

New insights on sediment magnetic remanence acquisition point out complexity of magnetic mineral diagenesis

Leonardo Sagnotti

Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Rome, Italy

Paleomagnetic records from sedimentary sequences have a fundamental importance both for tectonic and stratigraphic studies (Kodama, 2012). However, despite many decades of research on sedimentary paleomagnetism (the first study was published 80 years ago; McNish and Johnson, 1938), details concerning the mechanism(s) by which sediments become magnetized are still poorly understood.

To maximize the usefulness of paleomagnetic data from sediments, the modes and the age of acquisition of the magnetic remanence should be known at the highest possible resolution. In fact, the reliability of sedimentary paleomagnetic data depends on the validity of the assumption that the magnetic polarity recorded by a sedimentary sequence was acquired at the time of deposition or shortly thereafter. This requirement is of utmost importance especially when the fine details of past transient geomagnetic field behavior are sought at high resolution, such as for reconstructions of geomagnetic paleosecular variation (Lund, 2007), relative paleointensity (Tauxe, 1993; Brachfeld, 2007), geomagnetic excursions (Laj and Channel, 2007; Roberts, 2008) and polarity reversals (Sagnotti et al., 2014; 2016; Kirscher et al., 2018). In integrated stratigraphic studies the recognition of past geomagnetic field variations then provide original and valuable tools that have been extensively used to develop original stratigraphic dating (Verosub, 2000) as well as high-resolution age models calibrated to cycles of astronomical parameters (e.g., Hilgen, 1991; Heslop et al., 2000). To achieve these results, the age and timing of the remanence acquisition should be known with a precision that imply no significant asynchronicity.

Nonetheless, the assumed synchronicity between ChRM acquisition and sediment age does not always hold, and it must be tested by carefully investigating the nature, origin and age of the magnetic minerals carrying the observed remanence component(s).

It is known that a paleomagnetic record in a continuous sedimentary sequence is the result of a variety of depositional and post-depositional processes that affect the alignment of particle magnetic moments to the ambient magnetic field and their immobilization (lock-in) due to dewatering and compaction (Verosub, 1977). Therefore, it is recognized that the lock-in process introduces uncertainties on the precise timing between the true age of the sediment and the age of magnetic remanence acquisition (Verosub 1977, Tauxe et al., 2006). Progressive acquisition of a post-depositional detrital remanent magnetization (PDRM) has been modeled as an exponential or cubic function of progressive remanence lock-in with depth (Hyodo, 1984; Roberts and Winklhofer, 2004), defined as the depth at which the remanent magnetization is ultimately fixed (Bleil and von Dobeneck, 1999). At the fine scale, it has been pointed out that this lock-in depth may vary even between adjacent sections of the same stratigraphic sequence (Sagnotti et al., 2005a).

Furthermore, sediments may undergo geochemical change during early burial as they transform into a sedimentary rock. The eventual alteration of detrital magnetic grains during diagenesis and growth of new authigenic ferrimagnetic minerals add further timing unknowns and uncertainties that may prevent the use of paleomagnetic data for a variety of geological applications (Roberts, 2015).

In fact, during diagenesis detrital magnetic minerals may undergo extensive dissolution (Leslie et al., 1990a; 1990b) and new magnetic phases may nucleate and grow (Jackson et al., 1988; Jackson, 1990). In particular, diagenetic iron sulfides can provide a significant source of sedimentary magnetism (Sagnotti, 2007). The role of sulfate reduction and the growth of iron sulfides – such as ferrimagnetic greigite in particular - has been recognized as a potential critical aspect, which may compromise the use of paleomagnetic data in

45 fine-grained sedimentary units that were deposited in reducing environments (Roberts and Turner, 1993).
 46 Magnetic iron sulfides can be produced through a number of different processes both during
 47 syndepositional, early diagenetic or late diagenetic phases (Roberts, 2015). The timing of iron sulfides
 48 formation depends also on the stability of the geochemical and redox conditions following sediment
 49 deposition. Steady state diagenesis with stable ambient conditions sets a delay between sediment
 50 deposition and remanence acquisition. Conversely, in non-steady state physical-chemical environments
 51 diagenetic changes may occur at any stage after sediment deposition (Tarduno and Wilkison, 1996), due for
 52 instance to fluid migration and changes in pore-water chemistry, introducing an additional important
 53 source of uncertainties on the exact age of remanence acquisition. This kind of processes may affect
 54 sedimentary sequences and remagnetize them partially (Sagnotti et al., 2005b) or even totally (Sagnotti et
 55 al., 2010), thus preventing the retrieval of paleomagnetic information useful for stratigraphic purposes.

56 Hence, the assessment of diagenetic effects has become a necessary component of any paleomagnetic
 57 study in sediments (Roberts, 2015). In particular, for the interpretation of paleomagnetic records when
 58 ferrimagnetic iron sulfides are a main magnetic carrier it is critical to understand mechanisms and timing of
 59 sulfate reduction processes.

60 The new study by Ebert et al. (2018, *Geology*, this issue) addresses fundamental aspects of remanence
 61 acquisition in sediments from the Dead Sea that underwent diverse sedimentary and diagenetic processes
 62 under different climatic conditions (glacial and interglacial climates) by using up-to-date techniques of rock
 63 magnetic analyses and magnetic force microscopy. In their study, Ebert et al. provide new insights on the
 64 mechanisms that may result in the erasure of any primary depositional magnetization and the overwriting
 65 of the magnetic signal recorded by detrital grains. The data indicate that bacterial mediated diagenetic
 66 effects may result in alteration of the primary detrital remanent magnetization that are more complex and
 67 varied than formerly thought. In fact, the effects are not only limited to dissolution of ferrimagnetic grains,
 68 which implies erasing the primary paleomagnetic signal, but they also result in a widespread
 69 remagnetization due to nucleation of single-domain (SD) ferrimagnetic grains in new authigenic phases and
 70 domain rearrangement in large multi-domain (MD) detrital titanomagnetite grains.

71 This new evidence points out two critical aspects that are firstly recognized: (1) SD ferrimagnetic greigite
 72 may occur within paramagnetic pyrite grains, solving a long-standing paleomagnetic conundrum of the
 73 abundance of pyrite grains in magnetic extracts (Nowaczyk, 2011), (2) the alteration of large MD detrital
 74 grains may also result in an effective demagnetization mechanism.

75 Paleomagnetic research will continue to advance toward the reconstruction of subtle details and/or rapid
 76 episodes of geomagnetic field changes during the geological past at increasingly high-resolution, with
 77 results that are fundamental for addressing many geophysical and geological questions. The new study of
 78 Ebert et al. (2018) fosters the development of new analytical tools to unravel the complex processes that
 79 underlay the acquisition of a remanent magnetization during diagenesis and will contribute to more
 80 accurate interpretation of the paleomagnetic data.

81 **References**

- 82 Bleil, U., and von Dobeneck, T., 1999, Geomagnetic events and relative paleointensity records—Clues to
83 high-resolution paleomagnetic chronostratigraphies of Late Quaternary marine sediments?, in Use of
84 Proxies in Paleoceanography: Examples from the South Atlantic, edited by G. Fischer and G. Wefer, pp.
85 635–654, Springer, New York.
- 86 Brachfeld, S.A., 2007. Paleointensity, relative, in sediments in: Encyclopedia of Geomagnetism and
87 Paleomagnetism, (Editors David Gubbins and Emilio Herrero-Bervera), Springer, Dordrecht, 1054 pp., p.
88 758-765.
- 89 Ebert, Y., Shaar R., Emmanuel, S., Nowaczyk N. and Stein M. (2018), Overwriting of sedimentary
90 magnetism by bacterially mediated mineral alteration, *Geology*, this issue.
- 91 Heslop, D., Langereis, C.G., Dekkers, M.J., 2000. A new astronomical timescale for the loess deposits of
92 Northern China, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 184, 125–139.
- 93 Hilgen, F.J., 1991, Astronomical calibration of Gauss to Matuyama sapropels in the Mediterranean and
94 implication for the Geomagnetic Polarity Time Scale, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 104, 226-244.
- 95 Hyodo, M., 1984, Possibility of reconstruction of the past geomagnetic field from homogeneous sediments,
96 *J. Geomagn. Geoelectr.*, 36, 45–62.
- 97 Jackson, M., McCabe, C., Ballard, M.M. and Van der Voo, R., 1988. Magnetite authigenesis and diagenetic
98 paleotemperatures across the northern Appalachian basin. *Geology* 16, 592–595.
- 99 Jackson, M., 1990. Diagenetic sources of stable remanence in remagnetized Paleozoic cratonic carbonates:
100 a rock magnetic study. *J. Geophys. Res.* 95, 2753–2761.
- 101 Kirscher, U., Winklhofer, M., Hackl, M., Bachtadse, V., 2018, Detailed Jaramillo field reversals recorded in
102 lake sediments from Armenia – Lower mantle influence on the magnetic field revisited, *Earth Planet. Sci.*
103 *Lett.*, 484, 124–134.
- 104 Kodama, K. P., 2012, *Paleomagnetism of Sedimentary Rocks: Process and Interpretation*. Oxford: Wiley-
105 Blackwell, ISBN: 978-1-444-33502-6, 164 pp.
- 106 Laj, C., and Channell, J. E. T., 2007, Geomagnetic excursions, in *Treatise on Geophysics*, vol. 5,
107 *Geomagnetism*, edited by M. Kono, pp. 373 – 416, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 108 Leslie, B.W., Hammond, D.E., Berelson, W.M. and Lund, S.P., 1990a, Diagenesis in anoxic sediments from
109 the California continental borderland and its influence on iron, sulfur, and magnetite behavior, *J. Geophys.*
110 *Res.* 95, 4453–4470.
- 111 Leslie, B.W., Lund, S.P. and Hammond, D.E., 1990b, Rock magnetic evidence for the dissolution and
112 authigenic growth of magnetic minerals within anoxic marine sediments of the California continental
113 borderland, *J. Geophys. Res.* 95, 4437–4452.
- 114 Lund, S., 2007, Paleomagnetic secular variation, in *Encyclopedia of Geomagnetism and Paleomagnetism*, ,
115 (Editors David Gubbins and Emilio Herrero-Bervera), Springer, Dordrecht, 1054 pp., p. 766-776.
- 116 McNish, A. G., and Johnson E. A. , 1938, Magnetization of unmetamorphosed varves and marine sediments,
117 *Terr. Magn. Atmos. Electr.*, 43(4), 401–407, doi:10.1029/TE043i004p00401.
- 118 Nowaczyk, N.R., 2011, Dissolution of titanomagnetite and sulphidization in sediments from Lake Kinneret,
119 *Israel: Geophysical Journal International*, v. 187, p. 34–44.

120 Roberts, A.P. and Turner, G.M., 1993, Diagenetic formation of ferrimagnetic iron sulphide minerals in
121 rapidly deposited marine sediments, South Island, New Zealand, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 115, 257-273.

122 Roberts, A.P. and Winklhofer, M., 2004, Why are geomagnetic excursions not always recorded in
123 sediments? Constraints from post-depositional remanent magnetization lock-in modelling, *Earth planet.*
124 *Sci. Lett.*, 227, 345–359.

125 Roberts, A. P., 2008, Geomagnetic excursions: Knowns and unknowns, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L17307,
126 doi:10.1029/2008GL034719.

127 Roberts A.P., 2015, Magnetic mineral diagenesis, *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 151, 1-47.

128 Sagnotti, L., Budillon, F., Dinarès-Turell, J., Iorio, M. and Macrì, P., 2005a, Evidence for a variable
129 paleomagnetic lock-in depth in the Holocene sequence from the Salerno Gulf (Italy): implications for “high-
130 resolution” paleomagnetic dating, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, Vol. 6, N. 11, Q11013,
131 doi:10.1029/2005GC001043.

132 Sagnotti, L., A.P. Roberts, R. Weaver, K.L. Verosub, F. Florindo, G.S. Wilson, C.R. Pike and T. Clayton, 2005b,
133 Apparent high-frequency magnetic polarity reversals due to alternating remagnetization resulting from late
134 diagenetic growth of greigite from siderite, *Geophysical Journal International*, 160, 89-100.

135 Sagnotti, L., 2007, Iron Sulfides; in: *Encyclopedia of Geomagnetism and Paleomagnetism*; (Editors David
136 Gubbins and Emilio Herrero-Bervera), Springer, Dordrecht ,1054 pp., p. 454-459.

137 Sagnotti, L., A. Casella, N. Ciaranfi, P. Macrì, P. Maiorano, M. Marino. and Taddeucci, J., 2010, Rock
138 magnetism and paleomagnetism of the Montalbano Jonico section (Italy): evidences for a late diagenetic
139 growth of greigite and implications for magnetostratigraphy, *Geophysical Journal International*, 180, 1049-
140 1066.

141 Sagnotti L., Scardia G., Giaccio B., Liddicoat J.C., Nomade S., Renne P.R. and C.J. Sprain, 2014, Extremely
142 rapid directional change during Matuyama-Brunhes geomagnetic polarity reversal, *Geophysical Journal*
143 *International*, 199 (2), 1110-1124.

144 Sagnotti, L., Giaccio, B., Liddicoat, J.C., Nomade, S., Renne, P.R., Scardia; G. and Sprain J.C., 2016, How fast
145 was the Matuyama-Brunhes geomagnetic reversal? A new subcentennial record from the Sulmona Basin,
146 central Italy, *Geophysical Journal International*, 204 (2), 798-812.

147 Tarduno, J.A., and Wilkison, S. L., 1996, Non-steady state magnetic mineral reduction, chemical lock-in, and
148 delayed remanence acquisition in pelagic sediments, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, Volume 144, 3–4,
149 315-326.

150 Tauxe, L., 1993, Sedimentary records of relative paleointensity of the geomagnetic field: theory and
151 practice, *Rev. Geophys.*, 31, 319–354.

152 Tauxe, L., Steindorf, J.L., Harris, A., 2006, Depositional remanent magnetization: toward an improved
153 theoretical and experimental foundation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 244, 515–529.

154 Verosub, K.L., 1977, Depositional and post-depositional processes in the magnetization of sediments. *Rev.*
155 *Geophys. Space Phys.* 15, 129–143.

156 Verosub, K.L., 2000, Paleomagnetic dating, in *Quaternary Geochronology: Methods and Applications*, pp.
157 339–356, eds Noller, J., Sower, J. & Lettis, W.R., AGU Reference Shelf 4, Washington, D.C.