

DI GEOFISICA E VULCANOLOGIA

Probabilistic reconstruction (or forecasting) of distal runouts of large magnitude ignimbrite PDC flows sensitive to topography using mass-dependent inversion models.

Willy Aspinal⁽¹⁾, <u>Andrea Bevilacqua⁽²⁾</u>, Antonio Costa⁽²⁾, Hirohito Inakura⁽³⁾, Sue Mahony⁽¹⁾, Augusto Neri⁽²⁾, Stephen Sparks⁽¹⁾. (1) University of Bristol, School of Earth Sciences, Bristol, United Kingdom, (2) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy, (3) West Japan Engineering Consultants, Inc., Fukuoka, Japan.

1. A method to model the minimum volume and mass to inundate an at-risk city from a volcano source site

Our analysis relies on the implementation of several versions of the integral formulation for axisymmetric gravity-driven particle currents, based on the pioneering work of Huppert and Simpson (1980). The theory is detailed in Bonnecaze et al., (1995) and Hallworth et al. (1998). We focus on models which possess analytical solutions, enabling us to utilise a very fast functional approach in the uncertainty quantification process. Further details on the physical equations we adopted, as well as the expression of analytical solutions, can be found in Biagioli et al., 2019.

In particular, we focus on two different models: • Model 1 – [Rock avalanche dynamics with constant stress over the flow basal area] This model for energy dissipation described in Dade and Huppert (1998). assumes the entire amount of solid materia falls from a prescribed height. Constant stress dynamics has been further explored in Kelfoun et al. (2009); Kelfoun (2011).

INPUT parameters:

H - Collapse Height *rho_c* - Flow density tau – equivalent stress^{*} *one third of the constant stress value Model 2 – [Density current dynamics with particle deposition and buoyancy effects] This model is described in Dade and Huppert 1995. It has been developed for the simulation of oceanic turbidity currents and then adapted to the simulation of large-scale ignimbrites (Dade and Huppert, 1996).

INPUT parameters:

phi0 – initial solid fraction *ws* – velocity of settling of the solid particles *rho* – density of solid particles *rho a* – density of ambient air *rho i* – density of interstitial gas

In our implementation, Model 2 assumes monodispersed solid particles, because the modelling of the full Total Grain Size Distribution (TGSD) does not produce analytical solutions. The Sauter diameter is believed to provide a reasonable approximation to the dynamics of the full TGSD. We assume a fixed volume instantaneous release of collapsing material, as in Neri et al. (2015); Bevilacqua (2016); Esposti Ongaro et al. (2016); Bevilacqua et al. (2017), Biagioli et al., (2019)

- We present three variants of Model 2 cloud.
- MDR modeling (Costa et al., 2018).

3. Output ranges Minimum PDC Volume and Equivalent Mass

The following results represent the required volume necessary to inundate an at-risk city at 130 km from the source of the flow, i.e. an hypothetical volcano The value of the Pth percentile is the volume amount that has probability P to inundate the at-risk city, according to the probability distribution of the model inputs.

Bayes Net calculation results, using Volume

Madal	Maximum distance	Minimum PDC Volume [km ³]				Madal	Maximum distance	Minimum PDC Mass [10 ¹² kg]					
WIGUEI	130 km	1%ile	5%ile	50%ile	mean	95%ile	WIGHT	130 km	1%ile	5%ile	50%ile	mean	95%ile
D&H98	(1) Elicited inputs	11.5	26.0	263	450	1474	D&H98	(1) Elicited inputs	11.5	26.0	263	450	1474
	(2a) Elicited inputs	5.68	10.2	55.9	77.9	226	D 8-1105/1	(2a) Elicited inputs	13.1	23.5	129	179	520
D&H95 w/hot gas	(2b) Modified inputs*	5.35	7.30	16.7	17.4	30.0	D&H95 w/hot gas	(2b) Modified inputs*	12.3	16.8	40.7	40.0	69.0
D&H95	(2c) Elicited inputs	3.34	6.80	43.5	64.5	201	D&H95	(2c) Elicited inputs	7.68	15.6	100	148	462

*modified *phiO* and *ws* based on MDR modelling and Sauter diameter of analogues.

To obtain a consistent comparison of the two models requires the calculation of equivalent mass, because the model volumes have a different meaning.

In particular:

Model 1, based on Dade and Huppert (1998), does not include gas. The volume calculated represents the bulk solid material, at a density of about 1000 kg/m³ (deposit).

Model 2, based on Dade and Huppert (1995), is multi-phase and includes solid particles and interstitial gas. As a model option, this gas can be assumed hot and buoyant with respect to ambient air.

The volume calculated only represents the solid phase, at a density of about 2300 kg/m³ (rhyolite, Bonadonna et al., 2003).

Optional corrections of these values can include: Site shielding effects of local topography: *MinVol* +104% Asymmetries in the flow propagation: MinVol -15%.

4. PDC inundation probability at an at-risk city based on eruption size

In Figure 1 we show the cumulative distribution of Equivalent Mass required to reach the at-risk city, according to the four models described. We based a first analysis on the Dense Rock Equivalent (DRE) volume of a major ignimbrite eruption, first we assume an example volume of 200 km³. We converted this DRE volumes to a mass of 500 • 10¹² kg units), assuming a density of 2500 kg/m³ (DRE rhyolite).

PROBABILITY P OF PDC FLOW REACHING THE AT-RISK CITY.

- 130 km RUNOUT [VDRE 200km³]
- Combined model 89.9%
- Model 1 (avalanche *tau*-friction) 68.9%
- Model 2a (box model with hot gas) 94.2%
- Model 2b (Sauter-based ws, MDR-model-based phi0) 100%
- Model 2c (cold gas) 96.4%

PROBABILITY P OF PDC FLOW REACHING THE AT-RISK CITY. 170 km RUNOUT [VDRE 200km³, with topo-effects]

- Combined model 76.0%
- Model 1 (avalanche *tau*-friction) 48.1%
- Model 2a (box model with hot gas) 75.1%
- Model 2b (Sauter-based *ws*, MDR-model-based *phi0*) 100%
- Model 2c (cold gas) 80.9%



Fig. 1 also implements more detailed estimates: i.e. [140, 270, 400] km³, 5th, mean, and 95th percentile values respectively. According this **uncertain erupted volume range**, and the combined runout model results, we have *P* = [67.5%, 82.2%, 89.5%] inundation probability:

An optional correction for flow direction asymmetries produces the following adjustment: P = [71.6%, 85.4%, 92.1%].

FIGURE 1. Cumulative distribution of the minimum mass MassMin variable, representing

(a) is related to a maximum runout distance of 130 km, i.e. between volcano and at-risk city; (b) is related to a maximum runout distance of 170 km, allowing for the flow to overcome possible topography shielding effects near the site.

The **black bold** line is the combined model, described above. Blue line is Model 1, Red line is Model 2, Purple line is Model 2b, Pink line is Model 2c

A green vertical line marks the mass estimate related to the VDRE of 200 km³. **Black** vertical lines mark the mean and uncertainty percentiles of an estimate of plausible erupted mass, i.e. obtained from VDRE [140, 270, 400] km³.

Model 2a. This variant includes interstitial gas, thermally buoyant with respect to surrounding cold air. The flow stops propagating when the solid fraction *phi(t)* becomes lower than a critical value *phi_cr*, and the remaining mixture of gas and particles lifts off, possibly generating a phoenix

• Model 2b. The modelling equations in this variant are equivalent to the previous model, but an alternative input of ws is adopted, expressed as a range of values. This range is based on the law of particle terminal velocity (Armienti et al., (1988); Bonadonna and Phillips, (2003); Dioguardi et al., (2017)) at the scale of the Sauter diameter for analogue flows. These flows are: Mt St. Helens (Costa et al., 2016), Campanian Ignimbrite (Costa et al., 2016), Campanian Ignimbrite (Costa et al., 2017)) at the scale of the Sauter diameter for analogue flows. al., 2012; Marti et al., 2016), Youngest Toba Tuff (Costa et al., 2014). Moreover, this variant implements an alternative input range of phi0, based on

Model 2c. This variant assumes an interstitial gas equivalent to ambient air. Thermal buoyancy effects are absent, and the flow stops when phi(t)=0.

Model 2 assumes thermal properties remain constant for the duration of the flow. Thermodynamics modelling of cooling effects (e.g. Bursik and Woods, 1996; Fauria et al., 2016) could be further explored in follow up research.

Bayes Net calculation results, using Equivalent Mass

Model 2 is reported in three variants:

• Model 2a includes hot gas effects, and relies on the **elicited input ranges** of phi0, ws, rho, rho_a, rho_i.

• Model 2b includes hot gas effects, and relies on modified input ranges based on MDR modelling and Sauter diameter of analogues. These results impose lower phi0 and ws values than in the previous case.

• Model 2c does not include hot gas effects, and relies on the elicited input ranges of phi0, ws, rho, rho_a.

Through a Monte Carlo simulation randomly sampling the models, we also averaged the four probability distributions obtained. We assigned equal weights to the four modelling choices (Model 1, 2a, 2b, 2c). This is equivalent to a linear combination of the models' pdf or cdf (see Cooke, 1991; Bevilacqua, 2016).

	Minimum PDC Mass [10 ¹² kg]							
Model Mixture	1%ile	5%ile	50%ile	mean	95%ile			
	10.9	18.4	86.0	204	735			

5. Example of the effects of distal and medial topography

The realization of 130 km runout L in absence of topography is **not a sufficient condition** to impact a distant important city and any evacuation decision. We report examples of inundated regions as a function of runout distance L, according to the energy conoid technique.

We follow the 'energy conoid' approach adopted in Neri et al., (2015) and Bevilacqua et al., (2017). Figure 2 shows inundation maps based on the comparison of kinetic energy available to the flow and **local topography along radial direction**. Energy calculation is based on the equations of Model 2c, with illustrative values of phi0=1% and rho=2000 kg/m³.



According to the analytic expression of the *MinVol* variable, a more conservative assumption of requiring a runout of 170 km would **double** the required erupted volume in order to inundate the at-risk city. We remark that our energy conoid approach is only sensitive to the shielding effect of topography close to the at-risk city, and not on the large-scale topography around the source site

2. Input ranges based on Expert Judgment

We based our input range estimation on structured expert judgment (Cooke, 1991; Aspinall, 2006). For judgment aggregation, we implemented the equal weight combination rule; we did not apply performance-based scores because of the relatively small number of experts participating and because the overheads and time demands involved in implementing a formal elicitation protocol were not warranted in this case.

Reported values express the percentiles of the probability distribution obtained by pooling experts' judgments (also called the solution Decision Maker DM).

95%ile

4.1 x 10⁵

Elicitation solution

Case name: Model 1 Resulting solution (Nr.| Id 1|Collapse *H* 2|Flow density |u 3|Stress

4|Lambda⁺

2.1 Energy cone intere
Before running the models des
calculate the Minimum Volume
to the Energy Cone linear regr

1%ile	5%ile
13.4	16.9

THIS STUDY SPECIFICALLY FOCUSES ON THE **NEW METHOD**.

WE APPLIED THE METHOD IN A HYPOTHETICAL EXAMPLE OF MAJOR IGNIMBRITE SCENARIO.

WE USED A NON-EXISTING **TOPOGRAPHY** AND ARBITRARY ERUPTIVE **MASS BOUNDS**.

ANY RELATION TO REAL VOLCANOES OR REAL AT-RISK CITIES IS influential input parameters. PURELY COINCIDENTIAL.

FIGURE 2. Panels (a),(c) show the inundated region assuming L=130 km³; (b),(d) show the inundated region assuming the minimum L required to affect the at-risk city considering shielding effects of local copography. Shades of black display topographic obstacles (i.e. mountain ranges).

(a),(b) are based on $w_s=0.5$ m/s, and (c),(d) assume $w_s=0.1$ m/s. All the pictures assume phi0=1% and rho=2000 kg/m³, and the minimum volume estimate V is displayed.

A coloured dot marks the volcano, and a red dot marks the at-risk city. A bold coloured line marks the boundary of the inundated region based on volume V. A thin dashed line marks a circle of radius L. Thin coloured lines mark the boundaries of the inundated region based on V/2 and 2V, for comparison.

opography, volcano, and at risk city are an hypothetical example.



#1	

<u>1 #1</u>					Elicitation Solu	111011 # 2				
				08/01/2019	Case name: Model	2				02/02/2019
oint DM	distribution	of values a	ssessed by	experts)	Resulting solution	on (joint DM	distribution	of values as	ssessed by e	experts)
Scale	5%	50%	95%	Units	Nr. Id	Scale	5%	50%	95%	Units
			I	I	I		I	I	I	
uni	2566	5752	9629		1 phi0	uni	0.001789	0.01103	0.03675	
ıni	686.3	992	1511	kg/m^3	2 Ws	uni	0.04492	0.4405	2.460	m/s
ıni	244.3	1868	7666	Pa	3 <i>rho</i>	uni	1089	1814	2357	kg/m^3
ıni l	1,9451	3.0441	3.1421	rad	4 <i>rho_a</i>	uni	1.023	1.193	1.284	kg/m^3
	1.9101	0.011	0.1121		5 <i>rho_i</i>	uni	0.3184	0.4853	0.7957	kg/m^3

+in the sequel lambda will be fixed to pi unless otherwise stated

2.1 Fnergy cone inference as "Model 0"

scribed above, we used the elicited Collapse Height *H* to e (MinVol) required to reach 130 km runout, according ession in Ogburn and Calder (2017).

mean

1.92 x 10⁵

Minimum PDC Volume [km³]

50%ile

669

reported here appear to capture the same order of magnitude as results in the other models in terms of the lower percentile values. However, the mean and 95th percentile of MinVol look infeasibly large, numerically; Model 0 will not be considered when compiling a combination of the models.

The minimum volume (MinVol) estimate

Modified input range based on MDR modelling and Sauter diameter of analogues



*these results approximately impose input values in the range [min, median] assessed by joint DM.



Paper Number NH21D - 0997 Abstract ID: 575290

We assumed the inputs to be formed of an array of independent variables. Further research may explore the effects of possible correlations between them.

100 FALL MEETING

ADVANCING EARTH AND SPACE SCIENCE San Francisco, CA 9–13 December 2019

Fligitation solution #1

	Units	MAX	MIN	Scale
		I		II
		0.01	0.002	uni
	m/s	0.3	0.04	uni
	m/s	0.01 0.3	0.002 0.04	uni uni

	5. Conclusions
ol	We described a new method for the reconstruction (or forecast) of probabilities that distal geographic locations were inundated by a giant pyroclastic density current (PDC) in terms of the flow mass and related uncertainties.
ime d	Using appropriate model input uncertainty distributions, derived from expert judgments using the equal weights combination rule, we estimated the mass amount needed to reach a particular distal locality at any given confidence level and compared this with a range of plausible eruptive masses. in a hypothetical major ignimbrite scenario
n roduce	Our analysis relied on different versions of the Huppert and Simpson (1980) integral formulation of axisymmetric gravity-driven particle currents. We focused on models which possess analytical solutions , enabling us to utilize a very fast functional approach for enumerating results and uncertainties.
inout	In particular, we adapted the 'energy conoid' approach to generate inundation maps along radial directions, based on comparison of the mass-dependent kinetic energy of the flow with the potential energy control by topography in the direction of flow at distal ranges.
as]	We focused on two different models: (i) Model 1 assumes the entire amount of solid material originates from a prescribed height above the volcano and flows as a granular current slowed down by constant friction ;
p	(ii) Model 2 is a multi-phase formulation and includes, in addition to suspended particles, interstitial gas thermally buoyant with respect to surrounding cold air. In the latter case, the flow stops propagating when the solid fraction becomes less than a critical value, and there is lift-off of the remaining mixture of gas and small particulates.
±3,65e-	Our model parameters can be further constrained where there is reliable field data or with information from analogue eruptions.
	Finally, we used a Bayes Belief Network related to each inversion model to evaluate probabilistically the uncertainties on the mass required, estimating correlation coefficients between the input variables and the calculated mass.
	For any major magnitude ignimbrite PDC scenario, our method provides a rational basis for assessing the probability of flow inundation at critical geographic locations within distal areas when there is major uncertainty about the actual or predicted extent of flow runout.
	References: Ababei, D., (2016) UNINET. Software designed by the Risk and Environmental Modeling Group, Delft University of Technology. Lighttwist Software;
>	 Armienti, P., G. Macedonio, M.T. Pareschi (1988), A numerical model for simulation of tephra transport and deposition applications to May 18, 1980, Mount St. Helens eruption, JGR, 93, B6, 6463-6476. Aspinall, W. P. (2006), Structured elicitation of expert judgment for probabilistic hazard and risk assessment in volcanic eruptions, in Statistics in Volcanology, Geological Society of London on behalf of IAVCEI, edited by H. M.Mader et al., 296 pp., Geological Society for IAVCEI, London. Bevilacqua, A. (2016), Doubly stochastic models for volcanic hazard assessment at Campi Flegrei caldera, Theses, 21, Edizioni della Normale, Birkhäusor (Springer, 227p, Bica, JSBN 978, 88, 7642, 577, 6).
	Binduser/spinger, 22/p, Pisa, ISBN 978-88-7642-377-6. Bevilacqua A, A. Neri, M. Bisson, T. Esposti Ongaro, F. Flandoli, R. Isaia, M. Rosi, S. Vitale (2017) The Effects of Vent Location, Event Scale, and Time Forecasts on Pyroclastic Density Current Hazard Maps at Campi Flegrei Caldera (Italy), Front Earth Sci 5:72. Biagioli, G.,Bevilacqua, A., Esposti Ongaro, T., de' Michieli Vitturi, M. (2019, March 29). PyBox: a Python tool for simulating the kinematics of Pyroclastic density currents with the box-model approach, Reference and User's Guide (Version 0.9). http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2616551
	Bonadonna C and L Phillins (2003) Sedimentation from strong volcanic nlumes IGR 108 B7 2340-2368
	 Bonadonna, C., and J. Phillips (2003), Sedimentation from strong volcanic plumes, JGR, 108, B7, 2340-2368. Bonnecaze, R.T., M.A. Hallworth, H.E. Huppert, J.R. Lister (1995), Axisymmetric particle-driven gravity currents, J Fluid Mechanics, 294, 93-121. Bursik, M.I., A.W. Woods (1996), The dynamics and thermodynamics of large ash flows, Bull Volcanol, 58, 175-193. Cooke, R. M. (1991), Experts in Uncertainty: Opinion and Subjective Probability in Science, 336 pp., Oxford Univ. Press, New York. Costa, A., A. Folch, G. Macedonio, B. Giaccio, R. Isaia, V.C. Smith (2012), Quantifying volcanic ash dispersal and impact of the Campanian Ignimbrite super-eruption. Geophys Res Lett. 39, 110310.
	 Bonadonna, C., and J. Phillips (2003), Sedimentation from strong volcanic plumes, JGR, 108, B7, 2340-2368. Bonnecaze, R.T., M.A. Hallworth, H.E. Huppert, J.R. Lister (1995), Axisymmetric particle-driven gravity currents, J Fluid Mechanics, 294, 93-121. Bursik, M.I., A.W. Woods (1996), The dynamics and thermodynamics of large ash flows, Bull Volcanol, 58, 175-193. Cooke, R. M. (1991), Experts in Uncertainty: Opinion and Subjective Probability in Science, 336 pp., Oxford Univ. Press, New York. Costa, A., A. Folch, G. Macedonio, B. Giaccio, R. Isaia, V.C. Smith (2012), Quantifying volcanic ash dispersal and impact of the Campanian Ignimbrite super-eruption, Geophys Res Lett, 39, L10310. Costa, A., V.C. Smith, G. Macedonio, N.E. Matthews (2014), The magnitude and impact of the Youngest Toba Tuff super-eruption, Front Earth Sci 2:16. Costa, A., L. Pioli, C. Bonadonna (2016), Assessing tephra total grain-size distribution: Insights from field data analysis, ESPL, 443, 90-107. Costa, A., Y.J. Suzuki, T. Koyaguchi (2018), Understanding the plume dynamics of explosive super-eruptions, Nature Comm, 9:654. Dade, W.B., H.E. Huppert (1995), Runout and fine-sediment deposits of axisymmetric turbidity currents, JGR, 100, C9, 18597-18609. Dade, W.B., H.E. Huppert (1996), Emplacement of the Taupo Ignimbrite by a dilute turbulent flow, Lett Nature, 381, 509-512.
	 Bonadonna, C., and J. Phillips (2003), Sedimentation from strong volcanic plumes, JGR, 108, B7, 2340-2368. Bonnecaze, R.T., M.A. Hallworth, H.E. Huppert, J.R. Lister (1995), Axisymmetric particle-driven gravity currents, J Fluid Mechanics, 294, 93-121. Bursik, M.I., A.W. Woods (1996), The dynamics and thermodynamics of large ash flows, Bull Volcanol, 58, 175-193. Cooke, R. M. (1991), Experts in Uncertainty: Opinion and Subjective Probability in Science, 336 pp., Oxford Univ. Press, New York. Costa, A., A. Folch, G. Macedonio, B. Giaccio, R. Isaia, V.C. Smith (2012), Quantifying volcanic ash dispersal and impact of the Campanian Ignimbrite super-eruption, Geophys Res Lett, 39, L10310. Costa, A., V.C. Smith, G. Macedonio, N.E. Matthews (2014), The magnitude and impact of the Youngest Toba Tuff super-eruption, Front Earth Sci 2:16. Costa, A., V.C. Smith, G. Bonadonna (2016), Assessing tephra total grain-size distribution: Insights from field data analysis, ESPL, 443, 90-107. Costa, A., Y.J. Suzuki, T. Koyaguchi (2018), Understanding the plume dynamics of explosive super-eruptions, Nature Comm, 9:654. Dade, W.B., H.E. Huppert (1995), Runout and fine-sediment deposits of axisymmetric turbidity currents, JGR, 100, C9, 18597-18609. Dade, W.B., H.E. Huppert (1996), Emplacement of the Taupo Ignimbrite by a dilute turbulent flow, Lett Nature, 381, 509-512. Dade, W.B., H.E. Huppert (1998), Long-runout rockfalls, Geology, 26, 9, 803–806. Dioguardi, F., D. Mele, P. Dellino (2018), A new one-equation model of fluid drag for irregularly shaped particles valid over a wide range of Reynolds number. JGR, 123, 144–156. Esposti Ongaro, T., S. Orsucci, F. Cornolti (2016), A fast, calibrated model for pyroclastic density currents kinematics and hazard, JVGR, 327, 257-272. Fauria, K. E., M. Manga, and M. Chamberlain (2016), Effect of particle entrainment on the runout of pyroclastic density currents, JGR, 121,