS band ratios, thus confirming that the standard algorithm overestimates high concentrations (more than about 1 mg m$^{-3}$) and underestimates low concentrations.

The present results have been obtained with 523 experimental points collected in the Ross Sea sector of the Southern Ocean in the period from 7 December 1997 to 14 January 1998 (13th expedition). Spatial zone and time interval correspond to a nearly homogeneous biological sample, which is representative of Antarctic phytoplankton (Barbini et al., 2004b). If one examines the data of the 16th expedition (1658 experimental points) (Colao et al., 2005), it is confirmed that the standard algorithm overestimates high concentrations and underestimates low concentrations (fig. 4). To be noted that the difference between the OC1 algorithms calibrated with the data of 13th and 16th expeditions is smaller than the dispersion of the ELF points, i.e. the two algorithms agree within the statistical fluctuation. In the following, the OC1 algorithm calibrated with the data of the 13th

$$C = 10^{a_1 R + a_2 R^2 + a_3 R^3 + a_4} \quad (3.4)$$

Fig. 4. Comparison among different OC1 bio-optical algorithms: standard (black) and calibrated with ELF during the 16th (dark gray) and 13th campaign (light gray).
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expedition will be used because the austral summer 1997-1998 was investigated.

Once the chlorophyll-a bio-optical algorithm is assessed, its results can be used for the calculation of the primary production (Barbini et al., 2003b), e.g., according to the standard NASA’s model (Falkowski et al., 1998b)

$$\log_{10} PP = 2.793 + 0.559 \log_{10} C \quad (3.5)$$

where $PP$ is the daily depth-integrated primary production in mgC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. This model has been chosen because it represents a sort of consensus algorithm for SeaWiFS. Primary production maps, both with and without ELF calibration, are plotted in fig. 5a,b for the Western Ross Sea in the time interval starting on 3 December 1997 and ending on 16 January 1998. This period has been selected in order to match radiometer products with LIDAR measurements. Areas in white had no data due to cloud or ice cover. The discrepancy between standard and ELF-calibrated

Fig. 5a,b. ELF-calibrated (a) and standard (b) SeaWiFS primary production in the Western Ross Sea in the period from 3 December 1997 to 16 January 1998. Gray area: land; white area: sea; black thick line: ship track.

Fig. 6. ELF-calibrated SeaWiFS primary production in the Southern Ocean (Longitude: 157°.5-180°; Latitude: −61°.875--78°.75) and in the Ross Sea (Longitude: 157°.5-180°; Latitude: −72°.07--78°.75) during the austral summer 1997-1998.
primary production parallels that of standard and ELF-calibrated chlorophyll-a: SeaWiFS values are larger and smaller for high and low primary productions, respectively. In both cases, a phytoplankton bloom filling the open sea located northeast of the Ross Island is to be noted: the maximum productivity exceeds 1000 mgC m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. The ELF-calibrated bio-optical algorithm has also been applied to the entire austral summer 1997-1998 by using monthly averaged SeaWiFS products in two regions: the first one only covers the Western Ross Sea (Longitude: 157°.5-180°; Latitude: −72°.07—78°.75) and the second one extends to the Southern Ocean (Longitude: 157°.5-180°; Latitude: −61°.875—78°.75). These data (fig. 6) confirm that, near Antarctic coasts, the dynamics of phytoplankton blooms is faster than in the open ocean (note the steady increase in primary production in the smaller area from October to November 1997): the polynya formed after ice melting is dominated by prompt algal outgrowths, largely driven by environmental conditions such as formation of high salinity shelf waters, pressure, temperature, available solar radiation and katabatic winds. The primary production is larger if the chlorophyll-a algorithm is calibrated with ELF: the average difference in the Ross Sea and the Southern Ocean regions defined above is about 10 and 20%, respectively. This result, could contribute to the solution of the «Antarctic paradox», an unexpected behavior that can be stated as follows: the primary production of Antarctic waters seems to be insufficient to support the population of grazers (Arrigo et al., 1998).

4. Conclusions

The remote sensing data provided by a laser system (ELF) and a satellite radiometer (SeaWiFS) have been compared. Moreover, the «sea truth» provided by the laser system has been used to calibrate the imagery gathered by the satellite radiometer. In this way, we combined active and passive remote sensing, thus merging their advantages (accuracy of ELF and coverage of SeaWiFS).

In other words, the SeaWiFS chlorophyll-a bio-optical algorithm has been tuned in the Southern Ocean with the ELF measurements. Our findings indicate that the standard algorithm overestimates high concentrations and underestimates low concentrations. The ELF-calibrated data have been used for a new estimation of the primary production. Once applied this new calculation in the Southern Ocean, we found that average primary production is usually underestimated. Those results indicate that this regional algorithm could give useful estimates of primary production in the Antarctic seawaters. In particular, it could help in overcoming the «Antarctic paradox».
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