# A probabilistic hazard mapping tool for the Long Valley volcanic region

Regis Rutarindwa, Elaine Spiller, Marcus Bursik, Andrea Bevilacqua

August 14, 2017

Rutarindwa, Spiller, Bursik, Bevilacqua

Long Valley Prob Haz Maps

August 14, 2017 1 / 32

## Long Valley



## Long Valley Volcanic Region



330300, 4154500 WGS84 UTM Zone 11N

#### Long Valley caldera

- Formed 760,000 years ago
- Potentially active
  - \* thermally activity
  - ★ ground deformation
- Threat Potential: High

## Long Valley Volcanic Region



330300, 4154500 WGS84 UTM Zone 11N

#### Mono-Inyo craters

- 17 km long
- $\approx$  30 craters
- Last eruption: 215 years ago
- Threat Potential: High

## Long Valley Volcanic Region



330300, 4154500 WGS84 UTM Zone 11N

#### Mono-Inyo craters

- 17 km long
- $\approx$  30 craters
- Last eruption: 215 years ago
- Threat Potential: High

#### Potential loss:

- Town of Mammoth Lakes 8000 - 30,000 inhabitants
- Highway and roads
- Los Angeles city aqueduct

- Integrate various sources of knowledge to
  - compute hazard probabilities for the Long Valley volcanic region
  - quantify various epistemic and aleatoric sources of uncertainty

- Integrate various sources of knowledge to
  - compute hazard probabilities for the Long Valley volcanic region
  - quantify various epistemic and aleatoric sources of uncertainty
- develop a fast and flexible tool for understanding the impact of hazards probabilistically

# Pyroclastic flow simulation TITAN2D

- Developed by Geophysical Mass Flow Group at the University at Buffalo (NY).[Pitman, 2003; Patra, 2005]
- Physical simulator of pyroclastic and block-and-ash flows due to volcanic activities
- Solve a system of PDE describing a depth-average model for granular flow governed by friction interaction.
- **Inputs:** vent location coordinates, flow volume, basal friction angle, internal friction angle, orientation, initial velocity, digital elevation map
- **Outputs:** flow depth, kinetic energy and flow speed at every time step at every location on the DEM

# Pyroclastic flow simulation TITAN2D





Introduction

- Computing probabilities of inundation is prohibitively expensive requiring thousands of Monte Carlo samples, each corresponding to a run of TITAN2D
- Such an approach requires an a priori choice of input/scenario statistical model



#### **Physical Scenarios**





#### **Physical Scenarios**





Introduction

- Computing probabilities of inundation is prohibitively expensive requiring thousands of Monte Carlo samples, each corresponding to a run of TITAN2D
- Such an approach requires an a priori choice of input/scenario statistical model

Using GaSP models and TITAN2D (input: Easting, Northing, Volume, Basal Friction angle; output: Flow depth)

- Develop a workflow for fast computation of probabilities of inundation of any location
- Graphically represent these probabilities over a map of the Long Valley

Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



 Build statistical model of physical model output

Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



- Build statistical model of physical model output
- Treat simulator "observations" as draws from a random process

Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



- Build statistical model of physical model output
- Treat simulator "observations" as draws from a random process
- Approximate expensive simulation with "free" random function evaluation

Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



$$y(x) = \mu + \mathbf{z}(x)$$

 $\mu(x) \sim f(x)$  or constant  $z(x) \sim N(0, \sigma_z^2 K)$ 

Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



$$y(x) = \mu + \mathbf{z}(x)$$

 $\mu(x) \sim f(x)$  or constant  $z(x) \sim N(0, \sigma_z^2 K)$ 

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_i - x_j}{\beta}\right)^2\right]$$

Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



$$y(x) = \mu + \mathbf{z}(x)$$

 $\mu(x) \sim f(x)$  or constant  $z(x) \sim N(0, \sigma_z^2 K)$ 

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_i - x_j}{\beta}\right)^2\right]$$

if 
$$\mu = 0$$
,  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y}^* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{K}_*^T \\ \mathbf{K}_* & \mathbf{K}_{**} \end{bmatrix} \right)$ 

where  $K = K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ ,  $K_* = K(\mathbf{x}, x^*)$ , and  $K_{**} = K(x^*, x^*)$ 

Background: Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP

$$y^* | \mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underbrace{K_* \mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathbf{y}}_{\text{mean}}, \underbrace{\sigma_z^2 (K_{**} - K_* \mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_*^T)}_{\text{variance}}))$$

where  $\beta,\sigma_{z}$  are replaced by estimates

Background: Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



variance

Background: Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



Background: Gaussian Response Surface Models - GaSP



$$y^* | \mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underbrace{\mathcal{K}_* \mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathbf{y}}_{\text{mean}}, \underbrace{\sigma_z^2(\mathcal{K}_{**} - \mathcal{K}_* \mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_*^{\mathcal{T}})}_{\text{variance}})$$

where  $\beta, \sigma_z$  are replaced by estimates

## Emulator for inverse problem

Given a few simulation runs

- Fit Gaussian surface response over set of simulation inputs/outputs
- Predict simulation output at untried inputs
- Extract contour set corresponding to a particular "critical height"



Algorithm

Run TITAN2D simulations with set of broad set of inputs (Vol, E, N). Save max-height of each simulation at location  $L_i$ 



Rutarindwa, Spiller, Bursik, Bevilacqua

Long Valley Prob Haz Maps

Algorithm

Run TITAN2D simulations with set of broad set of inputs (Vol, E, N). Save max-height of each simulation at location  $L_i$ 

1: 1	for each location $L_i$ in parallel <b>do</b>		•	•	•	•
2:	Fit GaSP emulator over TITAN2D input/output				•	•
3:	Evaluate GaSP over a 3-D input space grid	Northing	•	•	•	•
4:	Extract level surface of critical flow height		•	•	•	•
5: 6: <b>end for</b>			•	• • •	• • asti	ina

Algorithm



Figure: 3-D 1 meter level surface for the Town of Mammoth Lakes (CA) (in red) and TITAN2D input (in blue)

Algorithm





Figure: 3-D 1 meter level surface for the Town of Mammoth Lakes (CA) (in red) and TITAN2D input (in blue)

Figure: 1 meter contour corresponding to Volume =  $10^8 m^3$ 

Run TITAN2D simulations with set of broad set of inputs (Vol, E, N). Save max-height of each simulation at location  $L_i$ 

- 1: for each location  $L_i$  in LV in parallel do
- 2: Fit GaSP emulator over TITAN2D input/output
- 3: Evaluate GaSP over a 3-D input space grid
- 4: Extract level surface corresponding to critical flow height
- 5: Compute probability of inundation (conditioned on volume)6: end for

## Probability calculations



Figure: Two models of vent location used to compute the probability of inundation of the Town of Mammoth Lakes (CA) given an event of Volume =  $100 \text{ M m}^3$ 

## Probability calculations





- Figure: Two models of vent location used to compute the probability of inundation of the Town of Mammoth Lakes (CA) given an event of Volume =  $100 \text{ M m}^3$
- Figure: Probability profile curves for the town of Mammoth Lakes (CA), under different model of vent locations.

## Probabilistic Hazard Maps P(inundation | $V = 100 \text{ M m}^3$ )



Figure: Volume = 100 M  $m^3$ 

## Probabilistic Hazard Maps

## Looking forward

Short term:

• Use more sophisticated vent opening maps at LV [Bevilaqua, 2017]

Medium term

• Use model of frequency-volume for 100-year probabilistic hazard maps

 $\begin{aligned} P(\text{catastrophe within } t \text{ years } | \text{ scenario model}) \\ = 1 - \exp(-\lambda t P(\text{catastrophe } | \text{ scenario model})) \end{aligned}$ 

## Flexible framework

- Not specific to hazard threat (pyroclastic flow) OR physical model computation (TITAN2D) OR volcano
- Can be used for emerging or on-going volcanic activity details in [Spiller SIAM JUQ, 2014] [Bayarri IJUQ, 2015]
- Can be used for short term forecasting no need to assume stationarity in scenario models [Wolpert (to be submitted soon), 2017]

## Acknowledgments

- Thank you!
- NSF Grants SES 1521855, DMS 1228265, EAR 1331353

