

This research is currently funded by the Dipartimento della Protezione Civile (Italy), as a part of the INGV-DPC contract 2019-2021.

The Failure Forecast Method applied to the GPS and seismic data collected in the Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy) in 2011-2020.

Andrea Bevilacqua⁽¹⁾, Abani Patra⁽²⁾, E. Bruce Pitman⁽³⁾, Marcus Bursik⁽⁴⁾, Augusto Neri⁽¹⁾, Barry Voight⁽⁵⁾, Franco Flandoli⁽⁶⁾, Prospero De Martino⁽⁷⁾, Flora Giudicepietro⁽⁷⁾, Patrizia Ricciolino⁽⁷⁾, Giovanni Macedonio⁽⁷⁾, Stefano Vitale^(7,8)

- Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Pisa, Via Cesare Battisti 53, 56121, Pisa, Italy (1)
 - (2) Data Intensive Studies Center, Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA,
 - Department of Materials Design and Innovation, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA, (3)
 - (4) Department of Earth Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA,
 - (5) Department of Geosciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA,
 - (6) Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, Pisa, Italy
- Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Napoli, Via Diocleziano 328, Napoli, Italy (7)
- Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, dell'Ambiente e delle Risorse, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Via Cinthia 21, Napoli, Italy (8)













Our target is **physico-mathematical modeling and forecasting** extremal behavior based on the time rates of GPS and seismic data of Campi Flegrei 2011-2020.

We forecast:

- when current acceleration could lead the system to a critical behavior in the next decades, i.e. probability of failure in 5, 10, or 25 years from 2020.
- how these probability estimates can change depending on the type of signal, spatial location, length of past data considered.

We are analyzing **two different monitoring signals** which are **typically linked** together:

- The daily time series of the GPS displacement collected in 1/2009 3/2020 at 11 GPS stations.
- The catalog of earthquakes detected in 1/2007 to 7/2020 including time and magnitude of events.

Both datasets have been produced by INGV, Osservatorio Vesuviano, and described in weekly and monthly multiparametric *Bullettins of Campi Flegrei* (<u>http://www.ov.ingv.it</u>)

The Failure Forecast Method (FFM)

The FFM is a well-established tool in the interpretation of monitoring data as possible precursors, providing quantitative predictions, based on a nonlinear regression of **time rate X of the signals** (Voight, 1988).

 $dX/dt = AX^{\alpha}$

Fall Meeting

2020

where A > 0 and $\alpha \in [1, 2.3]$, typically (Cornelius & Voight, 1995).

The model represents the **acceleration of precursory signals** based on **cascading failure** of material elements leading to a significant rupture of materials, called "failure time".

We follow a probabilistic formulation of the FFM (pFFM):

- we build a white noise in the equations, tuned on the residuals of the regression, and randomly sampled numerically.
- we perform a Monte Carlo simulation of the shape parameter α,
 i.e. the nonlinear exponent of the accelerating signals.

This produces a large number of **plausible future** signals, thus the failure time is expressed as a probability distribution providing a **representation of the uncertainty** associated.



Figure. Examples of linear regression of the inverse rate of cascading seismic signals (α =2) collected at Redoubt volcano (USA), before a major eruption in 1990 (from Voight & Cornelius, 1991)

The pFFM construction is detailed in Bevilacqua et al., (2019).

Campi Flegrei - ground displacement data



INGV continuously monitors the ground displacement in Campi Flegrei through a network of 21 GPS stations + 4 GPS buoys.

> 6 GPS stations were placed in 2000, 14 GPS stations were already active in 2011. (De Martino et al., 2014).

Campi Flegrei (Italy) caldera has been active over 80,000 years.

The central part of the caldera has been uplifting and subsiding in the last 15,000 years.

A **caldera resurgence** of ~100 m. Bathymetric data from Isaia et al., 2019.



Vertical displacement of "La Starza" formation.

Episodes of slow uplift and subsidence, called **bradyseism**, also characterize the historical dynamics of Campi Flegrei.



Data reconstructed from the borings of marine organisms, modified from Del Gaudio et al., 2010.

Fall Meeting

Campi Flegrei - vertical displacement data, RITE station

Major bradyseismic crises occurred in 1969/1972 and 1982/1984, with **a ground uplift of 1.70 m and 1.85 m**, respectively.

Then 21 years of subsidence until 2005-2006, ca. -1 m in total.

After 2006 Campi Flegrei caldera has been **rising again, with slower rate**. Total maximum vertical displacement in the central area of **ca. 71 cm**.

From 2006 to 2011 average uplift ca. 1 cm/year.

From 2011 to 10/2020 average uplift ca. 7 cm/year.

RITE station is near the center of the caldera.

It typically records maximum vertical displacement. uplift (mm)

Vertical



Fall Meeting

pFFM modeling - vertical displacement data



AGU Fall Meeting 2020

San Francisco (CA), 1-17 December 2020

pFFM results - vertical displacement data



The "central" station data in 2011 - 2020 produce P = 51% at 5 years. In 2016 - 2020 P < 0.01% at 5 years, but P=54% at 25 years.

The "midway" stations data in 2011 - 2020 produce similar results, but in 2016-2020 $P \in [12\%, 35\%]$ at 25 years.

The "distal" stations data produce significantly lower P in both time intervals.

Fall Meeting

Campi Flegrei - horizontal displacement data

The maximum horizontal displacement is localized at the four "midway" stations, significantly symmetrical according to a bell-shaped deformation.



AGU Fall Meeting 2020

Fall Meeting

pFFM modeling - horizontal displacement data

The pFFM results confirm the **central symmetry** in these data. Modeling parameters are unchanged from the vertical data.



AGU Fall Meeting 2020

Fall Meeting

pFFM results - horizontal displacement data



The "central station" data in 2011 - 2020 produce P = 7.9% at 5 years. In 2016 - 2020 P < 0.01% at 5 years, P = 74% at 25 years.

The "midway" stations data in 2011 - 2020 produce P ∈ [31%, 36%] at 5 years. In 2016 - 2020 P < 0.01% at 5 years, P ∈ [41%, 64%] at 25 years.

The "distal" stations data in 2011 - 2020 produce lower P than the "midway" stations. In 2016 - 2020 P is similar to them.

AGU Fall Meeting 2020

Fall Meeting

CAMPI FLEGREI: seismic activity



CAMPI FLEGREI: current seismicity & its localization



Campi Flegrei caldera - seismic data



pFFM modeling - seismic data



AGU Fall Meeting 2020

Fall Meeting

San Francisco (CA), 1-17 December 2020

pFFM results - seismic data

Based on the data in **2011-2020**:

- The earthquake count data produce
 P = 26% at 5 years, and P = 64% at 10 years.
 The 10-year estimate is similar to those based on horizontal displacement at the "midway" GPS stations.
- Removing the swarms produces P = 72% at 5 years.
 Higher than any estimate based on ground displacement.
- The seismic energy data produces very high probability
 P = 99.5% at 5 years. It is strongly influenced by largest events and probably unreliable.

Instead, based on the data in **2016 - 2020**:

- The earthquake count data produce
 P = 54% at 5 years, and P = 86% at 10 years.
 This is significantly higher than any estimate based on ground displacement in the same period.
- Removing the swarms does not affect these results.
- The seismic energy data still produce high probability
 P = 72% at 5 years, and P = 98% at 10 years.

Table. Campi Flegrei seismic data, failure time probability				
	Seismic Data	P{T _f < 2025} 5 years	$P\{T_f < 2030\}$ 10 years	P{T _f < 2045} 25 years
2011-2020	Earthquake count, 1/2011 - 7/2020	26%	64%	96%
	EQ count, excl. swarms 1/2011 - 7/2020	72%	90%	> 99.9%
	EQ cumulative energy 1/2011 - 7/2020	99.5%	> 99.9%	> 99.9%
20	Earthquake count, 1/2016 - 4/2020	54%	86%	> 99.9%
2016-20	EQ count, excl. swarms 1/2016 - 4/2020	53%	85%	> 99.9%
	EQ cumulative energy 1/2016 - 4/2020	72%	98%	> 99.9%

The Table shows the mean probability estimate P that the **failure time** is realized in 5, 10, or 25 years from 2020. (a) shows the data in 2011 - 2020, (b) in 2016 - 2020.

Conclusions and Future Work

The **probabilistic formulation of FFM** provides starting point for short-term hazard assessments using **monitoring data**. We estimate mean probability P for **failure times** realized before 01/2025, 01/2030, 01/2045.

- The GROUND DISPLACEMENT acceleration slowed after 2016, becoming almost constant.
 * The maximum vertical displacement data, recorded at "central station" RITE: for 2011 - 2020, failure probability P = 51% at 5 years. For 2016 - 2020, P < 0.01% at 5 years; P = 54% at 25 years.
 * The maximum horizontal displacement data, recorded in the "midway" stations 1-2 km from the center: for 2011 - 2020, P ∈ [31%, 36%] at 5 years. For 2016 - 2020, P < 0.01% at 5 years; P ∈ [41%, 64%] at 25 years.
- The SEISMIC ACTIVITY observed is much lower than for 1982-84, but current accelerating trend did not slow down at 2016.
 * The earthquake count data for 2011 2020: P = 26% at 5 years; for 2016 2020: P = 54% at 5 years.
 * Removing the swarms: for 2011 2020, P = 72% at 5 years; for 2016 2020, P = 54% at 5 years.
 * The seismic energy data is strongly influenced by largest events and probably unreliable.
- We focused on long-term trends observed across multiple years. Future variations in data could either slow down the increase so far observed, or speed it up. To model any **short-term trend**, an appropriate time window should be selected.
- Different types of signals can produce different forecasts. And the same type of signals recorded in different locations can produce different results, so careful **spatio-temporal interpolation** needs further consideration.